1. CALL TO ORDER
The meeting was called to order at 4:00 pm.

2. Approval of Agenda for April 24, 2018
A motion was made to move 7.1. from the University Council agenda to the Faculty Senate Emergency meeting. The motion was first and seconded. The motion carried. The agenda for April 24, 2018 was made, and first and seconded. The motion carried.

3. NEW BUSINESS

4. Resolution by Faculty Senate to Call the Academic Congress into Session to Discuss the Presidential Search Process and the Criteria needed in the MSU President, Professors Andaluna Borcila and Anna Pegler-Gordon, James Madison College and Faculty Senate Members (Action Item) (Appendix A)

A motion to have an Academic Congress meeting was made by Professor Anna Pegler-Gordon, before the end of the Academic Year, stating that it was critical to hold the Academic Congress meeting soon, and not in the Fall Semester, when the Board of Trustees may have made key decisions about the Presidential Search. The motion was first and seconded. Discussion ensued.

A suggestion was made to develop a ranked list of requirements in a Presidential search, and then have an electronic vote. Professor Moriarty responded that an extensive list has already been developed that could be sent to the Board of Trustees. Discussion ensued regarding holding an Academic Congress in person on May 2, or calling an Academic Congress by electronic vote.

The vote on the motion by Professor Borcila: The Resolution by Faculty Senate to call the Academic Congress into session to discuss the Presidential Search Process and the criteria needed in the MSU President.

A friendly amendment was made to precede the meeting with the Academic Congress with an electronic survey where the members would rank order issues in order of importance, and after the Academic Congress meets, then conduct a second electronic survey where all members of Academic Congress can vote on what was to be decided. Discussion ensued. There was a second to this motion. Discussion ensued.

Professor Moriarty called the question, and stated the revised motion is to have Academic Congress meet, to call a meeting of Academic Congress prior to the meeting, in order to conduct a survey that rank orders the criteria, and then after the Academic
Congress meets, to have a vote by the voting Faculty of the University to support it or not support it.
A – Vote “Yes”
B – Vote “No”
C – Vote “Abstain”

Voting Results: “No” votes carried.

A motion was made to assign to The Steering Committee to organize the process of selecting representatives who will be participating and communicating with the Board of Trustees, but will be our representatives in the process of selecting a new President. Discussion ensued.

Professor Moriarty stated that the same process would be used in the selection of these representatives as is currently in the selection of the Steering Committee At-Large members. There was a second to the motion. **The motion carried.** Discussion ensued.

**Ready to vote:**
A – Vote “Yes”
B – Vote “No”
C – Vote “Abstinence”

**Voting Results: “Yes” votes carried.**

A friendly amendment was made from Dr. Richard Miksicek that would poll the Academic Congress to rank order the 11 criteria that Faculty have developed, adding a 12th “box,” so that individuals could add an item not identified on list and rank order it.

**Professor Deborah Moriarty called the question:** A friendly amended motion was made on the floor to send out a refined list of criteria to Academic Congress (which includes input from the original list developed, as well as input from the list that contained comments gathered from the Faculty). An additional criteria was added including an individual who has had successful experience dealing with Relationship Violence and Sexual Misconduct in the process.

A – Vote “Yes”
B – Vote “No”
C – Vote “Abstinence”

**Voting results: “Yes” votes carry.**

5. **ADJOURNMENT**
A motion to adjourn at 5:07 p.m. was made, and first and seconded. **The motion carried.**