MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
THE STEERING COMMITTEE APPROVED AGENDA
NOVEMBER 6, 2018 3:15 PM
ROOM 443, ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. Approval of Agenda for November 6, 2018

3. Approval of Draft Minutes for October 2, 2018 (Appendix A)

4. President’s Remarks: Interim President John Engler (unable to attend)

5. Provost’s Remarks: Dr. June Youatt
   Joint Appointment for Orthopedics, for Faculty Senate Endorsement, (Appendix B)

6. Chairperson’s Remark: Dr. Rob LaDuca

7. Committee Reports

8. NEW BUSINESS

   8.1. High Risk Travel Process, Dr. Steve Hanson, Associate Provost and Dean,
       International Studies and Programs (Appendix C, D & E)

   8.2. Bylaw 4.4.1., to Make Honors College Voice-and-Vote Representation Possible,
       Richard Bellon, University Committee on Undergraduate Education (UCUE)
       Chairperson, and Matt Zierler, Associate Dean, Academic Operations, Honors
       College

   8.3. Request for New Academic Unit, Department of African American and African
       Studies, Proposal, Provost June Youatt (Appendix F)

   8.4. Mary Finn, Official Faculty Senate Representative on the Presidential Search
       Committee, Slated for Faculty Senate in November, Dr. Rob LaDuca

   8.5. The Academic Year Calendar, Professor Deborah Moriarty, Vice Chairperson

   8.6. Discussions on:
       a. How to Move Forward with Faculty Senate Endorsed Proposal, Professor
          Andaluna Borcila, James Madison College (Appendix G)

       b. Rufus Issacs Proposal, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member

       c. What are the next step for each? Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member

   8.7. Discussion of the Minutes of The Steering Committee, Faculty Senate and University
       Council, Professor Deborah Moriarty, Vice Chairperson
8.8. Bylaw Revisions Reviewed and Approved from the University Committee on Academic Governance (UCAG) to move to Faculty Senate, for their discussion, Amanda Tickner, UCAG Chairperson (Appendixes H, I, J, K and L)

8.9. Discussion on: David Ware suggestions from the Board of Trustees meeting on October 26, 2018, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member

8.10. Discussion on: What is the role of Faculty Governance in issues such as the concerns we heard about re the Math Department at the Board meeting on October 26, 2018? Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member

8.11. Report back on Letter from Dianne Byrum re Faculty Tenure Revocation, Professor Deborah Moriarty, Vice Chairperson

9. Draft Agenda for Faculty Senate for November 13, 2018

9.1. University Committee on Curriculum (UCC) Report, Professor Marci Mechtel, UCC Chairperson (Action Item) (Short Report, Appendix) (Long Report, click on link)

9.2. High Risk Travel Process, Dr. Steve Hanson, Associate Provost and Dean, International Studies and Programs (Information Item) (Appendixes)

9.3. Bylaw Revisions Reviewed and Approved in the University Committee on Academic Governance (UCAG), for Discussion, Amanda Tickner, UCAG Chairperson, Tyler Sylvestri, UCAG Vice Chairperson, and Laura Dilley, UCAG Ad Hoc Committee Member (Information Item) (Appendixes)

9.4. Joint Appointment for Orthopedics, for Endorsement, Provost June Youatt (Action Item) (Appendix)

9.5. Mary Finn, Official Faculty Senate Representative on the Presidential Search Committee (Information Item)

10. Draft Agenda for University Council for November 20, 2018

10.1. University Committee on Curriculum (UCC) Report, Professor Marci Mechtel, UCC Chairperson (Information Item) (Short Report, Appendix) (Long Report, click on link)

10.2. Discussion of the Minutes of The Steering Committee, Faculty Senate and University Council, Professor Deborah Moriarty, Vice Chairperson

10.3. Bylaw 4.4.1., to Make Honors College Voice-and-Vote Representation Possible, Richard Bellon, University Committee on Undergraduate Education (UCUE) Chairperson, and Matt Zierler, Associate Dean, Academic Operations, Honors College (Information Item) (Appendix)

10.4. Faculty Senate Work Session for 2018-2019, Professor John Beck, Human Resources and Labor Relations

11. ADJOURNMENT
1. **CALL TO ORDER**
   The meeting was called to order at 3:20pm.

2. **Approval of Agenda for October 2, 2018**
   The agenda for October 2, 2018 was approved as presented. A friendly motion was made to move 9.2 (President and Provost Membership) to end and remove Item 7, Introductions. The friendly amendment was seconded. **The motion carried.**

3. **Approval of Draft Minutes for September 4, 2018**
   The minutes for September 4, 2018 was approved as distributed.

4. **President’s Remarks:** Interim President John Engler (out of town)
   Provost’s Remarks: Dr. June Youatt

   Provost Youatt reported that a proposal to create a new academic department, a joint department between Human Medicine and Osteopathic Medicine, to be named the Department of Orthopedics, will be introduced soon to Academic Governance and the various relevant standing committees for review and approval.

   Provost Youatt also noted her recommendation for a change in the procedures for reviewing Deans at MSU. Her recommendation includes a one year review, a three year review, and a five year review (that must lead to a decision for reappointment or not) for all Deans at the University. Provost Youatt stated that this new set of procedures allows for the use of an external group to collect information (through interviews from faculty, staff, students), so that the Administration can retain the importance of unanimity, but at the same time have some level of personal responsibility. She said that her office will continue to do broad surveys, but that these surveys will be balanced by some other ways to collect feedback. Provost Youatt thus asks for faculty feedback, but she would like to implement this by the second semester of this academic year, because MSU currently has several first-year Deans.

   Provost Youatt said that all MSU Colleges (and their Advisory Committees) need to continue to be part of this process. She added that she wants to move to a review process that is more standardized. She stated: “I think we need some benchmarks in this role, that there are
common expectations across the entire University for academic leaders; and so being able to ask questions, and do some assessments, based on those expectations, and then, certainly if colleges have additional interest or expectations or questions, I think that's great. But I think we need to have some agreed upon standard set of expectation, and then colleges can wrap some other things around it.” Discussion ensued.

A motion to move to University Committee on Faculty Affairs (UCFA), University Committee on Student Affairs (UCSA) and University Committee on Graduate Studies (UCGS) was made and seconded. **The motion carried.**

A discussion ensued on the various investigations into MSU, following the wake of the Larry Nassar crimes.

5. **Chairperson’s Remark:** Dr. Rob LaDuca (out of town).

Dr. Jennifer Johnson, as Acting Chair for the Steering Committee, substituted for Dr. Laduca. In her opening statement, she said that effective communication and transparency, across all academic leadership levels of the University, is paramount.

6. **Committee Reports**

**University Committee on Academic Governance (UCAG), Dr. Amanda Tickner**

Dr. Tickner reported that the University Committee on Academic Governance elected a Chair in its first meeting, as well as a Vice-Chair. She added that Tyler Silvestri agreed to be Secretary for the Committee. She noted that discussions were conducted about the summer Ad Hoc committee recommendations regarding University By-Laws reform recommendations. She also stated that UCAG made several nominations to the University-wide Naming Committee, to fill that empty space. In addition, she said that UCAG voted to start reviewing the College By-Laws once again, which had been put on hold last year in favor of getting the University By-Laws Ad Hoc Committee established.

**University Committee on Graduate Studies (UCGS), Dr. Gwen Wittenbaum**

Dr. Wittenbaum reported that the University Committee on Graduate Studies conducted its first meeting, and conducted a great discussion about the new Strategic Plan for the Graduate School, which is now posted on the Graduate School website. She said that the vision being developed by UCGS involves providing strategic leadership for Graduate Education that advances humanity, and furthers knowledge to shape the future. She noted that there are three goals with this initiative, and they are 1) student success, 2) diverse and inclusive communities, and 3) inter-connectedness.

**University Committee on Curriculum (UCC), Dr. Marci Mechtel**

Dr. Mechtel reported that the University Committee on Curriculum met at the end of September and approved the following: no new Programs approved; 18 program changes approved; and no program deletions. She also said that 51 new courses were approved; 66 course changes were approved; and 33 course deletions were approved. Regarding course moratoriums, she stated that moratoriums were approved for the Creative Writing Minor, effective Fall Semester of 2017 through Summer Semester of 2020; the Food Safety and
Toxicology Graduate Certificate, effective Spring Semester of 2019; the Extension in Quantitative Biology, effective Spring Semester of 2018 through Spring Semester of 2020; and the Religion in the Americas Minor, effective Fall Semester of 2018 through Fall Semester of 2019. Discussion ensued about the UCC looking at Freshman Seminars.

**UCUE – Rich Bellon**

Dr. Bellon reported that in the University Committee on Undergraduate Committee’s first meeting standards of the curricular issues were dealt with that do not have any larger implications for the University as a whole. He also noted that UCUE’s second meeting was canceled, because of the lack of agenda items. He added that UCUE is anticipating the review of tuitions issues, the review of new computer systems for the evaluation of teaching, and the review of new student information systems. Discussion ensued.

**University Committee on Faculty Affairs (UCFA), Dr. Mark Waddell**

Dr. Mark Waddell reported that UCFA is running a search for a new Faculty Grievance Official (FGO). He noted that UCFA proposed the appointment of an Acting FGO, and that the position will be posted online soon. He also reported that UCFA has been discussing the changes made to the Discipline and Dismissal of Tenure Faculty for Cause Policy, made by the Board of Trustees over the summer. He noted that UCFA has drafted a letter to the Board expressing serious reservations about what they did and how they did it; the letter will be sent to the Board of Trustees this week. Discussion ensued.

**University Committee on Faculty Tenure, Dr. Len Fleck**

Dr. Fleck reported that the University Committee on Faculty Tenure were also asked to weigh in on the Discipline and Dismissal of Tenure Faculty Policy. He noted that the UCFT is concerned that one of the things the President of the University could do is decide whether a faculty member will be able to receive pay through the dismissal process. He added that there were no criteria laid out for this new Policy, and it seemed entirely arbitrary in nature. Discussion ensued.

**University Committee on Student Affairs (UCSA), Katherine (Cookie) Rifiotis and President of ASMSU**

Katherine Rifiotis reported that issues revolving around activism and engagement will be addressed, as will discussions around free speech. She noted that, in addition, discussions about off-campus versus on-campus policies will be reviewed, and how they are different. She noted that a conversation is being conducted with Provost Youatt regarding the implementation of the Dean of Students office. Also under discussion, she said, are issues involving student homelessness and food insecurity, as costs of tuition increase. Students are making radical sacrifices, she stated, that are not sustainable in any means, or conducive to their academic success. She added that general education classes and freshmen seminars are being reviewed to examine their impacts on student success. She addressed concerns about leadership development in the Division of Student Affairs, student mental health issues, and safety and mobility problems on campus.
COGS – Ben Burke – not present

7. NEW BUSINESS

7.1. Faculty Senate Work Session on October 9, with John Beck, Setting Priorities and Approaches for the Coming Year, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member
A motion was made to invite John Beck to Faculty Senate in November. The motion carried.

7.2. Academic Specialists and FRIB Election Process, Amanda Tickner, University Committee on Academic Governance (UCAG) Chairperson
It was noted that FRIB and Academic Specialists at MSU received seats in various Academic Governance bodies, and that they placed representatives in those seats, but, unfortunately, their By-Laws do not specify how they elected those people to those seats. Because there is no official procedure for these units to hold elections, UCAG would like to make a formal request to FRIB and the Academic Specialists that they put language in their By-Laws to specify how they are electing their representatives in Academic Governance.

A motion was made and seconded to take this issue to the Academic Specialists Advisory Committee. The motion carried.

7.3. MSU Candidacy for the MSU Board of Trustees
A motion was made and seconded to invite candidates for the two open MSU Board of Trustees seats, to speak to the University Council. Discussion ensued. The motion carried.

7.4. Block Tuition, Katherine Riffiotis, ASMSU
Concerns were raised about the implementation of Block Tuition at MSU. Discussion ensued.

7.5. Mandatory Healthcare for Students Policy, Katherine Riffiotis, ASMSU
Concerns were raised about the Mandatory Healthcare Policy for Students at MSU. Discussion ensued.

7.6. Search Committee Listening Session Meeting Notes, Request to Make Them Widely Available ASAP, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member
A discussion was held regarding the request that the Presidential Search Committee Listening Session meeting notes be made widely available. The proposal states: "we would like to respectfully request that such notes be made available to all MSU stakeholders, making these notes available via the search committees website or otherwise would signal the committees or the boards desire to be transparent and collaborative in the presidential search process. Furthermore, doing so will eliminate the need for internal and external parties to use FOYA mechanisms to make available the notes in the public forms conducted by the search committee. Most importantly, doing so will
allow campus community members to benefit from insights gained at meetings with diverse audiences across campus and elsewhere." Discussion ensued.

A motion was made and seconded to bring this issue before the Faculty Senate. **Motion passed.**

### 7.7. University Council or Faculty Senate Proposal for Faculty and Student Representatives on BOT Subcommittees, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member

The issue was raised as to whether the MSU Faculty Senate and or University Council should make a request of the Board of Trustees for faculty and student representation on sub-committees that would report to the Board. Such a structure would not violate the State of Michigan Constitution.

A motion was made and seconded to bring this request to the Board of Trustees. **Motion Carried.**

### 7.8. Invite Chairs of BOT Subcommittees to University Council or Faculty Senate Annually for Discussion and Input, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member

A motion was made that the Steering Committee regularly invite the Board of Trustees’ Committee Chairs to report to the University Council once a semester. The motion was seconded. **The motion carried. This initiative will begin in Spring Semester of 2019.**

### 7.9. University Council Presidential Search Committee Proposal, Accepted MSU Practice, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member (Appendix C)

Dr. Johnson stated that, given that the Board is developing sub-committees, does it make sense to invite a representative of the sub-committees to University Council or Faculty Senate annually for discussion and input on whatever they are doing, as a method of communication with the wider University?

It was moved and seconded to put this issue on the University Council agenda for discussion to move forward. The motion was seconded. Discussion ensued. A friendly amendment was made to put this issue on the Faculty Senate’s agenda first, for faculty voice, and then move it to the University Council. **The motion passed.**

### 7.10. Mayor Mark Meadows Speaking at University Council in October, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member

A Motion was made to place this agenda item on the University Council’s agenda, and seconded. **The motion carried.**

### 7.11. Greg Koerner from MSU IT Digital Classroom Services Group to Faculty Senate to Request Feedback, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member

A motion was made to place this item on the November Faculty Senate meeting, and seconded. **The motion carried.**

### 7.12. President and Provost Membership on Faculty Senate, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member

It was noted that it was important to be more civil with each other, especially during more difficult discussions, that faculty should build bridges instead of fracturing relationships. A motion was made to table the request to exclude the President and
Provost from Faculty Senate meetings, and seconded, so that it will not be raised again until someone brings it up. **The motion carried.**

8. **Draft Agenda for Faculty Senate for October 9, 2018**

8.1. University Committee on Curriculum (UCC) Report, Professor Marci Mechtel, UCC Chairperson (Action Item) (Short Report, Appendix) (Long Report, click on link)

8.2. Search Committee Listening Session Meeting Notes, Request to Make Them Widely Available ASAP, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member and Chairperson Sub (Appendix B) – **Cookie Rifiotis will let Sherry Lott know if this will be on or not.**

8.3. University Council Presidential Search Committee Proposal, Accepted MSU Practice, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member (Appendix C)

8.4. John Beck Working Session

A motion to approve the agenda for October 9, 2018 was made and seconded. **The motion carried.**

9. **Draft Agenda for University Council for October 16, 2018**

9.1. University Committee on Curriculum (UCC) Report, Professor Marci Mechtel, UCC Chairperson (Information Item) (Short Report, Appendix) (Long Report, click on link)

9.2. MSU Candidacy for the MSU Board of Trustees, Candidates Brianna Scott (D), Kelly Tebay (D) and Mike Miller (R) (Information Item)

9.3. Mayor Mark Meadows, (Information Item) – **Slated for November**

9.4. University Council Presidential Search Committee Proposal, Accepted MSU Practice, Moriarty Proposal, Dr. Jennifer Johnson, At-Large Member and Chairperson Sub (Appendix)

A motion to approve the agenda for October 16, 2018 was made and seconded. **The motion carried.**

10. **ADJOURNMENT**

A motion to adjourn was made and seconded. **The motion carried.** 5:04 pm.
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
University International Travel
Faculty and Staff Acknowledgment of Risk Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School/College/Unit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Start Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel End Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I understand that Michigan State University’s Office of International Health and Safety (OIHS) has determined that the destination listed above presents a significant level of risk to the health, safety and/or security of University personnel, property or other interests in this destination at the proposed time of travel.

I am aware of this determination and nevertheless desire to travel to this destination on official business. As a condition of my travel, I agree:

1. To register my travel on the MSU Global Travel Registry before departure and endeavor to keep my information correct and updated throughout the duration of this trip.

2. That it is my responsibility to review the security assessment provided by OIHS and to research the risks of the destination and work with OIHS and the Faculty and Staff Travel Review Committee to develop appropriate mitigation strategies.

3. That the University cannot guarantee my safety, and I acknowledge that my decision to travel to this destination may expose me to some extreme risks. I understand that I am responsible for my safety, and I assume responsibility for my decision to travel.

4. That I am not required as a condition of my employment with the University to travel to this destination for any University-related purpose.

5. That MSU will provide international health insurance and medical and security assistance through International SOS (ISOS), if available in the destination country.

I understand and acknowledge the risks associated with travel to this destination. I have read this Acknowledgment of Risk Form. I agree that enforcement of this form will be under the laws of the State of Michigan, should any dispute arise.

Signature: ______________________________________
Date: ___________________________________________

Please email signed form to OIHS, oihs@msu.gov, no later than two weeks prior to travel.
## MSU Designated Countries of Extreme and High Risk*

* A current list of designated countries will be maintained on the ISP OIHS website.

### Extreme Risk Countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Trips by Faculty/Staff/Guest Travelers, 2017-2018</th>
<th>Number of Faculty/Staff/Guest Travelers [Repeat Travelers Excluded] 2017-2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo, Dem Republic of</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Korea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestinian Territories</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Sudan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>45</strong></td>
<td><strong>38</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Second Tier Risk Countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Trips by Faculty/Staff/Guest Travelers, 2017-2018</th>
<th>Number of Faculty/Staff/Guest Travelers [Repeat Travelers Excluded] 2017-2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>72</strong></td>
<td><strong>58</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Traveler registers in GTR
• Country triggers requirement for additional information, and review process is initiated
• Traveler provides information in GTR and submits to OIHS

TRAVELER

• Prepares security assessment
• Assessment sent to traveler, ISP AVP/Dean, college dean, and FASTR chair

OIHS

• Reviews proposed travel and security assessment
• Provides mitigation guidance and makes recommendation
• FASTR chair emails mitigation and recommendation to traveler, ISP AVP Dean and college dean

FASTR

• College dean makes decision about travel approval and notifies ISP Dean/AVP and traveler

DEAN’S REVIEW

• Traveler signs AoR and emails or uploads in GTR a signed copy to OIHS at least 2 weeks prior to travel
• OIHS notifies ISP AVP Dean and college dean of AoR receipt
• College dean signs travel authorization

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RISK

EXTREME RISK TRAVEL REVIEW

• Level 4 DOS
• Additional countries or regions with extreme risk as determined by OIHS
Proposal to establish the Department of African American and African Studies in the College of Arts & Letters

Executive Summary

October 12, 2018

The College of Arts & Letters at Michigan State University requests the establishment of a Department of African American and African Studies (AAAS) within the College. This unit will support the work of students, faculty, and staff associated with the existing Program in African American and African Studies (AAAS) and provide a sustainable structure that will enable us to re-establish our leadership position in Black and Africana Studies.

Executive Summary

This report recommends the establishment of the Department of African American and African Studies (AAAS) in the College of Arts & Letters and the concurrent dissolution of the African American and African Studies Program. The AAAS Program is an interdisciplinary intellectual collective dedicated to producing, challenging, and elevating knowledge on African-descended people, especially in the United States, Africa, the Caribbean, and Latin America. Our core faculty are drawn from various departments in the Colleges of Arts & Letters, Education, and Social Science and our areas of expertise include Education, English, History, and Philosophy. We are committed to making concrete connections between our scholarship, pedagogy, and the cause of social justice. Our program, like the discipline of Black Studies, is interdisciplinary at its core and embraces diverse and comparative scholarly approaches to research, teaching and civic engagement required for vibrant, complex and comprehensive study of the Black world.

A comparative approach to Black Studies scholarship at MSU situates the history, migration, and culture of Africa and its peoples in diaspora within the larger context of global political, economic, and cultural movements. The strengths of the faculty at MSU who are currently associated with the AAAS program (and would move to the department) point to a Diaspora focus, with an emphasis on Gender and Sexuality Studies and critical feminist approaches to structures of power and knowledge production that will distinguish us from our peers and deepen our institutional commitments to equity and inclusiveness. A focus on migration will allow the Department to bring the experiences of regional African American and African-descended populations and themes in Michigan and/or the Upper Midwest into dialogue with scholarship on the broader African Diaspora. This vision will position the Department of African American and African Studies at Michigan State University to advance engaged scholarship that is capable of shaping responses to the concerns of these vibrant and resilient regional communities within a broader cultural, historical, and global context. The emphasis on Gender and Sexuality Studies and critical feminists approaches as it relates to African and African descendants is something that is lacking at other institutions of higher learning and will position Michigan State University to be a leader in the discipline.

At many U.S. institutions of higher learning, there is an increasing awareness that inclusiveness (diversity) – be it in the curriculum or the composition of the student body and faculty (e.g., racial and ethnicity/culture aspects, gender, language, language variation, physical and mental capabilities, political persuasion, religion, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, etc.) – is good for the academy, especially in the multicultural and globalized world that we inhabit. Inclusiveness helps enrich college and university experiences for students and non-students alike. Given
today's multicultural society, knowledge of, and experiences with diversity are essential to any academic enterprise that purports to foster excellence and intends to prepare students who can meaningfully contribute to the public good.

African American and African Studies is essential to this mission of diversity, equity and inclusion at Michigan State University, and to the overall core values, strategic priorities and initiatives of the College of Arts & Letters, specifically interdisciplinarity, and critical diversity, inclusion and community engagement. With regard to students and faculty of color, further, the presence of AAAS amplifies the priorities of the Office of the Provost to support faculty, elevate scholarship, and enhance student success. In order to strengthen AAAS as a Black/Africana Studies unit suitable for a world-class institution like MSU, department status is essential to create the necessary structural anchor.

The current program was founded in 2002 as a Ph.D. granting unit. It has since evolved to also offer a Masters of Arts degree and an undergraduate minor. The creation of a AAAS department has been the long-term goal since its founding, but has evolved in recent years due in part to the realization from deliberations between faculty, graduate students, and other university stakeholders that the current programmatic structure does not provide the quality mentoring and professional development necessary to train students to be competitive both on the academic job market and as leaders in the field of Black Studies. It is impossible to define a sustaining academic mission, train successful graduate students, and remain a major contributor to the field with no dedicated faculty housed in the unit. Also, the lack of an undergraduate major inhibits the ability of undergraduate students to gain a nuanced understanding of critical issues related to diverse populations and the global political, economic, and cultural implications of an increasingly diverse United States.

The AAAS program has gone through a number of transformations in recent years. We are concluding a three-year moratorium on graduate admissions. The program has been in a restructuring phase since the 2015-2016 academic year. The AAAS core (voting) faculty confirmed its support to move forward with a department on May 23, 2017 at our faculty retreat with a vote of 11-0. The director serves as ex-officio and does not vote. Five core faculty members were not present at the retreat. Three of these core faculty members have since voluntarily moved to affiliate status and the remaining two are no longer employed at Michigan State University.

I. Unit involved

African American and African Studies (AAAS) is a cross-college, university-wide, academic unit hosted by the College of Arts & Letters at Michigan State University. The AAAS Program is an interdisciplinary intellectual collective which currently consists of 17 core faculty members and 15 affiliated faculty members. There are 12 Ph.D. students and 36 undergraduate minors matriculating through the program. Of the core faculty, 8 are actively advising graduate students and 4 teach the undergraduate minor curriculum. The faculty are drawn from various departments in the Colleges of Arts & Letters, Social Science, and Education. Based on the strength of committed core faculty, AAAS has defined its major areas of intellectual inquiry as Histories, Social and Cultural Theory, and Feminisms, Gender, and Sexuality as they pertain to Africa and Transnational Diasporic Studies. These areas were singled out because they are core strengths and provide the opportunity to distinguish AAAS at MSU as a leader in the field.
of Black Studies. Faculty engaged in this scholarship at MSU are already award-winning scholars in these areas within their individual disciplines. However, when working collectively within a AAAS department, these combined strengths will make Michigan State University the center for such inquiry both nationally and globally. Prior to this recent change, the program mission was broader in that it centered on the teaching, research, and production and creation of knowledge; and the cultivation of scholars committed to academic excellence and social responsibility in the Black world. Part of this openness was due to the program structure in which the unit has relied solely on any faculty member at the university who expressed an interest in being a part of AAAS, rather than on specific areas of strength. The program continues to embrace diverse scholarly approaches to research, teaching and civic engagement required for vibrant, complex and comprehensive study within the larger Black Studies discipline. However, rather than continue a dilettante approach to Black Studies, a focus on the previously mentioned areas allows for specialization and forward thinking.

The Graduate Program
The graduate program in AAAS was founded in 2002 when there was an urgent need for model interdisciplinary Ph.D. programs focused on Black and Africana Studies to educate a new generation of faculty to lead and develop these important areas of research. At the time, there were only a handful of Ph.D. granting departments in the nation, and the interdisciplinary program faced little competition as it established itself as a leader in the field. Innovative members of the faculty like Geneva Smitherman and Darlene Clark Hine helped establish MSU as a leader in African American socio-linguistics, education, and comparative African-American history.

In its current program structure, AAAS PhD students are required to take four graduate seminars AAAS 829, 830, 831, and 832. Each course serves as an important scholarly delivery of our disciplinary academic model to graduate students that introduces AAAS students to the core tenets of the Black Studies discipline. AAAS 829 examines these tenets from a Black Studies African continental perspective. AAAS 830 examines these tenets from African-American US perspective. AAAS 831 incorporates an African Diaspora perspective. The AAAS 832: Multi-Cultural Pedagogy and Methods Seminar in African American and African Studies provides instruction in methods, leadership and mentoring for graduate students.

In addition to the core courses, graduate students are required to take 15 additional graduate credits (including a 3-credit methods course) in at least one of the following sub-disciplinary concentrations: Anthropology, Education, English, Geography, History, Philosophy, Political Science, Sociology, and Writing Rhetoric and American Culture (WRAC).

Students also complete foreign language instruction as well as domestic and international internships.

The first class of students graduated from the Ph.D. program in 2009. Since then, 30 students have matriculated through the program, with roughly two-thirds working as professors in community colleges and four-year institutions across the nation. Some of the institutions where AAAS graduate students have found tenure-track positions in recent years are Santa Clara University, the University of North Carolina-Charlotte, the University of Alabama, the University of California-Merced, California State University-Northridge, the University of Pittsburgh, and the University of North Carolina-Pembroke. Many of these students have also won prestigious
grants and received post-doctoral fellowships at the College of William and Mary, the Pennsylvania State University, Syracuse University, and Bowdoin College.

The first cohort of three AAAS doctoral students entered the program a year after its founding in the 2003-2004 academic year. From that initial class through the 2015-2016 academic year, 68 students have entered the program with most finishing within a 5-6-year time to degree.

The M.A. program in AAAS began in the 2007-2008 academic year with one student, and experienced significant growth after the 2010-2011 academic year. Since 2010-2011, fourteen students entered the program. Five of these students left the program earlier, with three of them moving on to earn PhD’s in departments at Michigan State University such as Teacher Education, History, and Sociology. There were five additional students in the M.A. program who entered under the M.A. bridge program in which after the completion of the degree they automatically transitioned into the AAAS doctoral program. Two of these students chose to remain for the Ph.D., with the others opting for Ph.D. departments at highly competitive institutions such as the University of London and the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

The Undergraduate Program

The Minor in African American and African Studies is administered by the College of Arts & Letters and was created in 2010 as a specialization. It transitioned to an undergraduate minor in 2013 and provides students with an interdisciplinary study of the historical and contemporary lived experiences of African descendants in the United States and elsewhere in the northern hemispheric African Diaspora, as well as continental African nations and peoples. The minor is available to students who are enrolled in bachelor’s degree programs at Michigan State University. With the approval of the department and college that administers the student’s degree program, 6 of the 18 credits in courses that are used to satisfy the minor may also be used to satisfy the requirements for the bachelor’s degree. The 12-credit core curriculum requirement comprised of AAAS 100, 300, 390, and 495 are unique credits that offer undergraduates a foundation in Black Studies and may not be used to satisfy the requirements for the student’s bachelor’s degree program. As of Fall 2017, students in the minor may choose from an Arts and Humanities track or a Social Science track that satisfies the requirements for the respective colleges.

Requirements for the Minor in African American and African Studies (Social Science Track)

Students must complete 18 credits from the following:

All of the following core courses (12 credits)-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAAS 100</td>
<td>Race and Community in Local to Global Perspective (Introductory Course)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAAS 300</td>
<td>Survey in Africana Studies (Capstone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAAS 390</td>
<td>Special Topics in Black/Africana Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAAS 495</td>
<td>Advanced Research Methods in African American and African Studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complete a minimum of 6 credits from the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANP 330</td>
<td>Race, Ethnicity, and Nation: Anthropological Approaches to Collective Identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC 414</td>
<td>Economic Analysis of Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Requirements for the Minor in African American and African Studies (Arts and Humanities Track)

Students must complete 18 credits from the following:

All of the following core courses (12 credits)-

- AAAS 100 Race and Community in Local to Global Perspective
- AAAS 300 Survey in Africana Studies
- AAAS 390 Special Topics in Black/Africana Studies
- AAAS 495 Advanced Research Methods in African American and African Studies

Complete a minimum of 6 credits from the following:

- ENG 317 Readings in African and Caribbean Literature
- ENG 350 Readings in African, African American, and African Diaspora Literature
- ENG 450 Seminar in African American Literature
- HST 310 African American History to 1876
- HST 311 African American History since 1876
- HST 312 African American Women
- HST 360 African History to 1800
- HST 361 African History since 1800
- HST 383 The Caribbean
- PHL 350 Introduction to Social and Political Philosophy
- MC 324B Regional Politics, Cooperation, and Conflict in Sub Saharan Africa
- MC 372 Comparative Black Political Thought
- MC 377 Culture, Politics, and Post-Colonialism
- MC 383 African American Politics
- WRA 125 Writing: The American Ethnic and Racial Experience

All four undergraduate course offerings are filled to capacity regularly and are taught by the faculty with contractual assignments in the unit and the occasional advanced graduate student. The unit recently hired a fixed term academic specialist to serve as an advisor to both graduate and undergraduate students. Her responsibilities include helping to enhance the undergraduate experience and recruit minors as well as lead the study abroad program to South Africa. She
II. Rationale for formation of the Department of AAAS

National Trends

Since the founding of the program in 2002, the field of Black and Africana Studies has become highly competitive as universities refine their strategic focus on educating scholars capable of bringing their research and pedagogy to bear on the complex interdisciplinary questions with which the field is concerned. Initially, Michigan State University had one of only a small handful of Ph.D. programs in the entire field of Black/Africana Studies. These early departments included the University of California-Berkeley, University of Massachusetts-Amherst, and Temple University. MSU’s Ph.D. program once ranked as high as number 2 ahead of Harvard University. Since then, however, most major universities have begun offering Black Studies doctorates, with many universities resourcing and developing Ph.D. programs in already functioning departments. At present, 17 major institutions offer a Black Studies Ph.D., attracting top students in almost every scholarly topic in the field.\(^1\) Of those, Michigan State University is now the only institution that offers a Ph.D. in Black Studies and/or related field in a program structure as opposed to supporting it within the context of a department. In addition to the previously mentioned University of California-Berkeley, University of Massachusetts-Amherst, and Temple University, of the 17 departments, 7 departments exist at peer institutions within the Big Ten academic conference (See Appendix A).

A 2013 University of Illinois survey on African American Studies units across the nation pointed to five priorities for African American Studies at the graduate level. Each of these priorities reflected the needs of these units to meet the historical challenges posed by the field and achieve success in the modern university: 1) Black intellectual history, including ongoing research on the current state of Black Studies through surveys, case studies, and comparative studies of other ethnic studies units, 2) Interdisciplinary study of the Black American Experience; 3) Global connections and diaspora dialogues which is inclusive of continental Africa, the Caribbean, Latin America, and other areas around the globe with African descended populations; 4) Application, that is, putting theory into practice; 5) Creative use of new technologies. While these priorities are general, AAAS at Michigan State has sought ways in which to align its unit with these national trends. Moreover, through engaging with broader notions of the African diaspora, the unit has the potential to move beyond superficial notions of global connections and diaspora dialogues to make a real impact in the field.

\(^1\) Cornell University, Harvard University, Indiana University, Northwestern University, The Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, Temple University, University of California-Berkeley, University of Colorado-Boulder, University of Louisville, University of Massachusetts-Amherst, University of Pennsylvania, University of Texas at Austin, University of Wisconsin at Milwaukwee, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Yale University. See, http://www.ncbsonline.org/africana_studies_graduate_programs
III. Background: The Developmental Process

Conversations regarding the existing program model and the realization that a shift in its structure was needed generated momentum beginning in 2011. With the support of the College of Arts & Letters, the AAAS faculty have used a moratorium on the graduate program implemented by the college in 2015 to strategize about ways to move forward. Throughout the 2016-2017 academic year, the faculty met frequently to develop strategies for restructuring the program to better serve the needs of students and faculty and remain competitive in the larger field. The initial actions were to review AAAS bylaws and reconcile them with existing university and college bylaws. As part of that process, we solidified the role of the director, articulated the duties and responsibilities of core and affiliated faculty, and responsibilities of AAAS committees. The goal was to develop an infrastructure to make the best use of limited faculty resources within the current program structure and provide a foundation to better train graduate students. As part of the strategic planning for the 2016-2017 academic year, the unit also brought in two senior scholars (one each in the Fall and Spring) to consult with the dean, AAAS faculty and graduate students about the best ways to move forward and reopen graduate admissions in the unit. Part of these discussions involved refining the academic vision and mission of the unit and revising the graduate handbook and undergraduate minor. The AAAS curriculum committee initiated this process at the graduate level.

At the undergraduate level, the College of Social Science (CSS) instituted requirements that all majors within their college must also enroll in a minor from that college. Because AAAS recruits heavily from CSS, the unit revised its minor to meet CSS requirements and also streamlined courses to remain current within its home college (CAL). Courses that were no longer on the books were eliminated and the two academic tracks were revised to an Arts and Humanities Track and a Social Science Track in order to better serve students and keep the AAAS minor in line with changes throughout the colleges. By doing so, the unit can continue to recruit minors from across the university.

Though the initial efforts regarding the bylaws, and curriculum revisions were successful, the limitations of the program model resurfaced when planning to reopen graduate admissions for Fall 2017. To work through this process, the core faculty participated in a faculty retreat on May 22-23, 2017. Much of our discussion at the retreat centered on lifting the moratorium on graduate admissions, creating new directions for the program, and restructuring the program in light of disciplinary strengths we have from the committed core faculty. During the retreat, the faculty worked to construct a vision and mission statement for the program that aligned with the research and teaching interests of core members of the unit. Central to this process was envisioning a program in which MSU’s AAAS could attract and recruit competitive students and compete in the larger discipline of Black Studies nationwide. In addition to constructing a vision, faculty defined the core fields of the AAAS program and outlined a cluster of foci that would showcase our areas of strength. These areas included Critical Knowledge Production, Feminisms, Gender and Sexuality Studies, and Languages, Literatures and Cultures. Because the unit has historically relied on volunteer faculty, there has never been a clear scholarly area(s) of focus in the unit. Finally, the faculty discussed the implementation of a process for the revision of both the graduate handbook, the undergraduate curriculum, and the possibility of finding ways to hire faculty into the unit. We engaged in a generative and productive deliberation, but came to an impasse when determining how to implement proposed changes. The faculty came to the following conclusions: AAAS at Michigan State University cannot sustain a graduate program under the current conditions. Most of the faculty in AAAS at Michigan State are not rooted in Black Studies, but within other disciplines (primarily the College of Education, English, History, and Philosophy). More often than not, these faculty do not
engage the field of Black Studies as their primary vehicle for research and academic inquiry and have utilized structures from their respective disciplines to cobble together a cohesive program, graduate handbook, and other unit processes. As a result, the program at Michigan State University is not in a position to compete with peer institutions. Our current Ph.D. structure relies on the volunteerism of tenured faculty (the majority of which are not in the College of Arts & Letters). The undergraduate minor relies exclusively on junior faculty with 25%, and 50% contractual assignments in AAAS.

The faculty requested from Dean Christopher P. Long of the College of Arts & Letters an external review of the program by senior scholars in the field of Black Studies to offer insight as to the viability of the program. Drs. Carole Boyce-Davies (Cornell) and Clarence Lang (Kansas) were chosen and visited AAAS on October 26-27, 2017. A total of 12 core faculty members and 14 graduate students participated. The reviewers were asked to structure their visit and report by addressing the following questions:

1) Based on the strengths of its current faculty and the proposed vision for the program, how might the Ph.D. program be uniquely positioned to take a lead nationally in specific direction of Black Studies scholarship (Africa, African American, the African Diaspora, etc.)? How can the program best position itself to be competitive in attracting top graduate students?

2) What are the fundamental skills and experiences (research, teaching, publication) that graduate students should have when they leave the program in order to be competitive on the academic job market?

3) Given the interdisciplinary nature of Black Studies from its inception, does the AAAS program in its current structure foster interdisciplinarity, while at the same time maintaining the core foundations and principles of Black Studies as a stand-alone discipline?

4) Is the graduate curriculum at MSU in line with disciplinary standards? What are the best practices for organizing the graduate curriculum (handbook, areas of focus, comprehensive examination, internships, etc.)?

5) What are disciplinary foundations that students in the undergraduate minor should graduate from the program knowing? Can they successfully transition into a Ph.D. program in Black Studies or a related field from MSU based on our current offerings?

6) Is the current structure of African American and African Studies as a Ph.D. granting program (without department status) viable? What are the strategies/structures that could be put in place to help strengthen the unit?

7) What are the advantages to department status? What structures need to be in place (faculty, curriculum, programming, institutional resources) in order to insure a successful transition?

The external reviewers concluded the following in their report dated November 26, 2017:

1) The African American and African Studies Program should become a department by the next academic year, with its own faculty and an increase in clerical staff
2) The College of Arts and Letters, with the support of central MSU administration, should approve an average of three (3) new hires per year for full-time AAAS faculty lines in order to achieve a core faculty of 11 (eleven) over the next three years. We stress that AAAS faculty growth should not rely on the recruitment of existing faculty, which could foster animosity from their existing home departments, as well as stoke ill will between the College of Arts and Letters and other colleges housing current AAAS faculty.

3) The College of Arts and Letters, with the support of MSU administration, should assist African American and African Studies in developing a Bachelor’s Degree, and possibly also a five-year combined BA/MA so as to attract talented students from the undergraduate major into the graduate program.

4) The College of Arts and Letters, in partnership with AAAS and other colleges and units, should continue the ongoing work of clarifying expectations regarding teaching, service, and evaluations in Memoranda of Understanding for AAAS-affiliated faculty.

5) The College of Arts and Letters, in partnership with AAAS and other colleges and units, should continue to be mindful of ways to support the research productivity of pre-tenure faculty who have affiliations with AAAS. A better structured leave of absence before tenure would ensure that their service to AAAS is rewarded as it also prepares them for tenure, promotion, and professional advancement in the field.

6) The College of Arts and Letters, in partnership with AAAS and the Graduate School, should compose a joint message to all current AAAS doctoral students assuring them of their value to MSU and the University’s commitment to their academic success and professional advancement. Continuing efforts also should be made to monitor and assist these doctoral students with funding opportunities and other necessary academic support.

7) The College of Arts and Letters should make deliberate efforts to anchor and integrate its strategic plan initiatives (e.g., “Critical Diversity in a Digital Age”) in existing interdisciplinary units like AAAS, instead of creating new units that duplicate the labor they already have been performing with few resources. That is, the College’s emerging new strategic initiatives should provide opportunities to enhance the work of units like AAAS rather than occasions to diminish or mischaracterize them as “silos.”

Following the external review and report, the unit was given the approval from Dean Christopher Long on March 9, 2018 to pursue a formal proposal for the establishment of a AAAS Department.
IV. Description of Department of AAAS

Mission
The mission of African American and African Studies (AAAS) as an interdisciplinary intellectual collective is centered on the study and production of knowledge of Africa and the African Diaspora, especially in the United States, the Caribbean, and Latin America. We feel charged with the task of providing an integrative education aimed at illuminating the experiences, lives and circumstances of peoples in Africa and its diasporas, along with the many diasporas of Black peoples globally and transnationally. Our major areas of intellectual inquiry include Histories, Social and Cultural Theory, and Feminisms, Gender, and Sexuality Studies as they pertain to Africa and Transnational Diasporic Studies. As a unit, we are committed to making concrete connections between our scholarship, pedagogy, and the cause of racial and social justice.

Areas of Study:

1) Histories
2) Social and Cultural Criticisms
3) Feminisms, Gender, and Sexuality Studies

1. Name

The core faculty of AAAS recommend that the new Department be named African American and African Studies.

The name of the department firmly situates the department in the larger field of African American Studies which is rooted in the United States experience. However, it maintains the Michigan State University and AAAS scholarly commitment to engage the global position of the African continent, while assessing its global impact through the African Diaspora.

2. Faculty

The Department will be true to the founding interdisciplinary vision of AAAS at MSU by establishing departmental bylaws, policies, practices, and a curriculum that require faculty and students to draw on disciplinary expertise in doing transdisciplinary work. Our ability to hire and grant tenure to faculty in the Department will enhance this interdisciplinary approach by grounding it in Black Studies. This will enable our faculty to reach out in collaboration with a broader range of disciplines as full partners. These collaborations will be the catalyst through which the Department will advance the intellectual vision outlined above and re-establish the Ph.D. program as a national and international leader in the field.

To accomplish this, we will need to combine the existing strengths of our faculty with a strategic hiring plan that will enable us to recruit 6 new faculty members from within the university beginning in Spring 2019 for AY 19-20 and 6 external hires over the next three years (2 each year with searches starting in AY 19-20). Such a critical mass of scholars in
Black Studies will be able to shape a visionary interdisciplinary graduate curriculum and support the success of a new cohort of creative and engaged graduate students.

At least four of these new external hires should come at the rank of Associate or Full professor to give the new department the depth of scholarship and experience it will need to succeed. The Dean of the College of Arts and Letters has committed to leveraging all the tools at our disposal—including MSU Foundation Professorships—to recruit the best candidates for the positions the unit identifies.

As the vision and mission of the unit continues to be refined and developed in AY 18-19, we will undertake conversations about which faculty members internal to MSU are best positioned to contribute to the new Department. How those appointments are structured will be tailored to the career pathway and goals of each individual faculty member. The Dean has committed to working with each faculty member, the Chair of their current Department, and, when necessary, the Dean of their home College, to ensure that appointments advance the mission of the new Department and the success of the individual faculty members involved. This will be done in the spirit of mutually beneficial collaboration without the intent to compromise the quality programs of the faculty members’ home departments.

AAAS has been in conversations with chairs in the College of Arts and Letters and are committed to maintaining strong relationships. As all of the initial hires to the unit will come from other departments, it is our expectation that many of them will not shift their entire lines to AAAS. To accommodate existing departments, but also to ensure that the department can function properly, AAAS is prepared to accept 15%, 25%, and 49% jointly appointed faculty from faculty internal to MSU. All external (new) hires will have their tenure homes in the Department of AAAS.

3. **Undergraduate Program**

   No changes are anticipated in AAAS offerings of the undergraduate minor at this time. The minor was most recently revised during the 2017-2018 academic year to streamline courses and allow more course options for minors in the College of Arts & Letters and the College of Social Science. Those changes went into effect this fall. All currently enrolled students will continue to have the same opportunities to fulfill their program without any impact. Students who minor in AAAS come from a broad array of majors and colleges including, James Madison College, CAL, and CSS among others. In agreement with the College’s priority of enriching the undergraduate experience, the curriculum of all our undergraduate minor is regularly discussed and reviewed to confirm that it meets students’ needs and meets their goals in sufficient breadth and depth.

   With the establishment of a AAAS department, the goal is to create an undergraduate major within the first 5 years of its creation. The Bachelor’s degree in AAAS will provide opportunities for graduate students in AAAS to acquire much needed experience teaching in their discipline.

   The undergraduate minor will continue to be offered with the establishment of the department. The core courses from the undergraduate minor are transferrable to the undergraduate major. In AY 19-20, the AAAS faculty (internal hires) will begin constructing the undergraduate major using the existing undergraduate minor curriculum as a model. The first cohort of external hires arrives in AY 20-21, undergraduate course revisions and creation of new courses will continue. The expectation is that a completed major will
move through academic governance in AY 21-22 and students will be able to major in AAAS beginning in AY 22-23.

4. **Graduate Program**
   No changes are anticipated in AAAS offerings of the graduate program at this time. Once the Department has been created, the program will move out of moratorium and we will pursue curricular change. Currently there are 12 students in the program with all but 3 having achieved Ph.D. candidacy status. Most candidates in the program have advanced to this status within the past two academic years. All should advance to candidacy by the end of AY 18-19 with the majority completing their degrees in AY 19-20. The transfer of these students to a department structure will not affect their time to degree. Moving forward, the university has guaranteed the program 20 fully funded Ph.D. lines over a five-year period. This translates to four students admitted each year with five-year funding packages. The expectation is that the AAAS department will begin taking applications for a new cohort of Ph.D. students in AY 19-20 for the following academic year.

As the remaining members of the last graduate cohort defend their dissertations and are successfully placed, the faculty in the new AAAS department have an opportunity to reset the culture of the program with a revised graduate handbook that ensures interdisciplinary training for students that aligns with the vision of the Department outlined above.

5. **Assessment**
   We approach assessment from a variety of perspectives; a fuller assessment plan will be developed by the new Department Chair and his/her administrative team and faculty beginning in AY 19-20 once the department is established. The new Department would conduct assessment of its curriculum, students, and faculty members on a regular basis in order to evaluate their position vis-à-vis the university metrics of excellence and productivity such as the University Learning Goals and the interactive rubrics provided in: [http://learninggoals.undergrad.msu.edu/](http://learninggoals.undergrad.msu.edu/). A foundation already exists, as the existing Program has assessment plans in place in the current AAAS program bylaws that govern faculty and graduate students. These bylaws were revised most recently in October 2016.

Currently, AAAS is going through a detailed vision process in which faculty interested in joining the new department are required to submit updated curriculum vitae and statements of interest indicating their level of commitment to transferring all or portions of their line to the unit. Interested faculty will meet throughout this academic year and will be the core group to construct and implement any new assessment plan.

6. **Department Governance** Initially, the department will be governed by the College of Arts & Letters and University bylaws. Once the department is established, tenure stream faculty within the new unit will create department bylaws that will address issues of faculty governance, annual review, reappointment, tenure and promotion, among other procedures for administering the unit. This process will begin in AY 20-21 (i.e. the second year) once there is an established AAAS faculty.

7. **Budget and statement about resources**
   The success of the Department of African American and African Studies is a top priority for the College of Arts & Letters as it puts its core commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion into practice. All existing AAAS Program-related resources will be invested into the new Department. The Provost has committed funding for 2 new faculty lines a year for three years (6 total) to support the hiring strategy outlined in this proposal. The College of
Arts & Letters will work with Department Chairs and College Deans to negotiate the transfer of salary lines for internal faculty into the new Department. To reiterate, this will be done in the spirit of mutually beneficial collaboration without the intent to compromise the quality programs of the faculty members’ home departments. Current resources to support graduate fellowships in AAAS will be transferred into the new Department. A detailed 5-year budget plan has been submitted to the Provost’s Office as part of the College of Arts & Letters Spring 2018 budget request. This plan outlines the recurring funds required to ensure the success of the Department, including the redirection of existing funds in the College and the request for new funds to support faculty hiring and graduate student support. An official request for space has been made with the Office of Facilities Planning and Plant Management.

8. Personnel plans and impact on faculty and staff

**Tenure stream faculty**
Since AAAS is currently a program, no tenure stream faculty are directly impacted by the transition to a department. The four faculty with teaching assignments in the unit have 100% appointments in other departments. Should they choose to transfer all or portions of their lines to the new department or maintain teaching assignments in AAAS, then their current memorandums of understanding must be renegotiated.

The core and affiliated faculty model under which the program currently operates would be abolished and all responsibilities for teaching, advising, and mentoring of graduate and undergraduate students would be the responsibility of the tenure system faculty in the new department or have a portion of their tenure line allocated to the new department.

**Non-tenure stream faculty**
AAAS currently has one fixed-term academic specialist. This position will be reassigned to the Department of African American and African Studies as a permanent position consistent with the university policies and procedures and in consultation with Human Resources.

**Assessment of Department Faculty**
Until bylaws are established for the department in AY 2019-20, AAAS will operate based on the College of Arts & Letters and University bylaws and procedures regarding tenure, promotion, annual review, and reappointment.

**Staff**
All staff members currently appointed in AAAS will be reassigned to the Department of African American and African Studies consistent with contractual agreements and in consultation with Human Resources. This includes one graduate secretary.
V. Internal Consultation with AAAS Program Faculty and Students

**Faculty**

In addition to the previously mentioned activities, with the support of the College of Arts & Letters, the AAAS faculty have used the moratorium to strategize about ways to move forward. The external faculty consultations, program revisions, core faculty retreats on May 22-23, 2017, May 11, 2018, and the external review from October 26-27, 2017 insured that all affected faculty, staff, and stakeholders were offered extensive opportunities for input and to actively participate in deliberations that resulted in these recommendations. All the meeting minutes, reports, correspondence with administrators, proposal drafts, and department proposal drafts were made available on a AAAS D2L page established for this process. Professors at the Assistant, Associate and Full levels, and the graduate students all met by rank with the external reviewers. Graduate students elected a representative to the most recent retreat and the current vision process. All indicated that Michigan State’s AAAS program had reached a critical “turning point” in its evolution and cannot continue under the current conditions of its existence.”

**Students**

A representative elected by the AAAS graduate students was involved in the department proposal process and attended the most recent faculty retreat in May 2018. This representative is also involved in the current vision process for the selection of faculty and curriculum revisions and will continue in this role through the establishment of the department.

The Program Director met with undergraduate minors on April 4, 2018 at one of our monthly “Talk Back” sessions. The sessions provide an opportunity for undergraduate minors and other interested MSU students to come together and discuss issues impacting them as students at MSU and the larger community. There was enthusiasm about the possibility of department status. The students particularly raised the question of a AAAS major and were excited that future generations of undergraduates would be able to take advantage of such an opportunity. A follow-up meeting with the undergraduate students is scheduled for October 17, 2018.

**Staff**

The academic specialist and the graduate secretary attend all AAAS core faculty meetings and have been actively involved in the proposal process.

VI. Consultation by Unit and College Governance

**Unit**

A vote at the May 22-23, 2017 faculty retreat on whether to move forward with a plan to push for the establishment of a department was called and all were in favor of moving forward with a AAAS department. The vote was 11-0, excluding the director who serves ex-officio at AAAS meetings. According to AAAS bylaws, the quorum for a vote is 25% of core faculty (five faculty members). AAAS has 17 core faculty members. The 11 members present at the meeting was well over this number.
College Advisory Committee

On October 4, 2018, the Department was an agenda item at the College Advisory Committee’s (CAC) monthly meeting. The Program Director and two elected members of the AAAS executive committee members attended and answered questions. The Program Director has also extended an offer to the CAC to attend any meeting in which the Department Process and Program Bylaws may be discussed as well as their impact on the College Bylaws. The CAC enthusiastically endorsed the department proposal and voluntarily wrote the memo attached to this proposal in support.

VII. Start Date and Calendar

Timeline

Spring/Summer 2018

- Prepare proposal to create a new Department of African American and African Studies.

October 2018

- Submit proposal to create the Department to Provost Office for Approval and subsequent Academic Governance review and Administrative processes routing.

Fall 2018

- Refine the strategic plan and academic vision for the new Department of African American and African Studies.

  Develop a strategic hiring plan to be executed in Spring 2019 (vision, mission, areas for hiring), AY 19-20 and AY 20-21.

  Begin assessing the Graduate Handbook to align it with the new vision and structure in anticipation of curriculum revisions and the re-opening of the graduate program in AY 2020-21.

Spring 2019

- Articulate and establish a process by which faculty may apply to be founding members of the Department based on its academic mission, while preserving and enhancing the current strengths of existing programs at MSU.

- Receive applications from MSU faculty to join the Department.

- Review internal faculty applications to the Department.

- Execute the strategic hiring plan (establish search committee, create job descriptions).

- Develop a strategic recruitment plan for a new cohort of highly qualified graduate students.
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AY 2019-2020

Execute the strategic recruitment plan for a new cohort of highly qualified graduate students.

Recruit and hire new faculty according to the approved strategic hiring plan.

Recruit and admit 4 new students into the graduate program for fall 2020.

Submit proposed graduate curriculum changes to the College/Graduate School and the Provost’s Office.

Begin conversations regarding creating the new undergraduate major.

AY 2020-2021

Welcome new faculty to MSU.

Welcome new cohort of graduate students to MSU.

Continue undergraduate course revisions and creation of new undergraduate courses to submit to academic governance.

Recruit and hire two new faculty according to the approved strategic hiring plan.

AY 2021-22

Welcome new faculty to MSU.

Begin accepting undergraduate majors.

Recruit and hire two new faculty according to the approved strategic hiring plan.
Appendix A:

Overview of Peer Institutions
The following data were taken from two surveys 1) a 2016 peer survey by AAAS graduate student Leah Gaines on Northwestern, Purdue, Indiana-Bloomington, Ohio State, Penn State, Illinois, Maryland and 2) a 2018 survey by academic specialist Joy Coates gathered from current web sites of MSU, UMass-Amherst, UC-Berkeley, and Temple.

I. PROGRAM, COURSES, FACULTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Faculty Core</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Offering</th>
<th>Faculty Core</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michigan State University</td>
<td>African American and African Studies</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>PhD\nMA\nBA-minor</td>
<td>Full professor – 7\nAssoc professor – 9\nAssist professor – 9\nAffiliated faculty - 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern</td>
<td>African American Studies</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td>PhD\nMA &amp; Certificate\nBA-major, minor &amp; honors</td>
<td>Full professor – 7\nAssoc professor – 6\nAssist professor – 0\nAffiliated faculty – 28 Postdoc - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purdue</td>
<td>African American Studies and Research</td>
<td>Center</td>
<td>PhD\nMA\nBA-major &amp; minor</td>
<td>Full professor – 1\nAssoc professor – 4\nAssist professor – 0\nAffiliated faculty – 13 Courtesy faculty - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana-Bloomington</td>
<td>African American and African Diaspora Studies</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td>PhD/PhD minor\nMA\nBA-major &amp; minor</td>
<td>Full professor – 3\nAssoc professor – 2\nAssist professor – 2\nAffiliated faculty – 22 Lecturer – 1 Postdoc - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio State</td>
<td>African American and African Studies</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td>PhD\nMA&amp;MA-minor\nBA-major &amp; minor</td>
<td>Full professor – 8\nAssoc professor – 7\nAssist professor – 2\nAffiliated faculty - 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn State</td>
<td>African American Studies</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td>PhD\nMA\nBA-major &amp; minor</td>
<td>Full professor – 4\nAssoc professor – 6\nAssist professor – 4\nAffiliated faculty – 11 Postdoc - 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>African American Studies</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Grad concentration\nBA-major, minor &amp; certificate</td>
<td>Full professor – 5\nAssoc professor – 6\nAssist professor – 2\nAffiliated faculty – 44 Visiting assist professor - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>African American Studies</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td>PhD\nMA &amp; Certificate</td>
<td>Full professor – 1\nAssoc professor – 3\nAssist professor – 2\nAffiliated faculty – 63 Lecturers - 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Degree Programs</td>
<td>Faculty Structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMass-Amherst</td>
<td>Afro-American Studies</td>
<td>PhD, MA &amp; Certificate, BA-major &amp; minor</td>
<td>Full professor – 5, Assoc professor – 1, Assist professor – 4, Affiliated faculty – 12, Lecturer - 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC-Berkeley</td>
<td>African American Studies (&amp; African Diaspora Studies)</td>
<td>PhD, MA, BA-major, summer minor &amp; certificate</td>
<td>Full professor – 5, Assoc professor – 8, Assist professor – 3, Affiliated faculty – 5, Visiting lecturers/faculty – 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple</td>
<td>Africology and African American Studies</td>
<td>PhD, MA, BA-major &amp; minor</td>
<td>Full professor – 3, Assoc professor – 1, Assist professor – 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Areas of Study</td>
<td>Degree Requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, African American and African Studies** est. as a program in 2002 (See Coates survey, 2-4. for complete details on program) | The AAAS Program at MSU is an interdisciplinary intellectual collective dedicated to producing, challenging, and elevating knowledge on African-descended people, especially in the United States, Africa, the Caribbean, and Latin America. Though our faculty are drawn from various departments in the Colleges of Arts and Letters, Social Science, and Education, our disciplines of expertise include History, English, Philosophy, and Education. Our major areas of intellectual inquiry include Gender and Sexuality Studies, Feminisms, Critical Knowledge Production, and Languages, Literatures, and Cultures. We are committed to making concrete connections between our scholarship, pedagogy, and the cause of social justice. | PhD—Three important elements define our core curriculum graduate study of African American and African Studies at MSU: 1) a discrete set of interconnected, required core seminar courses based in African-American studies, African studies and African Diaspora studies, 2) two required internships courses (domestic and international) and one required teaching mentoring/pedagogy professional development course, 3) a required Africana Language immersion program. | PhD—requires its graduate students to complete 30 credits of course work including: three (3) graduate seminar courses in Introduction to African American and African Studies I, II, and III (AAAS 829, 830, 831):  
- AAAS 829 examines these tenets from a Black Studies African continental perspective  
- AAAS 830 examines these tenets from a Black Studies African-American US perspective  
- AAAS 831 examines these tenets from a Black Studies African Diaspora perspective  
Requires two (2) internship courses  
- AAAS 893a Internship in African American and African Studies (must be performed in a Black community outside of the United States)  
- AAAS 893c Multi-Cultural Pedagogy and Methods Seminar in African American and African Studies (Methods, leadership and mentoring for graduate students of undergraduate students of color)  
- minimum of 3 credits in research methods in the area of concentration  
- additional 12 credits of course work in an area of concentration |
| Language requirement--students must attain spoken and written second-year proficiency in a language used in Africa, the U.S. or elsewhere in the African Diaspora, other than the Language of Wider Communication of the United States and England. Assist faculty in one offering of AAAS 495 Advanced Research in African American and African Studies. Comprehensive exams--written/oral |  |  |
| NORTHWESTERN, African American Studies, est. as a department in 1972 (See Gaines survey, pg. 8-26 for complete details on program) | Our scholarship and teaching build and explore analytic paradigms that tease out the commonalities and connections, as well as the differences and debates, with respect to how people organize communities, shape cultures, and navigate dominant racial power structures. We use a variety of methodologies and engage both academia and the wider community in order to contribute to African American Studies' intellectual canon while offering insights, prescriptions, and critical challenges to address larger societal issues. | PhD-Three substantive areas form the basis of this program:  
1. [Expressive Arts and Cultural Studies](#)  
2. [Histories](#)  
3. [Politics, Society, and Culture](#) | PhD-require all our students to take eighteen (18) courses total over two years (all things being equal, an average of three courses per quarter). Program Breakdown:  
18 required courses go as follows:  
- 6 core courses  
- 4 track courses  
- 4 courses within one's chosen discipline of specialization  
- 3 elective courses  
- 1 research methods course  
Language requirements-  
- Some students, depending on their research projects, will be required to attain competence in a foreign language.  
Qualifying Exams—written/oral |
| PURDUE, African American Studies and Research est. as a center in 1970 (See Gaines survey, pg. 50-69 for complete details on program) | The mission of African American Studies and Research Center is to provide an interdisciplinary education, which prepares students to be astute readers, clear writers, and critical thinkers. Our courses allow students to engage complex social problems with the tools of historical resources and theoretical perspectives. AASRC is building an intellectual  | The African American Studies Interdisciplinary Graduate Program is offered to M.A. and Ph.D. students who wish to specialize in African American Studies within their chosen disciplines. This concentration is completed in collaboration with the graduate student’s home department or program and in consultation with the student’s major adviser. The  | To receive the concentration designation on your transcript, students must complete our two core graduate seminars (6 credit hours).  
Interdisciplinary Graduate Program Core Courses:  
- AAS 574 Research Methods in African American Studies  
- AAS 575 Theories of African and African American Studies. Doctoral students can take as many additional |
community for the 21st century, as students are equipped to adjust to the nuances of our ever-changing world.

following departments and programs currently offer the AAS concentration: American Studies, Anthropology, English, History, Languages and Cultures, and Political Science.

courses as necessary to provide expertise in their specific research area. Electives come from multiple fields, which represent the breadth of African American Studies’ interdisciplinary scope. Eligible courses:

Interdisciplinary Graduate Program
Elective Courses:
- AAS 590 Directed Reading in African American Studies
- HIST 594 Afro-American Thought & Ideology
- HIST 651 Reading Seminar in American History [when the focus is Race/Civil Rights in the US]
- ENGL 557 19th-Century African American Narrative
- ENGL 583 US Ethnic/Multicultural Literature [when the focus is African American Literature]
- ENGL 597 Contemporary Black Feminist Literature
- ENGL 672 Seminar in Women’s Literature and Feminist Theory [when offered as African American Women Writers]
- LC 601 Seminar in Latin America and the African Diaspora
- PHIL 542 Rationality and Relativism: African American Perspectives
• POL 520 Special Topics in Public Policy [when the focus is on race and public policy]
• POL 611 Research Seminar in American Government and Politics [when the focus is on race and American politics]
• SOC 515 Black Americans
• SOC 611 Social Inequality: Class, Race, and Gender
• WGSS 681 Black Sexualities

**INDIANA-BLOOMINGTON, African American and African Diaspora Studies**
est. as a department in 1970 as Afro-American Studies; PhD offered in 2008
(see Gaines survey, pg. 2-7 for complete details on program)

It is the mission of AAADS to create and share scholarship of the highest quality dealing with the African American and African diasporic experience; promote the study and understanding of the historical and contemporary connections among Africans, African Americans, and other New World black communities; and affirm the democratic tradition of equal opportunity for all by combating all forms of discrimination based on ethnicity, gender, class, sexual orientation, disability, and religious differences.

The curriculum for the AAADS doctoral program has been shaped by two concentrations that have an influence on research and teaching:
1) Race, Representation, and Knowledge Systems focuses on examining how race is represented, reconstructed, and reproduced across the African diaspora. It concerns the role of race-making in intellectual life, popular culture, literary and artistic expression, and in new media,
2) Power, Citizenship and

The Ph.D. degree requires completion of at least 90 credit hours of an advanced course of study.

Major Subject and Minor Subjects

Major Subject
• The student will select a major subject from the departments and programs listed in this bulletin. The major department or program is responsible for monitoring the student’s progress toward the degree and for making recommendations to the University Graduate School regarding the nomination to candidate, the appointment of a research committee, the defense of the dissertation, and the
| **OHIO STATE, African American and African Studies** est. as an academic division, Black Studies, in 1969; achieved formal department status in 1972 (See Gaines survey, pg. 27-35 for complete details on program) | The mission of the department is to produce and disseminate knowledge and understanding about African peoples globally. The department offers students from all communities the opportunity to acquire the theories, For the African American Studies and African Studies area concentrations, at least 6 credits are required in each of two “focus areas” 1) Social Studies and 2) Cultural Studies | The required Ph.D. coursework is inclusive of 51 credits, of which: • 15 credits in the main area of concentration (African American, African, or Comparative Diaspora Studies). • 9 credits in the other two areas of conferring of the degree. **Minor Subjects** • The student will select at least one minor subject. A minor provides additional breadth and depth to the individual’s program. It must be taken outside the major department from among those minors offered listed in this bulletin or in a specifically approved inter- or intradepartmental area—see departmental entries **Language requirement** Qualifying exams—written (may require oral if necessary) |
practices, methodologies, critical thinking, and communication skills necessary to master an interdisciplinary approach to the historical, cultural, social, psychological, political and economic experiences of people of African descent throughout the world. To foster and maintain an intellectual environment in our community on and off campus, assisting students and community members in their development as lifelong learners and informed citizens, seeking to better the community, nation, and world.

| PENN STATE, African American Studies est. as a department in 1993, offered dual PhD in 2013 (See Gaines survey, pg. 36-49 for complete details on program) | The Department of African American Studies is a meeting ground for scholars, students and thinkers committed to the study of African American and African-descended peoples in the Americas. With faculty trained in anthropology, English, history, philosophy, and other disciplines, our collective work fosters critical understanding of the diaspora's many cultures and expressions. As we foster meaningful | Students electing this program through participating departments will earn a degree with a dual-title at the Ph.D. level, i.e., Ph.D. in (graduate program name) and African American and Diaspora Studies. The following graduate program offers a dual-title degree in African American and Diaspora Studies: 1) Art Education 2) English 3) History 4) Philosophy | Degree Requirements The minimum course requirements for this dual-title Ph.D. degree are 15 credits of coursework related to African American and Diaspora Studies, all at the 500 level or above. Of these 15 credits, 9 must come from the required core course sequence in African American and Diaspora Studies, which comprises the following courses: AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDIES (AF AM) 501. Seminar in African American and Diaspora Studies |
engagement with the eco-nomic, social and political conditions of black life on campus and beyond, we seek to build a vibrant community of inquiry and innovation at Penn State.

- 502. Blacks in the African Diaspora
- 503. Sexual and Gender Politics

Students must also take 6 elective credits, all of which must come either from the list below or otherwise have the prior approval of African American and Diaspora Studies Graduate Faculty Officer:

- AFR 501. Key Issues in African Studies
- PHIL 539. Critical Philosophy of Race
- HIST 547. Slavery in the Americas
- HIST 549. Topics in African-American History
- HIST 551. The African American Freedom Struggle in the Twentieth Century
- HIST 572. Race and Empire in the Americas, Caribbean & Pacific
- ENGL 565. Period Studies in African-American Literature
- ENGL 566. Genre Studies in African-American Literature
- ENGL 567. Thematic Studies in African-American Literature
- ENGL 568. Gender Issues in African-American Literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ILLINOIS, African American Studies originated as Afro-American</th>
<th>Our teaching and research focus primarily on the experiences of</th>
<th>The Department of African American Studies offers a Graduate</th>
<th>24 total credit hours: 12 credit hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARYLAND, African American Studies est. as a department in 1969 (see Gaines survey, pg. 80-86 for complete details on program)</td>
<td>An end to racial disparities in education, HIV, cardiovascular disease and labor opportunities can be more than a dream. Through our rigorous curriculum, we focus on developing students' understanding of African American issues while fostering a supportive environment. Does not offer a PhD. Offers graduate certificate. The African American Studies Department at the University of Maryland, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences</td>
<td>The Certificate program is a 21 credit-hour complementary study component, which offers students an excellent opportunity to develop a specialization in African American issues while earning a comprehensive understanding of the field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
research, excellence in teaching and community engagement, the African American Studies Department (AASD) at the University of Maryland continues to develop the social understanding, future leaders and stronger communities to make these dreams a reality. Scholarly examination of black communities helps illuminate the parallels, contradictions and strengths evident in all communities. Thus, while the specific subject matter of the program concerns black communities in the U.S., Africa and the African Diaspora, the essential value of the program extends to the scientific study of other cultures and communities around the world. Pursuing an undergraduate degree in another field. Students may select the cultural and social analysis concentration or public policy concentration in AASD. All credits for the certificate must be completed at the University of Maryland College Park. The Department will be a leader in Africana Studies research and teaching, and in service to black communities and black studies in the 21st century. Our mission is to create academically excellent, socially responsible, and globally concerned scholar activists in the tradition of

### Segment 1: The Foundation Courses (9 credit-hours)
- AASP 100, AASP 101, and AASP 200 or AASP 202

### Segment 2: 9 credit hours of AASP upper division level electives.
- (300 level or above)

### Segment 3: 3 credit-hour seminar
- AASP 400 or AASP 402

### UMASS-AMHERST, Afro-American Studies

The Department will be a leader in Africana Studies research and teaching, and in service to black communities and black studies in the 21st century. Our mission is to create academically excellent, socially responsible, and globally concerned scholar activists in the tradition of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Courses</th>
<th>Total Requirements:</th>
<th>Required Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• AfroAm 701/702 Major Works in Afro-American Studies I and II</td>
<td>16 Graduate Courses Qualifying Exam Credit 10 Dissertation Credits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• AfroAm 692A Literary Theory</td>
<td></td>
<td>• AfroAm 701/702 Major Works in Afro-American Studies I and II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• AfroAm 691F Black Politics</td>
<td></td>
<td>• AfroAm 692A Literary Theory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our graduate students receive a thorough grounding in the historical and cultural realities of the African American experience and are assisted in developing the intellectual and scholarly capacity to undertake a meaningful critique of society, history, and cultural life, as
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Massachusetts native W.E.B. Du Bois,</strong> through teaching, research and creative activity, and service and outreach to the campus, the community, and scholarly professional fields.</th>
<th>well as to make ongoing contributions to scholarship on the questions of race and race relations. Our graduate program encourages our students to adopt a critical perspective requiring an integrative approach to the study of: A) history, B) politics, C) economics, and D) culture Students are required to focus not only on the experiences of African Americans, but also on the linkages of those experiences to the cultural, political, and economic forces of the larger society to which Black people have been, and are, inextricably linked.</th>
<th>• AfroAm 691C Historiographical Methods Language Requirement Comprehensive exams—written</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UC-Berkeley, African American Studies ( &amp; African Diaspora Studies)</strong> est. Ethnic Studies department in 1970; offered PhD in 1997 (see Coates survey, pg. 30-49 for complete details on program)</td>
<td>The African American Studies graduate program focuses on the life, culture, and social organization (broadly defined) of persons of African descent. The study of Africa, North America, and the Caribbean are central components of the program. Students are expected to apply a multidisciplinary approach to the study of the international and national divisions</td>
<td>The PhD program in African Diaspora Studies is the first such program of its kind, training students to theorize the African Diaspora and racialized blackness across history, social institutions, culture and geographical location. We recruit the most promising and disciplinary-diverse young scholars and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A minimum of two years or four semesters of academic residence is required by the university for all Ph.D. programs. Academic residence is defined as enrollment in at least 12 units in the 200 series of courses. • Every graduate student must enroll in and complete a minimum of 12 units of graduate course work per required semester of academic residency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of race as they pertain to persons of African descent, wherever they may find themselves. Such an approach is to be employed for the study and understanding of development and underdevelopment, domination and power, self-determination, mutual cooperation, and aesthetic and creative expression. Issues of identity construction, marginality, territoriality and the universal role of race in the organization of political economy and in class formation are critical to the program’s intellectual agenda.

Specifically educate them to direct the future research trajectory of African Diaspora Studies as a field. Emphasizing a rigorous interdisciplinarity, our program is particularly strong in:

1) African American and sub-Saharan African history;
2) cultural studies (with emphases in literature, performance, visual culture, and creative practice);
3) women’s, gender & sexuality studies;
4) education;
5) sociology; and
6) African languages.

- After successful completion of course work with a minimum GPA of 3.30, a pre-qualifying examination based upon general knowledge in the field of African American Studies will be administered by the department.

**Language requirement**

Comprehensive exams--written/oral

---

**TEMPLE, Africology and African American Studies est. as Afro-Asian Institute in 1971; as Pan African Studies in 1972; first to offer PhD (see Coates survey, pg. 49-68 for complete details on program)**

The mission of the Department of Africology and African American Studies is to provide an intellectual arena in which students learn to critically examine, analyze, and interpret the experiences, traditions, and dynamics of people of African descent. The department’s undergirding philosophy is that the specific historical experiences of a people must be the central axis guiding

Applicants to the Ph.D. program should have a clearly articulated research interest that fits within the department’s faculty expertise. Africology and African American Studies is a discipline that draws from diverse academic fields. Most research areas fall into two general categories: 1) the cultural aesthetic, which engages

A student who enters the Ph.D. program with an M.A. degree in an area other than African American Studies may apply to have up to 6 credits considered for credit toward the Ph.D.

**PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS**

General Program Requirements: Number of Credits Required to earn the Degree: 51. Required Core Courses:

- AAAS 8002 African Civilizations
- AAAS 8004 Theories and Methods in
and informing any effective analysis and interpretation of that people’s past, present, and future. Our graduate program is informed by the African-centered/Afrocentric paradigms in relation to other perspectives in Africana studies. The program reflects a deeply ingrained commitment to the self-directed study of African peoples and has benefited from a variety of conceptual and political inputs from diverse, but fully committed, faculty participation as well as invaluable contributions from the community. It is the goal of the department that graduates of our Ph.D. program be prepared to engage in a diverse range of intellectual issues that affect the lives of Africans on the continent and in the diaspora.

2) interests in the humanities, particularly history, literature, and the performing arts; and the social behavioral, in which issues may be addressed under the broad domains of sociology, psychology, political science, philosophy, anthropology, and the like.

III. Websites
Michigan State U  https://reg.msu.edu/AcademicPrograms/ProgramDetail.aspx?Program=1044
Purdue  https://www.cla.purdue.edu/african-american/gradprogram.html
Indiana-Bloomington  https://aaads.indiana.edu/graduate/phd-degree.html
Ohio State  https://aaas.osu.edu/students/grad/phd/requirements
Penn State  http://bulletins.psu.edu/graduate/programs/A/GRAD%20AFAM%20DUALTITLE
Illinois  http://www.afro.illinois.edu/education/gradconc/
Maryland  https://aasd.umd.edu/landing/Graduate
UMass-Amherst  https://www.umass.edu/afroam/afroam-phd-requirements
Northwestern  http://www.afam.northwestern.edu/graduate/program-overview/requirements.html
UC-Berkeley  https://africam.berkeley.edu/phd-program/
Temple  http://bulletin.temple.edu/graduate/scd/cla/africology-african-american-studies-phd/
Policy Proposal

The Board of Trustees at Michigan State University has appointed the most recent permanent and interim Presidents of this university without a search. The current board closed ranks around the previous President at a critical juncture, showing their loyalty to her rather than their loyalty to this institution, to our community, and to survivors of sexual assault. In addition, in the appointment of the interim President, they refused to listen to the advice of faculty, students, and deans.

It is critical that the bylaws of the Board are changed to allow full participation of faculty and students in governance. To achieve this, we propose the creation of a University Board (see Appendix B). It is also critical that members of the MSU community play a central role throughout the process of searching for and selecting a new President, including: articulating the qualities and qualifications required for a President; having representatives from the faculty, students and staff on the search committee; and, engaging with top candidates in open forums. Moreover, we believe that if two thirds of the members of the Academic Congress should oppose the selected candidate for President, the Board of Trustees should not be able to select this candidate as President of our university (see Article 3 of the amended bylaws in Appendix B).

To ensure that this never happens again, Article VIII § 5 of the Constitution of the State of Michigan should be amended to create four additional positions on the controlling boards for each institution governed by section 5 (University of Michigan, Michigan State University, and Wayne State University). The four additional positions should be filled by an undergraduate student, a graduate student, and two faculty members from different colleges within their universities. These new board members will be elected within their institutions, separately from the statewide elections of other board members, and will serve terms of two years. The new board members will have full voting rights on their controlling boards and be involved in all board processes, including selection of a president and decisions regarding university expenditures and investments. Furthermore, Article VIII § 5 should be amended to limit terms for members of controlling boards to four years, and limit each member to serving two terms.
Appendix A:

Constitution of the State of Michigan

Article VIII § 5

The regents of the University of Michigan and their successors in office shall constitute a body corporate known as the Regents of the University of Michigan; the trustees of Michigan State University and their successors in office shall constitute a body corporate known as the Board of Trustees of Michigan State University; the governors of Wayne State University and their successors in office shall constitute a body corporate known as the Board of Governors of Wayne State University. Each board shall have a general supervision of its institution and the control and direction of all expenditures from the institution’s funds. Each board shall, as often as necessary, elect a president of the institution under its supervision. The president shall be the principal executive officer of the institution, be ex-officio a member of the board without the right to vote and preside at meetings of the board. The board of each institution shall consist of eight members who shall hold office for terms of four years and who shall be elected as provided by law, and an additional four members who shall hold office for terms of two years and who shall be freely elected within each institution, in a process chosen by each institution. The four additional members must consist of one undergraduate student, one graduate student, and two faculty members from different colleges within their universities. The governor shall fill board vacancies by appointment. Each appointee shall hold office until a successor has been nominated and elected as provided by law. No member of a controlling board shall serve for more than two terms.
Appendix B:

BYLAWS OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND THE UNIVERSITY BOARD

PREAMBLE

Michigan State University is the land-grant university for the State of Michigan, designated in 1863 by the Michigan Legislature to be the beneficiary of the endowment provided by the Morrill Act and supplemented by subsequent acts of the Congress of the United States.

As a land-grant university, it shares with its sister universities the legal responsibility to provide a liberal and practical education for the agricultural and industrial classes and all others, to prepare them for the various pursuits and professions of life.

This historic responsibility the Board of Trustees accepts, and to this obligation the Trustees pledge themselves, separately and collectively, and the material and human resources over which they have been given direction.

The Trustees interpret this mission as being one requiring the University to offer undergraduate and graduate education of the highest possible quality in all appropriate fields; to cherish the knowledge that is our legacy from the past; to preserve the best of our cultural, social, and political heritage; to encourage meaningful research and experimentation, both to develop new knowledge and to subject our society to a full and objective study so that it may be made more nearly perfect; to be ever responsive to the increasing needs of a dynamic and complex society, by developing and carrying on programs of public service; and to diffuse through all available media the knowledge and information that will contribute to the well-being and development of the people of our state, our nation, and the world.

The present Constitution of the State of Michigan, like every other one before it, declares it to be public policy to encourage forever schools and the means of education. The Legislature, having the power of appropriation, shares the primary responsibility for implementing this policy.

The Constitution confers upon the Board of Trustees the freedom, power, and responsibility to develop a free and distinguished university and to promote the welfare of mankind through teaching, research, and public service.
The Board of Trustees, elected by the voters of the State and responsible to all of the people of Michigan, exercises the final authority in the government of the University, within the limits fixed by the State Constitution. In exercising its responsibility, the Board delegates to the President of the University and through the President to the faculty, appropriate authority and jurisdiction over matters for which they are held accountable by the Board. These matters include educational policy and the development of a strong and efficient organization with which to accomplish the objectives of the University.

In making these delegations the Board declares its unequivocal support of the established rights and privileges of the academic profession and its intent to defend them steadfastly. These rights and privileges include academic freedom and tenure, compensation and other economic benefits as liberal as the resources of the University will allow, a viable faculty organization, and responsible faculty participation in the development of academic programs and policies.

With respect to non-academic employees, the Board declares that because they carry a correlative responsibility and because their roles are indispensable to the effective operation of the University, they are entitled to compensation and conditions of employment commensurate with their responsibilities.

With respect to students, the Board declares it to be its intention to provide the opportunity for each to realize the highest potential, to formulate and enforce reasonable rules governing student conduct, and to give due consideration to the opinions of students on matters related directly to their interest.

With respect to alumni, the Board invokes their loyal support of the University and invites their advice and counsel, reminding them that the esteem of the University is constantly measured by their performance as educated men and women.

With respect to the people of Michigan, the Board willingly acknowledges that this University is in the final analysis their University. As a public institution, Michigan State University is obligated to serve the best interest of the people who support it as can be best determined. The Board invites the people of Michigan to support with it the time-tested principle that in the University's unceasing quest for truth and enlightenment it must encourage the timely discussion in open forum of a wide variety of issues, some of which are bound to be controversial because they are unsettled. This
principle assumes that the views expressed in such discussion are subject to critical evaluation, and that any restraints on the freedom of expression at the university must be limited to measures to protect such free inquiry and to insure that they are consistent with the preservation of an organized society in which peaceful, democratic means for changes in the social structure are readily available.

Finally, the Board of Trustees holds as its special trust the historical mission of a university to create an intellectual climate that will challenge and encourage the vigorous development and courageous expression of the human mind and spirit. Specifically, the Trustees recognize that it is a primary responsibility to assure the University of the financial and other resources necessary to the successful performance of its mission. They believe that the best method of assuring that support is to interpret the University faithfully and continually to their fellow citizens, to the legislature and elected state officials, and to the federal government, and thus persuade them of the essential importance of the University's mission. To those tasks of interpretation and persuasion they are committed by their election to office, and to those tasks they pledge themselves without reservation.

In order to make effective the principle here declared, to achieve the state objectives of Michigan State University, and to insure that the conduct of its own affairs will be in accord with the highest standards of educational administration, the Board of Trustees adopts these Bylaws:

**ARTICLE 1 - The Corporation**

The Trustees of Michigan State University and their successors in office are created by the people of Michigan through the Constitution as a body corporate known as the Board of Trustees of Michigan State University, with the power of general supervision over the institution and the control and direction of all expenditures from the institution's funds. The Board of Trustees shall consist of eight members elected for eight-year terms as provided by law. Members shall hold office until their successors have been elected as provided by law. The governor shall fill Board vacancies by appointment.

The University Board shall consist of the Board of Trustees, two regular faculty members or fixed-term faculty members with voting rights (as defined in MSU Bylaws 1.1), a graduate student representative and an undergraduate student representative (as defined in MSU Bylaws 1.2). The University Board shall consist of 12 persons. All members of the University
Board shall have full voting rights on all matters coming before the University Board with the exception of the final Board of Trustees vote on the President as outlined in the State Constitution. The faculty members of the University Board shall be elected by members of the Faculty Senate in accordance with MSU bylaws on Academic Governance; the graduate student member shall be elected by the Council of Graduate Students and the undergraduate representative shall be elected by the Associated Students of MSU in accordance with MSU bylaws on Academic Governance. Faculty and student members shall be elected for two year staggered terms. Members shall hold office until their successors have been elected as provided by law. The faculty members of the University Board shall be an ex officio member of the Faculty Senate and the student members of the University Board shall be ex officio members of their respective governing bodies. Members of the Board of Trustees shall serve without compensation, but shall receive the actual and necessary expenses incurred by them in the performance of the duties of their office.

**ARTICLE 2 - Meetings of the Board**

The University Board shall meet monthly, except as otherwise provided by the University Board. Meetings will ordinarily be held at Michigan State University in East Lansing, but may be held at such other times and places in Michigan as the University Board may determine.

A majority of members shall constitute a quorum to do business, but a smaller number may meet and adjourn to some other time or until a quorum is obtained.

At all meetings it shall be valid to act on any subject within the power of the corporation, unless the call of the meeting is limited.

The business at each meeting shall be conducted under general parliamentary rules set forth in Robert's Rules of Order as modified by the Board.

Meetings of the University Board shall be open to the public as provided by law.

**ARTICLE 3 - Voting Procedures**

Votes on all matters coming before the University Board or any of its committees shall be taken and recorded in the manner prescribed by the Board University Board, with the exception of voting on the election of a President which is prescribed in these bylaws.
The University Board affirms that the search for a President shall be conducted with the faculty, students and staff playing a central role throughout the process, including: articulating the qualities and qualifications required for a President; having representatives from the faculty, students and staff on the search committee; engaging with top candidates in open forums. The preliminary selection of a President shall be decided by a roll call vote of two-thirds majority of the University Board. Following this vote, the Academic Congress may conduct a vote among its members. If more than two thirds of the members of the Academic Congress oppose the selected candidate for President, the Board of Trustees shall not elect this candidate as President. If less than two thirds of the members of the Academic Congress oppose the selected candidate for President, the Board of Trustees may elect this candidate as President of Michigan State University.

**ARTICLE 4 - Officers and Organization of the Board**

Chairperson. At the first regularly scheduled meeting of the University Board in January immediately following each biennial fall election for Trustee, the members of the University Board shall elect a chairperson of the University Board. The chairperson's term in office shall be two years and shall expire at the first regularly scheduled meeting in January immediately following the next biennial fall election for Trustee. In the event of a vacancy in the office of chairperson during the two-year term, at its next meeting after the vacancy occurs the University Board shall elect a new chairperson to serve for the remainder of the term. The chairperson shall perform such duties as may be prescribed by law or by the University Board.

Vice Chairperson. Immediately after the election of the University Board's chairperson, the members of the University Board shall elect a vice chairperson of the University Board. The vice chairperson shall serve until the election of the next chairperson of the University Board. In the event of a vacancy in the office of vice chairperson, at its next meeting after the vacancy occurs the University Board shall elect a new vice chairperson to serve until the election of the next chairperson of the Board University Board. If the chairperson is a member of the Board of Trustees, only Only Trustees who are not members of the chairperson's political party shall be eligible for election as vice chairperson, unless seven or more Trustees are members of the same political party, in which case all Trustees, other than the chairperson, shall be eligible for election as vice chairperson. The vice chairperson shall perform such duties as may be prescribed by law or by
the University Board. The vice chairperson shall also assume the duties of
the chairperson during the latter's absence and, in the event of a vacancy
in the office of chairperson, until the election of a new chairperson.

**President.** The Board of Trustees shall, as often as necessary, elect a
president of the University who shall serve at the pleasure of the Board.
The President shall be, *ex officio*, a member of the University Board
without the right to vote, and be its sole administrative officer. The
President shall preside at meetings of the University Board, shall have the
authority and shall perform the duties usually attached to the office as
presiding officer.

The President, as the principal executive officer of the University, shall
exercise such powers as are inherent in the position in promoting,
supporting, or protecting the interests of the University and in managing
and directing all of its affairs; may issue directives and executive orders not
in contravention of existing University Board policies; shall be responsible
for all business policies as heretofore enacted or modified or hereafter
established subject to the general policies established by the University
Board; shall instruct the proper administrative officers to prepare an annual
budget which upon approval, shall be recommended to the University
Board; shall be responsible for the preparation of the annual reports of the
University Board; shall exercise such other powers, duties, and
responsibilities as are delegated or required by the University Board of
Trustees.

In case of a vacancy in the office of the President, under conditions other
than those specified in Article 14, the University Board at the time of the
announcement that the President is leaving shall elect immediately an
Acting President for the interim period who shall exercise the functions of
the President as stated in these Bylaws while serving as Acting President.

**Provost of the University.** The Provost of the University shall be
appointed by the University Board upon recommendation of the President,
shall serve at the pleasure of the University Board, and shall give bond
satisfactory to said Board to secure the faithful performance of the duties of
the office. Subject to the President and the University Board, the Provost:

Shall be the principal academic officer of the University and administer the
various colleges, special units and academic support facilities.

Shall be responsible for assembling and administering the academic
budget.
Shall be responsible for faculty personnel administration including procedures for faculty appointments and terminations, salaries and promotions, working conditions, and tenure.

Shall be responsible, with advice from the faculty, for development of new academic programs and for keeping existing programs updated and in conformity with University educational policies.

Shall be responsible for insuring that administrative procedures preserve academic freedom and insure academic responsibility.

Shall be responsible for supervising procedures and policies related to the admission of students, and liaison with high schools and community colleges.

Shall be responsible for supervising the registration process and for the orientation of new students.

Shall be responsible for administering academic facilities and support units such as Libraries, Computer Laboratory, Instructional Development and Telecommunication Services, and the Museum.

Shall be responsible for liaison with State Department of Education.

**Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs** [Vice President for Governmental Affairs]. The Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs shall be appointed by the University Board upon the recommendation of the President, shall serve at the pleasure of the University Board, and shall give bond satisfactory to said Board to secure the faithful performance of the duties of the office. Subject to the President and the University Board, the Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs:

Shall be the principal liaison officer and official contact between the University or any of its component parts and the State Legislature for all presentations made to the Legislature, or to any individual legislator on behalf of any projects of the University. All presentations on behalf of such projects shall be cleared with the Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs prior to their presentation.

Shall be responsible for the administration of personnel and employee relations policies and procedures related to the clerical, technical, administrative, and professional personnel who are not Board appointed. For these personnel the Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs shall administer such rules, regulations, and procedures pertaining to the
classification, evaluation, employment, absences, leaves, vacations, promotions, and terminations of employment which may be established from time to time by the University Board of Trustees.

Shall be representative of the University in its relations with labor unions organized among its nonacademic employees.

Shall perform such other duties as may be required by the President and the University Board of Trustees.

**The Vice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer.** The Vice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer shall be appointed by the University Board upon the recommendation of the President of the University. Subject to the President and the University Board of Trustees, the Vice President and Treasurer:

Shall be responsible for the collection and custody of, and accounting for all moneys due the University.

Shall pay all obligations of the University in accordance with budgets, contracts, grants, and policies established by the Board of Trustees.

Shall exercise general control over the regular accounting service through the controller who may refuse expenditures not authorized by such budget rules as the University Board may adopt, as well as expenditures that would incur an overdraft in any fund or item in the annual budget or in special projects.

Shall have supervision over and custody of all deeds, contracts, agreements, trust indentures, etc., of which the University is a part.

Shall be a University officer authorized to sign formal applications for grants of funds for research, extension, or other University purposes and directed by the University Board.

Shall have general administrative supervision over the Physical Plant Division, Housing and Food Services, and General University Services departments.

Shall continuously monitor and review the actions of the investment manager and the status of the University's investment portfolio.

Shall prepare an annual financial report at the close of each fiscal year and such other interim reports as the President and the University Board of Trustees may request.
May act to execute contracts on behalf of the Board of Trustees as authorized by the Trustees.

Shall give bond satisfactory to the University Board for the faithful performance of the duties of the office.

Shall perform such other duties as may be required by the President and the University Board of Trustees.

**Secretary of the Board.** The Secretary of the University Board shall be appointed by the University Board upon recommendation of the President, shall serve at the pleasure of the University Board, and shall give bond satisfactory to said Board to secure the faithful performance of the duties of the office. Subject to the President and the University Board, the Secretary:

Shall keep a record of all the transactions of the University Board which shall be open to public inspection; shall have custody of such books, papers, documents, records, and other property deposited in the Secretary's office, and shall be the custodian of the corporate seal and shall cause its imprint to be placed wherever appropriate.

**General Counsel.** The General Counsel and Associate General Counsel shall be appointed upon the recommendation of the President and approval of the University Board. The General Counsel shall attend meetings of the University Board and render such professional services as are required by it and the officers of the University. The General Counsel shall have authority to execute all legal documents including those required for purposes of litigation and/or court proceedings.

**Ineligibility.** No member of the Board of Trustees shall be eligible to appointment as secretary or treasurer or to any paid employment in the University.

**ARTICLE 5 - Committees**

The University Board may appoint committees and prescribe their duties and functions. The President or a presidential designee shall be a member of all such committees. All committees shall keep a record of their proceedings and shall report to the University Board as required. The delegation of any authority of the Board of Trustees to any committee shall not operate to relieve the Board of Trustees or any member thereof of any responsibility imposed by law or the State Constitution.

All resolutions and all committee reports offered which involve matters for record in the minutes shall be made in writing.
ARTICLE 6 - University Organization

For purposes of administration, basic educational and administrative units may be established within the University upon recommendation of the President and the approval by the University Board. The alteration or abolition of such units shall be approved in a like manner. The basic organization of the educational units shall include departments, schools, institutes, centers, colleges, and the graduate school. The President is authorized to determine the organizational pattern of the several educational and administrative units subject to a report to the University Board.

ARTICLE 7 - Relation of Board and the University Faculty, Staff, and Employees

Regular Faculty Appointments. Appointments to the regular faculty, the salaries, tenure, leaves of absence, and changes of status therefore, shall be acted upon by the Board of Trustees upon the recommendation of the President of the University.

Communications. All communications to the University Board from the officers and faculty of the University and the officers of any affiliated organizations of the University Board of Trustees shall be transmitted through the President of the University. This provision does not preclude a right of approach with members of the University Board.

All communications from the University Board or any of its committees addressed to any officer, professor or instructor, or other employee of the University shall be transmitted through the office of the President of the University. This provision does not preclude individual members of the University Board from contacting any person within the University community.

Employee Relations. The University Board of Trustees is committed to the objectives of diversity and pluralism and to the principles of equal opportunity, non-discrimination and affirmative action as reflected in various federal and state laws, orders and regulations, as well as in various University policies and regulations and will treat its employees in a non-discriminatory manner in accordance with the law and its own internal policies and regulations. The Board of Trustees will permit deduction of union dues from wages upon written request submitted by employees of the University.
It is the policy of the University Board that the President shall develop a program structured along the fundamentals of basic due process, for the hearing and resolving of important, significant, and serious employee complaints.

**Outside Employment.** A full-time member of the faculty or staff may not be employed for remuneration by agencies other than the University except under the established rules of the University Board and with the approval of the appropriate University authorities. In all cases there shall be no conflict of interest between the activity of the faculty or staff member and the University function. Any proceeds realized from such activity may be proportioned between the University and the faculty member where institutional time and/or facilities are involved.

**Rights and Responsibilities of the Faculty.** The Board of Trustees, the University Board, the administration, and the faculty carry out their respective responsibilities not as isolated entities, but as major and primary constituents of a total University organization and structure which remain mutually interdependent and must be supportive of each others' purposes, functions, and obligations. It is within this context that the rights and responsibilities of the faculty are to be construed.

The Constitutional authority lodged in the Board of Trustees for the administration of the University makes possible the creation of an organizational autonomy to protect faculty in the exercise of the rights of academic freedom, the most treasured values of the academic community. Upon these rights rest the unfettered pursuit and transmission of truth by the faculty who serve as the guardians, interpreters, and transmitters of a great intellectual heritage; the further enrichment of this heritage constitutes both obligation and aspiration of the academic profession.

Responsibilities adhere to rights of academic freedom with which they are closely interwoven and at times indistinguishable. They exist for faculty as members of a department or other academic unit, as members of a college, as members of the University, and as members of professional societies with which the respective disciplines are associated. Exercise of rights of academic freedom entails the restraints of intellectual integrity and of responsible action to safeguard its exercise against abuse, disrespect, or destruction. It entails tolerance for freedom of expression by others and full deliberation of issues and problems; it entails forthrightness in recognizing and in indicating when one speaks professionally as a scholar in a field of special competence and when one speaks as a private citizen; it entails
mindfulness that membership in the academic community ineluctably involves identification and association with the University and that the University is adjudged by the actions, performance, good taste, and expressions of its faculty.

Rights and responsibilities of faculty include the transmission and creation of knowledge, the cultivation in the student of the highest reaches of the mind and a capacity for abstract reasoning, the cultivation of a vigorous and continuing pursuit of an understanding of the universe and the encouragement of introspection of man's relation to it. To awaken in students penetrating questions on a fully examined life, on the morality inherent in the choice of values and on finding a meaningful and responsible relation to society calls for the finest talents of the faculty as teachers, scholars, and counselors. Talents and dedication of faculty are also asked for understanding and appreciation of the mores and standards by which a society seeks to sustain itself and for exploration of how, through personal example and intellectual effort, both students and faculty may assist mankind to fulfill its noblest aspirations and highest potential.

Innovation, planning, and the rendering of many recommendations and decisions required for the effective functioning of departments, colleges, and the University as a whole represent further necessary faculty contributions. The institution looks to the faculty for recommendations on faculty recruitment, promotions, and tenure; on the development of new academic programs and modification or discontinuance of old; on academic standards for admission to the several teaching and research programs; and on the articulation of needs and requirements for space, equipment, and personnel.

Contributions assumed traditionally by faculty also include the cultivation of an exciting intellectual life; the preparation of professional competencies; evaluation of a student's academic performance; active participation in professional meetings; the determination of membership in the respective academic profession; and the development of teaching and research programs in many fields of knowledge of concern to the University, including the pursuit of advances in the arts and letters, the physical and biological sciences, government, and social and economic behavior.

The primacy of the faculty's role, functions, and responsibilities and its essentiality are further reflected in participation in the governing entities established for the several levels of faculty organization, in serving as needed on department, college, and University committees, and in
rendering indispensable services associated with the University's many commitments and requirements within the academic community, within the state, the nation, and the world. The core of the University's purpose, its intellectual content and integrity, is expressed by the faculty.

In concert with the administration and accountable through the President to the University Board of Trustees, the faculty are responsible for the continuous development of a University dedicated to the highest academic goals and responsive to the needs of society.

Nothing in these Bylaws, or regulations issued pursuant thereto, shall prevent the University Board of Trustees taking prompt action on urgent financial and personnel matters necessary to the best interests of the University.

**ARTICLE 8 - The Board and Student Relations**

The University Board encourages and supports the faculty in the development of educational and other programs within available resources, designed to secure the realization of the highest potential of every student.

Upon the recommendation of the President, the University Board may determine and establish the qualifications of students for admission at any level, or readmission to the University, and fix the amount of fees to be charged for attendance at the University.

It shall be the policy of the University Board to provide equal educational opportunity to all qualified students from the State of Michigan and, insofar as facilities, faculty, and accommodations permit, a reasonable number from other states and other countries. The University Board of Trustees is committed to the objectives of diversity and pluralism and to the principles of equal opportunity, non-discrimination, and affirmative action as reflected in various federal and state laws, orders and regulations, as well as in various University policies and regulations and will treat students and student organizations in a non-discriminatory manner in accordance with the law and its own internal policies and regulations.

The University Board may make or may delegate through the President to the faculty the authority to make reasonable rules and regulations for the purpose of maintaining the health, safety, good order, harmony, and discipline of students, which are not purely arbitrary or in violation of any common rights, and may require students to agree to abide by them as the condition of admission to and retention in the University. Students who fail
to comply with these regulations may be disciplined in such a manner as may be deemed appropriate.

It is the policy of the University Board that the President shall develop a program, structured along the fundamentals of basic due process, for the hearing and resolving of important, significant, and serious student complaints.

ARTICLE 9 - Courses of Instruction and Degrees

The University Board delegates to the President and through the President to the faculty authority to establish and regulate courses of instruction and programs of research and service, subject to a report and accountability to the University Board for information, discussion, and appropriate action. Students who complete prescribed courses of study may be granted such baccalaureate and graduate and professional degrees and diplomas as are appropriate.

Honorary degrees may be awarded in recognition of distinguished accomplishment and service within the scope of the arts and letters, sciences and the professions, and public service recognized and promoted by the University. No honorary degree may be granted except upon the recommendation of the President and faculty, or an agency representing it. Generally such honorary degrees may not be granted in absentia. No person shall be recommended for an honorary degree while an officer, faculty member, or other employee of the University.

ARTICLE 10 - Financial Responsibility

The Board of Trustees, being constitutionally vested with the general supervision of Michigan State University and the control and direction of all its funds, recognizes a vital and crucial institutional responsibility to those with whom it has financial transactions. Accordingly, it is the policy of the Board to maintain adequate income and reserves to assure payment of principal and interest on the due date of its obligations. To the end that the financial integrity of the University shall always remain inviolate, the University Board of Trustees pledges that it will maintain constant vigil over its funds through regular review and periodic reports, and such adjustments in income and reserves as shall guarantee the probity of its obligations. Funds earmarked for payment of bonded self-liquidating projects and term loan agreements are duly pledged for the specific pledge of the indenture. No intrusion upon this commitment, from any source or for any reason, will be tolerated.
The University Board of Trustees is concerned that maximum value be obtained for funds expended to procure goods and services. Whenever possible, competitive quotations will be secured from two or more suppliers and purchase orders will be awarded on the basis of lowest cost consistent with acceptable quality.

**ARTICLE 11 - Property and Facilities**

**Real and Other Property.** The acquisition of all real estate and other property for University purposes and the sale, disposition, or transfer thereof shall first be approved by the University Board of Trustees. The University Board is authorized to prescribe rules governing the use of all University property by the faculty, staff, employees, students, and the general public and the conduct of all entering upon said property, or in attendance at the University.

**Securities.** The investment manager(s), appointed by the University Board of Trustees, shall be authorized to invest, buy and sell stocks, bonds, evidences of indebtedness, other securities and investment assets in accordance with policies and objectives established by the University Board of Trustees. All such transactions shall be reported at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Trustee Investment Committee.

**Contracts.** The President and officers designated by the President are authorized to execute contracts on behalf of the University Board of Trustees.

**Naming New Buildings.** University buildings shall be named by the University Board in accordance with its adopted policies.

**ARTICLE 12 - Extension Centers**

**Extension Centers.** Extension Centers of Michigan State University may be established at locations to be specifically designated where study for credit can be carried on under conditions which provide appropriate instructional resources. Centers may not be established unless adequate classrooms and/or laboratory space are provided by local enterprise; adequate library facilities are available locally in all fields of advanced study in which courses are offered at that location; adequate concentration of population exists from which classes of satisfactory size may be drawn; competent instructors are available, and in the event that classes cannot be taught by members of the regular Michigan State University faculty, adequate opportunity exists for the supervision of their work by Michigan State faculty; and adequate communication exists between the Center and
Michigan State University. All credit courses conducted off campus will be given the same value as credit courses on campus.

**ARTICLE 13 - Collective Authority and Action**

The authority of the Trustees is conferred upon them as a Board, and they can bind the corporation and the University only by acting together as a Board.

No individual member shall commit the Board of Trustees or the University Board to any policy, declaration, or action without prior approval of the Board.

**ARTICLE 14 - University Operation in Special Emergencies**

In the event of an emergency resulting from disaster occurring in this state caused by an enemy attack upon the United States, by which the incumbents of the University Board and administrative offices of Michigan State University may become unavailable for exercising the powers and discharging the duties of such offices, the University Board of Trustees declares that it shall be the policy of the University to operate in accordance with the provisions of the Emergency Interim Executive Succession Act of the State of Michigan.

In the event of the death or incompetency of the President, the Chairperson of the University Board of Trustees shall convene the available members to take appropriate action to assure continuity in the affairs of the University until the University Board will make arrangements for an Acting President.

In order to anticipate responsibly the consequences of any disaster befalling the President of Michigan State University, rendering the President incapable of exercising the duties and functions of the office, it shall be the continuing responsibility of the University Board of Trustees of said University to provide for the designation of an Acting President who shall carry out the duties and responsibilities of the Office of the President until such time as the Board of Trustees shall appoint a permanent president under these Bylaws.

**ARTICLE 15 - Corporate and University Seals**

The corporate seal of the Board of Trustees shall contain in its center a monogram, MSU, being the initials of Michigan State University, and around the outer circle the words Board of Trustees Michigan State University.
The seal of the University shall contain in its center an illustration depicting "Old College Hall" and in the outer circle the inscription, Michigan State University.

**ARTICLE 16 - Emeritus Title**

The additional title of Emeritus may be added to that of any member of the faculty or staff upon retirement. The title of Trustee Emeritus shall be bestowed upon all persons upon the close of service of such persons as members of the Board of Trustees of Michigan State University.

**ARTICLE 17 - Amendments**

These Bylaws may be amended or repealed at any meeting of the University Board by after an affirmative vote of the majority of the members of the University Council and an affirmative vote of a majority of the University Board, provided that copies of such amendments or notices of repeal are submitted in writing to each member in advance of such of the University Council in accordance with the MSU Bylaws and to each member of the University Board in advance of the Board meeting.

**ARTICLE 18 - Repeal**

All Bylaws, acts or resolutions, or any parts thereof, which are inconsistent with these Bylaws are hereby repealed.
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PREAMBLE

Under its bylaws and Michigan’s Constitution, the Board of Trustees has the responsibility to develop a free and distinguished university and to promote the welfare of mankind through teaching, research, and public service. The Board of Trustees exercises final authority and responsibility for University governance within the bounds fixed by the State Constitution.

In exercising its responsibility, the Board delegates to the President and, through him or her, to the faculty appropriate authority and jurisdiction over matters for which they are accountable to the Board. In other cases, for example, faculty appointments, promotions, and tenure, the Board does not delegate, but instead looks to the faculty for recommendations. The specific powers delegated to the faculty are detailed in the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees, those in which the faculty has authority in proportion to its degree of expert knowledge and vested interest. Thus, the faculty has primary responsibility for curriculum, subject matter and methods of instruction, research, faculty status (appointments, reappointments, decisions not to reappoint, promotions, the granting of tenure, and dismissal), and those aspects of student life that relate to the educational process.

The Board also has declared its intention to give due consideration to the opinions of students on matters directly related to their interest.

It is important to specify the manner and process by which the faculty and students develop and communicate their views to the President and the Board. These Bylaws for Academic Governance (Bylaws) are designed to provide an effective system for the participation of faculty and students in the development of policy on academic matters.
SECTION 1. DEFINITIONS

1.1. THE FACULTY

1.1.1. Composition of the Faculty

1.1.1.1. The regular faculty shall consist of all persons appointed under the rules of tenure and holding the rank of professor, associate professor, or assistant professor, and all persons appointed as librarians.

1.1.1.2. The fixed-term faculty shall consist of all persons holding the rank of professor, associate professor, assistant professor, or instructor, but not appointed under the rules of tenure.

1.1.1.3. The health professions faculty shall consist of all faculty appointed in the Health Programs Faculty Appointment System who hold the rank of professor, associate professor, or assistant professor in the College of Human Medicine, Nursing, Osteopathic Medicine, or Veterinary Medicine.

1.1.1.4. The Facility for Rare Isotope Beams/National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (FRIB/NSCL) faculty shall consist of all faculty appointed in the FRIB/NSCL Faculty Appointment System holding the rank of professor, associate professor, or assistant professor.

1.1.1.5. The Academic Specialist shall consist of a person holding the rank of

1.1.1.6. The honorary faculty shall consist of visiting professors and professors emeriti.

1.1.1.7. The foregoing provisions do not preclude Presidential action to bestow certain “faculty privileges” upon employees of the University who are not members of the faculty.

1.1.2. Faculty Voting Rights

1.1.2.1. The voting faculty in the election of University-level councils and committees shall be all regular faculty, health professions faculty, and FRIB/NSCL faculty. Voting faculty also includes full-time fixed-term faculty who have served at least three consecutive years and who are engaged in the academic activities of the University, and full time academic specialists who have served at least three consecutive years. Voting faculty must be engaged in academic activities of the University.

1.1.2.1.1. Full-time shall be defined as a workload equal to or greater than a 0.9 full time equivalent.

1.1.2.2. The voting faculty in the election of department, school, or college councils and committees and in elections pertaining to department, school, or college policies and decisions shall include all regular faculty engaged in the academic activities of that unit and may, if so provided by unit bylaws, also include health professions faculty, FRIB/NSCL faculty, fixed-term faculty, honorary faculty, specialists, lecturers, research associates, assistant instructors, or adjunct faculty.
1.1.2.3. A faculty member jointly appointed to two or more units may vote only once in a given election. In elections voted upon by two or more units, the faculty member shall vote in the unit which has primary responsibility for initiating personnel action, with respect to that faculty member.

1.1.2.4. A regular faculty member, health professions faculty member, or FRIB/NSCL faculty member may be elected to an academic governance body as a representative of any unit in which that faculty member holds faculty status.

1.1.2.5. A full-time fixed-term faculty member who has served at least three consecutive years may be elected to an academic governance body, with the exception of the University Committee on Faculty Tenure, as a representative of any unit in which the person holds faculty status.

1.2. THE STUDENTS

1.2.1. Student Constituency of the University

1.2.1.1. The student constituency shall consist of all persons officially enrolled as students in the University except those who are also defined as faculty in 1.1.1. A person who has enrolled for two consecutive semesters may retain student status for one semester when not enrolled, if the person has not been awarded a degree, enrolled as a degree candidate at another college or university, or been withdrawn or recessed by the University.

1.2.1.2. Students who are enrolled in graduate non-degree programs, as candidates for graduate degrees, or as candidates for graduate-professional degrees, shall be deemed graduate students for purposes of these Bylaws.

1.2.1.3. All other students shall be deemed undergraduate students.

1.2.2. Student Constituency of an Academic Unit

1.2.2.1. The student constituency of a unit for the purpose of selecting student representatives from that unit to serve on higher unit committees shall be all students who have declared with the Registrar a major or major preference (in the case of lower-division students) in an academic program in the unit.

1.2.2.2. The student constituency for purposes, other than that specified in 1.2.2.1, shall be all students who have declared a major or major preference in an academic program in the unit and may include other students as specified in the bylaws of the unit.

1.2.3. Student Participation in Academic Governance

1.2.3.1. Student participation in an academic governance body shall in all cases be in the same mode as faculty participation, except for matters reserved to the faculty. The matters reserved to the faculty are:

1.2.3.1.1. Policy concerning salary, leaves, insurance, retirement, and fringe benefits of faculty.

1.2.3.1.2. Decisions concerning the appointment, salary, reappointment, promotion, tenure, or dismissal of individual faculty members.
Evidence from students regarding the teaching performance of faculty may, as relevant, be considered in decisions concerning the above matters.

Matters affecting the professional responsibility of the faculty to establish and maintain the intellectual authority of the University.

1.3. MODES OF PARTICIPATION

There are four modes of faculty and student participation identified for use in academic governance.

1.3.1. Consultation—A deliberative body of faculty or students consults with, confers with and informs an administrator who has authority and responsibility to make a decision. Individuals, by virtue of their experience, expertise, or position may likewise consult with an administrator who has such authority and responsibility. Such a committee is not a deliberative body; it does not vote. Rather, the members express their views to inform an administrator’s decision.

1.3.2. Advisory—A deliberative body of faculty or students recommends policies to an administrator who is authorized to make decisions. The administrator is not bound by the recommendations and accepts responsibility for the decisions. If the recommendations are not followed and the deliberative body requests an explanation, the administrator will provide the motivation for the decision in writing to the body.

1.3.3. Shared Responsibility—A deliberative body of faculty or students makes recommendations to an administrator. If the administrator and deliberative body cannot agree and action must be taken, the recommendations of the administrator and the deliberative body will be submitted in writing to the appropriate administrator at the next higher administrative level for decisions by that administrator who, upon request, will provide the motivation for the decision in writing to both the deliberative body and the next lower administrator.

1.3.3.1.3.4. Delegated Authority—A deliberative body of faculty or students is authorized to make decisions on specified matters. Such decisions are subject to administrative review, but will be altered only rarely and in exceptional circumstances. If a faculty decision in a matter delegated to faculty is amended or reversed, the faculty shall be afforded the opportunity to appeal such action in writing up to and including the President, who shall decide the matter and provide a written rationale for the decision.

1.4. SPECIAL RULES

1.4.1. Ex-officio membership. Except as limited or prohibited in these Bylaws, ex-officio members of governance bodies—those who serve on the body by virtue of an office held—have both voice and vote.

1.4.2. Designees. Except as limited or prohibited in these Bylaws, a designee may serve in the stead of a member of a governance body who is absent from a meeting. Such designees must have the same eligibility and constituency as the replaced member, and shall have the same rights and privileges as the member replaced. The attendance record of a member who provides a designee shall be unaffected.
1.4.3. **Absences and removal.** Members who fail to attend two meetings in a semester, or three meetings in a single academic year, of a particular governance body designated in these *Bylaws*, and who also fail to provide designees in their absences, shall be removed from the governance body, reducing its quorum until the members are replaced by procedures specific to the bodies.
SECTION 2. ACADEMIC GOVERNANCE IN SCHOOLS, COLLEGES, AND OTHER ACADEMIC UNITS

2.1. UNIT ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATORS

2.1.1. Academic units are those departments, schools, colleges, and other administrative units whose primary function is education, research, or creative endeavor.

2.1.2. An academic administrator is a faculty member who has authority and responsibility delegated by the President and the Board of Trustees for the administration of a unit. Administrative officers of the major education and research units of the University shall be members of the regular faculty, health professions faculty, or FRIB/NSCL faculty, except deans who shall be members of the regular faculty.

2.1.2.1. A department chairperson or school director serves as the chief representative of his or her department or school within the University. He or she is responsible for the unit’s educational, research, and service programs—including the outreach components of all three; budgetary matters, academic facilities, and personnel matters, taking into account the advisory procedures of the unit. The chairperson or director has a special obligation to build a department or school strong in scholarship, teaching capacity, and service.

2.1.2.2. The deans and directors of other academic units separately reporting to the Provost are responsible for educational, research, and service programs of their units. This responsibility includes budgetary matters, academic facilities, and personnel matters in the unit, taking into account the advisory procedures of the unit.

2.1.3. Faculty and students shall advise or consult in the appointment of unit academic administrators.

2.1.3.1. The voting faculty of each department or school shall have shared responsibility with the relevant dean to determine procedures for the nomination of chairpersons and directors to be selected by the Provost.

2.1.3.2. The voting faculty of each college, as represented by the faculty members of the College Advisory Committee, shall have shared responsibility with the Provost to determine procedures for the nomination of that college’s deans.

2.1.3.3. The nomination to the Provost of assistant and associate academic unit administrators shall be the responsibility of the academic administrator to whom they directly report.

2.1.4. Chairpersons, directors, and deans shall be subject to regular review at intervals not to exceed five years.

2.1.4.1. The College Advisory Committee of each college shall have shared responsibility with the Provost to determine procedures for the review of that college’s dean.

2.1.4.2. At intervals not to exceed five years, the dean shall review each chairperson or school director in that college.
2.1.4.3. A department or school faculty shall have shared responsibility with its dean on procedures for review of a chairperson or school director.

2.1.4.4. There is no limit on how long an individual may continue in the position of dean, chairperson, or school director. The same rule applies to deans and directors of separately reporting units.

2.1.4.5. The appointment of a dean, chairperson, or director, as such, may be terminated at any time by resignation or by action of the President upon the recommendation of the Provost.

2.1.5. Academic administrators shall participate in academic governance as part of their administrative responsibilities.

2.1.5.1. Academic administrators shall inform faculty and students of administration policies through the academic governance system as well as through other channels they deem appropriate.

2.1.5.2. Academic administrators shall seek information and advice from the views of faculty and students through the academic governance system, as well as through other channels they deem appropriate, in determining policies and in advising other administrators of the University.

2.1.5.3. Academic administrators shall comply with these Bylaws and the bylaws of their academic units.

2.1.5.4. Academic administrators shall assist and encourage the efficient and effective operation of academic governance.

2.2. UNIT ACADEMIC GOVERNANCE

2.2.1. The voting faculty of each academic unit shall have shared responsibility with the administrator to adopt and publish bylaws, provided they are in conformity with these Bylaws.

2.2.2. Academic unit bylaws shall be reviewed at intervals not to exceed five years.

2.2.2.1. The bylaws of schools and departments shall be reviewed by appropriate college committees. The decisions of such committees can be appealed to the University Committee on Academic Governance.

2.2.2.2. The bylaws of colleges and other academic units that are not part of a college shall be reviewed by the University Committee on Academic Governance. Decisions of the Committee can be appealed to the University Council.

2.2.3. The bylaws of academic units with responsibilities for undergraduate or graduate education shall include procedures for the participation of students in the decision-making processes by which policy is formed.

2.2.3.1. The students in each unit who have declared a major or major preference in an academic program in the unit shall be responsible for selecting, according to procedures of their own choice, their representatives to councils and committees, as specified in unit bylaws, pursuant to 1.1.2.1.

2.2.3.2. Each unit’s bylaws shall specify the student constituency of that unit,
pursuant to 1.1.2.1 and 1.1.2.2.

2.2.4. Unit bylaws shall provide that the unit administrator, or the unit administrator’s designee, shall generally be present at meetings of academic governance bodies in the unit.

2.2.5. The bylaws of each college shall provide for a College Advisory Committee of faculty and students or separate college advisory committees to serve as means of participation by faculty and students in the policy-making of the college. The composition of each College Advisory Committee shall be representative of that college’s diverse academic interests.

2.2.5.1. Each College Advisory Committee shall ensure that at least two of its members are members of the Faculty Senate, selecting—if necessary—from among the college representatives to the University Council one person to serve as an ex-officio member, without vote, of the College Advisory Committee. See 3.3.1.2.1.

2.2.5.2. Each College Advisory Committee shall elect its own chairperson.

2.2.5.3. Each College Advisory Committee shall publish minutes of its meetings.

2.2.6. The jurisdiction of unit-level academic governance shall include matters within the jurisdiction of University-level academic governance, provided that such matters are within the administrative authority of that academic unit.

2.2.7. Unit academic governance shall have jurisdiction over other matters, as provided in other University policies and legislation, e.g., of Student Rights and Responsibilities at Michigan State University (SRR), Graduate Student Rights and Responsibilities, Medical Students Rights and Responsibilities, and the Faculty Grievance Policy.

2.2.8. Academic unit bylaws shall specify the modes of participation (1.3) for matters within the jurisdiction of unit academic governance. The modes of participation specified at the University level shall be the model that guides, but does not necessarily determine, the modes of participation at the academic unit level.
SECTION 3. UNIVERSITY ACADEMIC GOVERNANCE

3.1. THE ACADEMIC CONGRESS

3.1.1. Composition of the Academic Congress

3.1.1.1. The voting membership of the Academic Congress shall be the voting faculty as defined in 1.1, including the President and Provost. Honorary faculty shall be members with voice but without vote. The presiding officer may vote to break a tie.

3.1.1.2. The Chairperson of the Faculty Senate shall serve as the Chairperson of the Academic Congress. The Vice Chairperson of Faculty Senate shall serve as the Vice Chairperson of the Academic Congress.

3.1.1.3. The Chairperson presides at all meetings of the Academic Congress. In the absence of the Chairperson, the Vice Chairperson will preside at the meeting of the Academic Congress.

3.1.1.4. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall also serve as Secretary of the Academic Congress.

3.1.2. Functions of the Academic Congress

3.1.2.1. The Academic Congress will deliberate and endorse or reject items, and then refer them back to the originating body.

3.1.2.2. The Academic Congress shall also serve as a forum for the dissemination and exchange of ideas and information between the faculty and the administration.

3.1.3. Methods of Calling the Academic Congress

3.1.3.1. Meetings of the Academic Congress can be called into session by a majority vote of the faculty representatives of The Steering Committee or by the President.

3.1.3.2. The Academic Congress must be called into session to consider specific referred items when one of the following actions occur:

3.1.3.2.1. A petition to call the Academic Congress into session to consider an item is endorsed by at least 20 percent of the voting members of the Academic Congress. The petition must include a description of the item that is referred for consideration.

3.1.3.2.2. At least 50 percent plus one of the voting members of the University Council or the Faculty Senate vote to call the Academic Congress into session to consider an item. The resolution must include a description of the item that is referred for consideration.

3.1.3.2.3. At least 50 percent plus one of the voting members at an official meeting of the Academic Congress at which a quorum is present vote to call the Academic Congress into session to consider an item. In this case, another meeting may be called only to consider items from the original agenda.
3.1.4. Quorum

3.1.4.1. A quorum of 10 percent of its voting membership plus one is necessary for the Academic Congress to consider action items that are referred to it.

3.1.4.2. In the event a quorum is not present, items that are referred to the Academic Congress from the Faculty Senate or the University Council (3.1.3.2.2) will be returned to the referring body for action.

3.1.4.3. In the event a quorum is not present, items referred to the Academic Congress for consideration by petition (3.1.3.2.1) will be referred to the Faculty Senate for consideration.

3.1.4.4. When the Academic Congress acts as a forum for the interchange of ideas and information, a quorum is not required. Notes will be kept of such sessions.

3.1.5. Academic Congress Agendas and Minutes

3.1.5.1. The agendas for Academic Congress will be set by The Steering Committee, in consultation with the President. However, if the Academic Congress was called into session by referral from the Faculty Senate or the University Council or by petition, The Steering Committee will include the referred item on the agenda. Agendas will be publicly available and circulated at least one week before the meeting.

3.1.5.2. Minutes of Academic Congress meetings shall be distributed to all members of the Academic Congress and the University Council.

3.2. THE UNIVERSITY COUNCIL

3.2.1. Composition of the University Council. The University Council shall be composed of the members of Faculty Senate, selected pursuant to 3.3.1, the Appointed Council, all faculty serving as Chairpersons of College Advisory Committees, the Chairperson of the Athletic Council, members of the Associated Students of Michigan State University (ASMSU) selected pursuant to 3.2.3.1, members of the Council of Graduate Students (COGS) selected pursuant to 3.2.3.2, Chairpersons of University-level Standing Committees, The Steering Committee, the President, the Provost, and designated ex-officio members in 3.2.1.2.

3.2.1.1. The Appointed Council shall be composed of all Deans, the Director of Libraries, the Director of the FRIB, the President, and the Provost.

3.2.1.2. The University Council shall have the following ex-officio members: Vice President for Student Affairs and Services; Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies; Vice President for Information Technology; the associate provosts; University Registrar; Athletic Council Chairperson; Faculty Grievance Official; Secretary for Academic Governance, and Ombudsperson. One representative of the faculty emeriti will serve ex officio with voice, but no vote.

3.2.2. Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of the University Council

3.2.2.1. The Chairperson of University Council is the President. The Chairperson will preside at all meetings of University Council.

3.2.2.2. The Vice Chairperson of University Council is the Provost. The Vice
Chairperson will preside at meetings of University Council when the Chairperson is not in attendance.

3.2.2.3. When both the Chairperson and the Vice Chairperson of the University Council cannot be in attendance at a meeting of University Council, the Chairperson will designate an individual to preside at that meeting.

3.2.3. The Voting Members of the University Council shall be the faculty representatives elected as members of the Faculty Senate, ASMSU and COGS student representatives, designated members of University-level Standing Committees, voting members of The Steering Committee, and the members of the Appointed Council.

3.2.3.1. ASMSU shall select a number of undergraduate student representatives to the University Council equal to one-third (1/3) of the total voting membership of the University Council. The number of representatives shall be rounded to the nearest integer. The overall selection shall ensure balanced collegiate representation.

3.2.3.1.1. Undergraduate student representatives to the University Council shall be chosen according to procedures established by the constitution of ASMSU and shall be chosen such that the diversity of the delegation is ensured.

3.2.3.2. COGS shall select a number of graduate representatives to the University Council equal to three-fiftieths (3/50) of the total voting membership of the body. The number of representatives shall be rounded to the nearest integer. No more than one graduate representative may be from a single department, with consideration being given to University wide representation insofar as possible.

3.2.3.2.1. Graduate student representatives to the University Council shall be chosen according to procedures established by the constitution of COGS and shall be chosen to ensure the diversity of the delegation.

3.2.4. Functions of the University Council

3.2.4.1. The University Council brings together faculty, student, and administration representatives to discuss issues that involve the entire University. While the Faculty Senate may seek input from the University Council on proposals regarding curricular issues, faculty tenure and promotion issues, and faculty salary and benefits issues, the primary focus of discussion in the University Council is on other issues that are not the core responsibility of the Faculty Senate.

3.2.4.2. The University Council shall consider and act upon all matters within its purview brought before it by The Steering Committee, shall consider and act upon all matters brought before it by the President or Provost, and shall provide a forum for the dissemination of information and exchange of views regarding University policy.

3.2.4.3. The University Council shall consider all major issues related to educational policy. The mode of participation shall be that which is specified on the matter in the ascription of functions to University-level Standing Committees (4.3–
3.2.4.4. The University Council’s role is to communicate its views on key issues facing the University.

3.2.4.5. The University Council may refer items to the Academic Congress for consideration and action.

3.2.4.6. The University Council may consult on any other matter pertaining to the general welfare of the University.

3.2.4.7. The University Council shall propose procedures to the Board of Trustees for the participation of faculty and students in the selection of the President, bearing in mind that the selection of a president should follow upon a cooperative search by the Board of Trustees and the faculty, taking into consideration the opinions of others who are appropriately interested. The President should be equally qualified to serve both as the executive officer of the Board and as the chief academic officer of the University and the faculty. The President’s dual role requires an ability to interpret to trustees and faculty the educational views and concepts of institutional government held by each. The President should have the confidence of the trustees and the faculty.

3.2.4.8. The University Council shall develop procedures acceptable to the President for the participation of faculty and students in the selection of administrators of University-level units who are involved in the administration of policies significantly affecting the academic programs of the University.

3.2.4.8.1. These administrative positions are the Provost, the Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies, the Vice President for Student Affairs and Services, the Dean of Undergraduate Studies, the Dean of The Graduate School, the Dean of International Studies and Programs, the Director of Libraries, and the Dean of the Honors College.

3.2.4.9. Except for the Board of Trustees, the University Council shall be the final authority with regard to the interpretation of these Bylaws (4.3.4).

3.2.4.10. The University Council may propose, by majority vote, changes in these Bylaws (8.3.9).

3.2.4.11. The University Council shall act on amendments to these Bylaws. Proposed amendments can originate from the University Council itself, from the Faculty Senate, or from University-level Standing Committees through referral to the University Committee on Academic Governance.

3.2.5. University-level Standing Committees Reporting to University Council

3.2.5.1. University-level Standing Committees that deal predominantly with issues other than the curriculum and faculty life (e.g., tenure and promotion, salary, benefits) report to University Council. Such committees include the University Committee on Student Affairs, the University Committee on Undergraduate Education, the University Committee on Graduate Studies, and the University Committee on Academic Governance.

3.2.5.2. The Athletic Council reports to the University Council. The form and frequency of its reporting will be determined by The Steering Committee, but
in no case will the frequency of reporting be less than once each academic year. The Athletic Council, through its chairperson, will also respond within a reasonable time to questions asked by the University Council.

3.2.6. Procedures of the University Council

3.2.6.1. The President or, in the President’s absence, the Provost, shall preside at meetings of the University Council. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall be Secretary of the University Council and shall serve as a non-voting ex-officio member of the University Council.

3.2.6.2. The University Council shall normally meet at least once each month during the academic year. The President or The Steering Committee may also call a special meeting of the University Council. The Steering Committee may cancel a University Council meeting if there are no agenda items that must be considered. The Steering Committee shall prepare the agenda for each University Council meeting. Each meeting agenda will include an item enabling new business to be introduced from the floor. The agenda will be publicly available and circulated the meeting to which it relates. The meetings of the University Council shall be open. Observers shall be seated separately.

3.2.6.3. A quorum for conducting the business of the University Council is 50 percent plus one.

3.2.6.4. On all recommendations concerning major academic policies, a University-level Standing Committee report, or a brief summary thereof compiled by the committee chairperson, shall be made publicly available at the time it is submitted to the University Council.

3.2.6.5. The Faculty Senate, the Appointed Council (3.2.1.1), ASMSU, or COGS may, by a majority vote of those present and voting, refer matters to the University Council. Such recommendations shall be placed on the agenda of the University Council by The Steering Committee.

3.2.6.6. The University Council shall establish its own rules and procedures. The Faculty Senate, ASMSU, and COGS shall keep minutes of their meetings, which shall be made public through the Academic Governance website.

3.2.7. Functions of ASMSU

3.2.7.1. ASMSU shall consider and act upon all recommendations to University Council regarding student academic rights and responsibilities and judicial procedures; upon any proposed amendment to or revision of *Student Rights and Responsibilities at Michigan State University (SRR)* prior to its consideration by University Council; upon all matters brought before it by the President, the Provost, or the Vice President for Student Affairs and Services; and upon all matters on which its constitution requires such action.

3.2.7.2. On any matter brought before ASMSU the mode of participation shall be that which is specified on the matter in the ascription of functions to University-level Standing Committees (4.3–4.9).

3.2.8. Functions of COGS
3.2.8.1. COGS shall consider and act upon all recommendations to University Council regarding student academic rights and responsibilities and judicial procedures; upon any amendment to or revision of the SRR, and Graduate Student Rights and Responsibilities prior to its consideration by University Council; upon all matters brought before it by the President, the Provost, or the Vice President for Student Affairs and Services; and upon all matters on which its constitution requires such action.

3.2.8.2. On any matter brought before COGS, the mode of participation shall be that which is specified on the matter in the ascription of functions to University-level Standing Committees (4.3–4.9).

3.3. THE FACULTY SENATE

3.3.1. Composition of the Faculty Senate

3.3.1.1. The first contingent of voting members of the Faculty Senate will be composed of faculty representatives from each college at the University. The college advisory committee/council in each college will conduct the election of that college’s representatives. The Secretary for Academic Governance will oversee the elections.

3.3.1.2. Elected Faculty Representatives

3.3.1.2.1. Each college shall have at least two representatives, one of whom will be the Chairperson of the College Advisory Committee. Each college shall have one additional representative for every additional fifty voting faculty in excess of one hundred (1.1.2.1) not to exceed five total representatives. Each college with three or more representatives shall have at least one non-tenured faculty member among its representatives. See 2.2.5.1.

3.3.1.2.2. For purposes of determining the number of elected representatives on the Faculty Senate to which a college is entitled, the number of voting faculty (1.1.2.1), shall be the total number of faculty for whom the academic units in the college have primary responsibility for initiating personnel actions (1.1). For purposes of determining the number of faculty members required for the conduct of governance in accordance with these Bylaws, the census of the voting faculty will be provided to the Secretary for Academic Governance by the Office of the Provost no later than October 1 of each year.

3.3.1.2.3. Each college’s faculty representatives shall be elected at-large during the spring semester by ballot supervised by the College Advisory Committee. A department or school may not have more than one representative unless the college’s departments are so few that such a limitation would reduce the college’s representatives to the Faculty Senate as determined by 3.3.1.2.2. Nomination procedures shall be stated in college bylaws.

3.3.1.2.4. All regular faculty members of the University who are not represented through colleges (non-college faculty) or who are not otherwise named in the membership of the Faculty Senate shall have representation as
specified for colleges in 3.3.1.2.2 and 3.3.1.2.3. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall supervise nomination procedures.

3.3.1.2.5. The methods of election of representatives for non-college faculty and those colleges that are not departmentally organized shall be similar to those prescribed for departmentally organized colleges and shall assure representation of their diverse academic interests.

3.3.1.2.6. The term of office of an elected faculty representative shall be two years and shall begin August 16. No individual may serve more than two consecutive terms from the same constituency. Representatives of each college or unit shall serve staggered terms.

3.3.1.2.7. If, for any reason, an elected faculty representative is unable to serve for a period of one academic semester or more, a replacement shall be selected by the relevant College Advisory Committee, as provided by college bylaws. The non-college faculty shall establish procedures for this purpose in cooperation with the Secretary for Academic Governance.

3.3.1.3. The second contingent of voting members of the Faculty Senate consists of the at-large faculty representatives on The Steering Committee (3.4.1.2).

3.3.1.4. The third contingent of voting members of the Faculty Senate consists of the individuals who sit as chairpersons of the University-level Standing Committees.

3.3.1.5. The President, the Provost, one elected member from ASMSU, one elected member from COGS, and the Chairperson of the Athletic Council will serve as ex-officio members of the Faculty Senate, with voice, but no vote.

3.3.1.6. One representative of the faculty emeriti will serve as an ex-officio member of the Faculty Senate, with voice, but no vote.

3.3.1.7. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall be the Secretary of the Faculty Senate and shall serve as a non-voting ex-officio member of the Faculty Senate.

3.3.2. Chairperson of the Faculty Senate

3.3.2.1. The Chairperson of the Faculty Senate will be selected by all voting members of the Faculty Senate from a slate made up of the at-large faculty representatives sitting on The Steering Committee. The at-large members receiving the two highest vote totals will constitute the final slate. Voting will be conducted again, and the at-large member receiving the majority of the votes will be declared the Chairperson of the Faculty Senate and the other candidate will be declared the Vice Chairperson. Their terms are one year. The Chairperson of Faculty Senate also serves as Chairperson of The Steering Committee and Chairperson of the Academic Congress. The Vice Chairperson of the Faculty Senate also serves as the Vice Chairperson of The Steering Committee and Vice Chairperson of the Academic Congress.

3.3.2.1.1. No person with an administrative appointment of more than 25% shall be eligible to serve as either the Chairperson or the Vice Chairperson of The Steering Committee, Faculty Senate, or Academic Congress. Individuals
with administrative responsibilities amounting to less than 25% of their total duties should consider the appropriateness of their service on The Steering Committee and Faculty Senate after considering possible conflicts of interest and the appearance of impropriety.

3.3.2.2. The Chairperson of the Faculty Senate will preside at meetings of the Faculty Senate. In the absence of the Chairperson, the Vice Chairperson will preside.

3.3.3. Procedures of the Faculty Senate

3.3.3.1. The Chairperson of the Faculty Senate will preside at meetings of the Faculty Senate. In the absence of the Chairperson, the Vice Chairperson will preside.

3.3.3.2. Quorum for Faculty Senate Meetings. The quorum for conducting the business of the Faculty Senate is 50 percent of its voting membership plus one.

3.3.3.3. The Faculty Senate shall normally meet at least once each month during the academic year.

3.3.3.4. The Steering Committee shall prepare the agenda for each Faculty Senate meeting. The agenda will be publicly available and circulated at least one week prior to the meeting to which it relates. Each meeting agenda will include an item enabling new business to be introduced from the floor. The Steering Committee may cancel a Faculty Senate meeting if there are no agenda items that must be considered.

3.3.3.5. A special meeting (“called meeting”) of the Faculty Senate to address urgent matters that should not await a regularly scheduled meeting may be called by the President, by The Steering Committee, or by a two-thirds vote of the membership of the Faculty Senate meeting in regular session.

3.3.3.5.1. In exceptional circumstances, between meetings of the Faculty Senate, one-third of the voting membership of the Faculty Senate may request a special meeting on a stated issue by written or electronic appeal to an at-large member of The Steering Committee. The appeal shall immediately prompt an electronic vote by the full voting membership of the Faculty Senate on whether to hold the special meeting on the stated issue. A two-thirds approval shall be required to call the special meeting.

3.3.3.5.2. Calls for a special meeting shall specify the purpose of the meeting. The only business that can be transacted at the special meeting is that which has been specified in the call for the meeting. The statement of purpose need not give the exact content of individual motions to be considered, if any. Motions from the floor shall be allowed.

3.3.3.5.3. Notice of a special meeting shall be publicized to members of the Faculty Senate at least forty-eight hours in advance of the called meeting and shall include date, time, venue, and agenda.

3.3.3.5.4. The Steering Committee and the Secretary for Academic Governance shall facilitate the convening of the special meeting.

3.3.3.6. Meetings of the Faculty Senate shall be open. Observers shall be seated separately.

3.3.3.4. Functions of the Faculty Senate
The Faculty Senate is a deliberative, representative, and legislative body for Michigan State University faculty. As such, the Faculty Senate is the major, regularly meeting body in which curricular issues, faculty tenure and promotion issues, and faculty salary and benefits issues are presented. Advice may be sought from the University Council. The Faculty Senate’s role is to communicate its position to the administration on these issues.

The Faculty Senate acts on issues referred to it by The Steering Committee.

The Faculty Senate makes recommendations, provides advice, and speaks for University faculty on proposals developed in the University-level Standing Committees.

The Faculty Senate discusses issues brought to it by faculty, students, college advisory committees, and administrators, and communicates its position on these issues on behalf of all University faculty.

The Faculty Senate will seek broad faculty input on major initiatives before the University community.

The Faculty Senate obtains and synthesizes the opinion of the faculty to form recommendations on key issues facing the University, and communicates those recommendations to the President and the Provost.

Members of the Faculty Senate have the responsibility to represent their constituents on issues that should be considered by the governance system.

The Faculty Senate may refer items to the Academic Congress for its consideration.

The Faculty Senate may propose changes to these Bylaws. Bylaws changes approved by the Faculty Senate shall be submitted to the University Committee on Academic Governance for comment and may be introduced to the University Council directly by a member of the University Council. The Board of Trustees must approve Bylaws changes.

The University Council will consult with the Faculty Senate before it approves any amendments to those sections of the SRR that set forth the role of the faculty in the instructional process.

On any matter brought before the Faculty Senate, the mode of participation shall be that which is specified on the matter in the ascription of functions to University-level Standing Committees (4.3–4.9).

University-level Standing Committees Reporting to Faculty Senate

University-level Standing Committees that address issues of curriculum and deal predominantly with issues of faculty life (e.g., tenure and promotion, salary, benefits) report to the Faculty Senate.

The University Committee on Faculty Affairs (UCFA) will report to the Faculty Senate. UCFA may request an opportunity for its representatives to appear before University Council to obtain feedback from the entire University community.
The University Committee on Faculty Tenure (UCFT) will report to the Faculty Senate. UCFT may request an opportunity for its representatives to appear before University Council to obtain feedback from the entire University community.

University Committee on Curriculum (UCC) will report to the Faculty Senate. UCC may request an opportunity for its representatives to appear before University Council to obtain feedback from the entire University community.

University-level Standing Committees Reporting to Faculty Senate in Exceptional Situations

The University Committee on Student Affairs (UCSA) will report to the University Council, provided that recommendations by UCSA that affect professional rights and responsibilities of faculty must have been approved by the Faculty Senate before consideration by the University Council.

The University Committee on Undergraduate Education (UCUE) will report to the University Council, provided that on issues of establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, and merger of programs, UCUE shall have shared responsibility, the University Council and the Faculty Senate will be informed of UCUE’s consultation with the Provost.

The University Committee on Graduate Studies (UCGS) will report to the University Council, provided that on issues of establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, and merger of programs, the University Council and the Faculty Senate will be informed of UCGS’s consultation, UCGS will have shared responsibility with the Provost.

The Steering Committee will prepare Faculty Senate agendas. Each meeting agenda will include an item allowing new business to be introduced from the floor.

THE STEERING COMMITTEE

Composition of The Steering Committee

The Steering Committee shall be composed of (a) five members of the faculty, elected at-large; (b) the chairpersons of the University-level Standing Committees; (c) the Vice President of Academic Affairs of ASMSU, and the President of the COGS, who will have voting rights on all matters not reserved to the faculty (1.2.3.1.1); (d) one undergraduate student chosen from the voting members of ASMSU, and one graduate student selected from the student members of COGS who will have voting rights on all matters not reserved to the faculty.

At-large faculty members of The Steering Committee shall be designated as members of the Faculty Senate, but may not serve concurrently as college representatives or as members of any other University-level Standing Committees.

The term of office for the at-large faculty members shall be two
years and shall begin on August 16. No individual may serve more than two consecutive terms. Either two or three at-large faculty members shall complete their terms each year.

3.4.1.2.2. At-large faculty members of the Steering Committee shall be elected by the voting faculty of the Academic Congress in an annual spring semester election supervised by the Secretary for Academic Governance. Election shall be by a plurality of votes.

3.4.1.2.2.1. The University Committee on Academic Governance will solicit recommendations from all faculty. A nomination slate will be developed for the Faculty Senate to endorse and send to the Academic Congress for election.

3.4.1.2.2.2. Each nominee shall provide to the Secretary for Academic Governance a brief vita and a short statement that shall be distributed with the ballot.

3.4.1.2.3. If for any reason an at-large faculty member of the Steering Committee is unable to serve for a period of one academic semester or more, a replacement for the remainder of the term of office shall be appointed by the Faculty Senate upon nomination by the faculty members of the University Committee on Academic Governance.

3.4.1.2.4. The term of office for student members of the Steering Committee shall be one year. No student may serve more than two consecutive terms on the Steering Committee unless that student holds the office of President of COGS or ASMSU Vice President of Academic Affairs, in which event the student may serve on the Steering Committee while holding that office. Student members of the Steering Committee will be identified by the end of each spring semester.

3.4.1.2.4.1. Student members of the Steering Committee shall be chosen from the voting membership of ASMSU and COGS.

3.4.1.2.4.2. Should a student member leave the Steering Committee, a replacement shall be chosen by ASMSU in the case of an undergraduate student, or COGS in the case of a graduate student.

3.4.1.3. The President and Provost shall be ex-officio members of the Steering Committee. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall be an ex-officio member of the Steering Committee and shall serve as Secretary to the Steering Committee, having voice but no vote. Along with support to academic governance, the Office of Academic Governance will supply clerical and administrative assistance to the Steering Committee.

3.4.1.4. The Chairperson of the Steering Committee shall be elected annually pursuant to 3.3.2.1.

3.4.1.5. A quorum of the Steering Committee will consist of 50 percent of its members, plus one.

3.4.2. Functions and Procedures of the Steering Committee

3.4.2.1. The faculty members of the Steering Committee shall meet periodically with
the President, and the Provost, and the Trustees for the exchange of information and views on University policy and culture, and shall normally attend the meetings of the Board of Trustees.

3.4.2.2. The Steering Committee shall act on behalf of the University Council when, in the judgment of a majority of the membership of The Steering Committee, action is needed before the University Council can be called into session. The President, or, in the event of the President’s absence, the Provost will chair such meetings. Actions taken at such meetings shall be reported to the University Council as information items and are subject to review by the University Council. Such meetings shall be called by the President, in consultation with The Steering Committee or a sub-committee of The Steering Committee designated to act on matters of agenda.

3.4.2.3. The faculty members of The Steering Committee shall act on behalf of the Faculty Senate when, in the judgment of a majority of the membership of The Steering Committee, action is needed before the Faculty Senate can be called into session. The Chairperson of The Steering Committee will chair such meetings. Such meetings may be called by the faculty members of The Steering Committee or by the Chairperson of The Steering Committee. Decisions taken at such meetings shall be reported to the Faculty Senate as information items and are subject to review by the Faculty Senate.

3.4.2.4. The Steering Committee shall advise the Provost regarding the appropriate faculty, academic, and student governance bodies, if any, to consult on proposals for establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, or merger of basic academic units, including departments, schools, institutes, colleges, and the Graduate School.

3.4.2.5. The Steering Committee shall receive proposals for action in academic governance from individual faculty or students, and from faculty or student groups and organizations.

3.4.2.5.1. The Steering Committee shall, where appropriate, refer matters brought to it to councils or committees for consideration.

3.4.2.5.2. When an issue is referred to more than one University-level Standing Committee for consideration, The Steering Committee shall designate one University-level Standing Committee the “lead committee” on that issue and make it responsible for any report on that issue.

3.4.2.6. The Steering Committee shall prepare the agenda for meetings of the University Council. Before each meeting of the University Council, The Steering Committee, or a sub-committee designated for matters of agenda shall hold a duly announced open meeting at which suggestions for agenda items will be heard.

3.4.2.7. The faculty members of The Steering Committee, or a sub-committee designated for matters of agenda, shall, in consultation with the President or Provost, prepare the agenda for meetings of the Faculty Senate and the Academic Congress. Before any meeting of those bodies, The Steering Committee will hold a duly announced open meeting at which suggestions for agenda items will be heard.
3.4.2.8. The Steering Committee shall report to the University Council.

3.4.2.9. The Steering Committee will implement and support communication to and from faculty, in print, electronically, and by direct interaction.

3.4.2.10. The Steering Committee will communicate regularly with the College Advisory Committees to encourage and implement strong interaction between University-level and college-level academic governance.

3.4.2.11. The Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of The Steering Committee (or other faculty members of the committee if they are unavailable) shall represent the faculty to the Board of Trustees in the event that urgent action is anticipated by the Board and there is inadequate time for calling a formal meeting of the Faculty Senate.

3.4.2.12. Faculty members of The Steering Committee shall participate in the orientation of the Board of Trustees in the areas of their knowledge and expertise: the roles and responsibilities of faculty governance, the processes of academic decision-making, and the concept of academic freedom.

3.5. OFFICE OF ACADEMIC GOVERNANCE

3.5.1. The Secretary for Academic Governance will direct the Office of Academic Governance.

3.5.2. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall be appointed by the President in consultation with The Steering Committee, and they shall review the appointment at periods not to exceed five years.

3.5.3. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall be the parliamentarian with regard to the Bylaws, and shall serve as a non-voting, ex-officio member of the University Council, the Faculty Senate, The Steering Committee, the Academic Congress, and the General Assembly of ASMSU.

3.5.4. The Secretary for Academic Governance will serve as a liaison between ASMSU and academic governance, and between COGS and academic governance, for the purpose of encouraging student representation in academic governance.

3.5.5. The Office of the Secretary for Academic Governance, along with other administrative offices, provides staff support to The Steering Committee, the University Council, the Faculty Senate, and the University-level Standing Committees in the execution of responsibilities directly and indirectly expressed in these Bylaws. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall supervise elections to the University Council, and of the at-large faculty of The Steering Committee, with review by the University Committee on Academic Governance.
SECTION 4. THE UNIVERSITY-LEVEL STANDING COMMITTEES

4.1. ESTABLISHMENT OF STANDING COMMITTEES.

There shall be the following University-level Standing Committees within Academic Governance:
- University Committee on Academic Governance (UCAG)
- University Committee on Undergraduate Education (UCUE)
- University Committee on Curriculum (UCC)
- University Committee on Faculty Affairs (UCFA)
- University Committee on Faculty Tenure (UCFT)
- University Committee on Graduate Studies (UCGS)
- University Committee on Student Affairs (UCSA)

4.2. GENERAL RULES GOVERNING STANDING COMMITTEES

4.2.1. Composition of Standing Committees

4.2.1.1. The composition of each Standing Committee is specified in the description of each committee. The number of members for each committee is monitored by the University Committee on Academic Governance (4.3–4.9).

4.2.1.2. Unless otherwise provided, no college shall have more than one faculty representative on a Standing Committee.

4.2.1.3. Unless provided otherwise in detailed descriptions below, the term of office for faculty members on Standing Committees shall be two years. Provisions shall be made to stagger elections. Terms of office shall begin on August 16.

4.2.1.4. The term of office for student members on Standing Committees shall be one year, renewable. Terms of office shall begin on the first day of summer semester classes.

4.2.1.5. No individual may serve more than three consecutive terms on the same Standing Committee as a representative of the same constituency. Members may return to a Standing Committee on which they have already served two full terms after three years (one full term).

4.2.1.6. No individual may serve concurrently as a voting member of more than one Standing Committee.

4.2.1.7. Election and appointment to Standing Committees shall take place in the spring of each year.

4.2.1.8. If for any reason a member of a Standing Committee is unable to serve for a period of one or more semesters, a replacement shall be named for that period. The selection of the replacement shall take place in the same manner as the selection of the member unable to serve.

4.2.1.9. Where faculty members of Standing Committees are selected from the elected faculty on the University Council, they shall be elected by the Faculty
Senate from a list of nominees chosen by the faculty of the University Committee on Academic Governance. The number of nominees shall be at least 1.5 times the number of positions to be filled.

4.2.1.10. Where faculty members of a Standing Committee are elected in college elections, nominating procedures shall be specified in college bylaws. Colleges may, in their bylaws, authorize the election to any Standing Committee, except the University Committee on Faculty Tenure, of full-time fixed-term faculty who have served at least three consecutive years, or of specialists in the continuing appointment system.

4.2.1.11. Unless otherwise provided, undergraduate student members of Standing Committees shall be elected by ASMSU, and graduate student members of Standing Committees shall be elected by COGS. Elections shall be held prior to the end of spring semester.

4.2.1.12. Elections to all Standing Committees shall be completed prior to May 1.

4.2.2. General Procedures of Standing Committees

4.2.2.1. After Standing Committees for the next year have been constituted in the spring, each new committee shall use its best efforts to meet prior to the end of spring semester to elect its chairperson and vice chairperson. These officers must be elected no later than the first committee meeting of the next academic year.

4.2.2.2. No person with an administrative appointment of more than 25% shall be eligible to serve as the chairperson or vice chairperson of a Standing Committee. Individuals with administrative responsibilities amounting to less than 25% of their total duties should consider the appropriateness of their service on a Standing Committee after considering possible conflicts of interest and the appearance of impropriety.

4.2.2.3. The chairperson of each Standing Committee shall prepare a report at the end of each semester, summarizing the activities and actions of the committee during that semester, and its projected activities for the next semester. The mid-year report will summarize matters of significant concern to the committee and will be submitted by December 31. The end-of-the-semester summaries will be posted through the website for Academic Governance. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall distribute these with the agenda or minutes for the University Council (3.2.6) or the Faculty Senate (3.3.4), as appropriate. At least once each academic year, each chairperson shall report at a meeting of the Faculty Senate or the University Council, as appropriate. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall establish the schedule for these reports.

4.2.2.4. The chairperson of each Standing Committee shall submit an annual written report of that committee’s activities and actions to the Academic Governance Office by August 31. Summaries of these reports shall be posted through the website for Academic Governance. Each Standing Committee’s chairperson shall regularly report to The Steering Committee on the work of that committee.
4.2.2.4. Standing Committees are encouraged to call on diverse members of the University community for the perspective that they may bring to the consideration of issues. Such individuals are asked to render such services as the relevant committee may reasonably request.

4.2.2.5. Standing Committees shall establish their own rules and procedures, as long as they are not in conflict with these Bylaws.

4.2.2.6. Subcommittees or ad hoc committees exist at the discretion of the parent Standing Committees, which shall review the need for such subcommittees or ad hoc committees annually or at the conclusion of the period for which such subcommittee or ad hoc committee was constituted.

4.2.3. General Functions of Standing Committees

4.2.3.1. Standing Committee members shall represent the interests of the entire University.

4.2.3.2. Standing Committees shall initiate recommendations to, and communicate with, the Faculty Senate (3.3.5), or the University Council (3.2.5), as appropriate. Subject to the review of Faculty Senate or University Council, each Standing Committee may make recommendations to the Provost and other administrators, as indicated in these Bylaws, on matters within the purview of the committee.

4.2.3.3. Each Standing Committee shall respond to reasonable requests for information, consultation, or advice from administrators, trustees, faculty, and students who initiate requests on matters within the purview of that committee.

4.2.3.4. Standing Committees shall regularly review their composition, procedures, and functions and recommend appropriate Bylaws revisions to the University Committee on Academic Governance.

4.2.4. Meetings of Standing Committees

4.2.4.1. The administrator(s) being advised by a Standing Committee shall be present at meetings of the committee except by mutual agreement.

4.2.4.2. Each Standing Committee shall schedule its own meetings, noting the schedule for all such committees established by the Secretary for Academic Governance, and shall post the schedule through the website for Academic Governance.

4.2.4.3. Standing Committee meetings shall ordinarily be open, but any such Committee may formulate procedures for closing some of its meetings for stated reasons. When a meeting is to be closed, the reasons for such closure will be announced publicly through the website for Academic Governance.

4.2.4.4. Each Standing Committee shall determine its own agenda. Members of The Steering Committee, administrators, faculty, and students may suggest x items for a committee’s agenda. Announcements of Standing Committee meetings must be posted through the website for Academic Governance at least one week in advance of the meeting, include the time and place of the meeting, and an agenda.
4.2.4.5. The quorum for Standing Committees, except as provided in each committee’s procedures, shall be fifty percent plus one.

4.3. UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC GOVERNANCE

4.3.1. The University Committee on Academic Governance (UCAG) shall include a faculty member from each college, and a faculty member from the non-college faculty. Eligibility to serve on UCAG is limited to faculty members who have previously served on a University-level Standing Committee or the Faculty Senate. Three undergraduate student members of ASMSU, two graduate student members from COGS, the Provost, and the Secretary for Academic Governance shall be ex-officio members of UCAG.

4.3.1.1. The UCAG shall report to the University Council.

4.3.1.2. The chairperson of the UCAG shall be a member of The Steering Committee, and thus of the Faculty Senate and the University Council.

4.3.1.2.4.3.1.3. The chairperson of the UCAG shall be an ex-officio member of the Board of Trustees subcommittee on academic policy that has oversight of the Bylaws for Academic Governance.

4.3.2. The UCAG shall nominate to the University Council individuals who may be appointed to University-level Advisory-Consultative Committees, and other committees as may be requested by the University Council.

4.3.2.1. Only the faculty members of the UCAG shall nominate faculty to the committees listed in 4.3.2.

4.3.2.2. ASMSU shall appoint undergraduate students to fill the vacant undergraduate student positions on the committees of 4.3.2 in accordance with the procedures outlined in ASMSU’s bylaws. COGS shall appoint graduate and professional students to fill the vacant graduate student positions on the committees of 4.3.2 in accordance with the procedures outlined in COGS bylaws.

4.3.2.3. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall provide staff assistance to UCAG in developing nominations.

4.3.3. The UCAG shall conduct a continuing review of the Bylaws and shall be responsible for recommending amendments in these Bylaws to the University Council.

4.3.4. The UCAG shall interpret these Bylaws subject to review by the University Council.

4.3.5. The UCAG shall review college bylaws for consistency with these Bylaws. It shall review each college’s bylaws at least once every five years.

4.3.5.4.3.6. The UCAG shall conduct a continuing review of best practices for dean evaluations at the college level.

4.3.6.4.3.7. The UCAG shall review unit appeals in cases of conflict between units and consider appeals of reviews of department or school bylaws by college committees.

4.3.7.4.3.8. Decisions of the UCAG on college and department bylaws are subject to
review by the University Council.

4.3.8.4.3.9. The UCAG shall provide guidelines for elections or appointment to committees, boards, and panels affiliated with the University Council. The UCAG will review challenged elections, and recommend appropriate action to the University Council.

4.4. UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION

4.4.1. The membership of the University Committee on Undergraduate Education (UCUE) shall include a faculty member from each college, and a faculty member from the non-college faculty. UCUE shall also have four undergraduate student members, of whom one must be the Vice President of Academic Affairs of ASMSU, and two graduate student members from COGS. The Provost shall be a member with voice, but no vote.

4.4.1.1. The UCUE shall report to the University Council (3.2.5).

4.4.1.2. Each year the UCUE shall appoint one of its faculty members to serve as an ex-officio member on the Athletic Council.

4.4.2. The chairperson of the UCUE will serve on The Steering Committee and thus on the University Council and the Faculty Senate.

4.4.3. The UCUE shall exercise the faculty’s delegated authority on grading policy for undergraduate students and the use of grades and grade point averages for undergraduate admissions and for advancement in or graduation from undergraduate academic programs.

4.4.4. The UCUE shall review all changes in undergraduate academic programs proposed by academic units and recommend their approval or rejection to the University Committee on Curriculum.

4.4.5. The UCUE shall have shared responsibility with the Dean of Undergraduate Studies to consult with the Provost on the establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, or merger of undergraduate academic programs; on policies pertaining to curriculum revision, methods of instruction, evaluation of instruction, and advising and counseling for undergraduate students and programs; and on other policies pertaining to undergraduate education. On issues of the establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, and merger of undergraduate academic programs, the University Council and the Faculty Senate will be informed of the UCUE’s consultation with the Provost.

4.4.5.1. In the event that a disagreement between the UCUE and the Dean is adjudicated by the Provost in favor of the Dean’s position on issues of the establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, and merger of undergraduate academic programs, both positions will be presented to the Faculty Senate for discussion and advice.

4.4.6. The UCUE shall have shared responsibility with the Dean of Undergraduate Studies to advise the Provost on policy pertaining to admissions and retention, financial aid, and the use and distribution of educational and research resources for undergraduate students and programs.

4.4.7. The UCUE shall advise and consult with the Dean of Undergraduate
Studies and the Provost and make recommendations to the University Council on all other matters of academic policy affecting undergraduate students.

4.4.7.4.4.8. The UCUE shall coordinate its activities with those of other committees, as appropriate.

4.5. UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON CURRICULUM

4.5.1. The members of the University Committee on Curriculum (UCC) shall include a faculty member from each college. UCC shall also have five undergraduate student members, of whom one must be a member of ASMSU, and two graduate student members. The Provost shall be a member with voice, but no vote. The Provost shall also name an executive secretary to serve ex officio without vote. The University Registrar shall serve ex officio without vote.

4.5.1.1. The UCC will report to the Faculty Senate. UCC may seek advice from the University Council before reporting to the Faculty Senate on a particular matter, and will do so if The Steering Committee so requests.

4.5.2. The chairperson of the UCC will serve on The Steering Committee and thus on the University Council and the Faculty Senate.

4.5.3. The UCC shall exercise the faculty’s delegated authority to review and approve or reject all changes in undergraduate curricula and degree requirements recommended by the University Committee on Undergraduate Education, and to review and approve or reject changes in graduate and graduate-professional curricula and degree requirements recommended by the University Committee on Graduate Studies. The UCC shall not reject a recommendation from either the University Committee on Undergraduate Education or the University Committee on Graduate Studies without providing a rationale for the rejection and consulting with the appropriate committee. In addition, the UCC shall exercise the faculty’s delegated authority to review and approve or reject all undergraduate and graduate courses proposed by academic units.

4.5.4. The UCC shall advise the Provost on criteria for the establishment and deletion of courses and curricula.

4.6. UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON FACULTY AFFAIRS

4.6.1. The faculty of each college, and the non-college faculty, shall select one faculty member to represent it on the University Committee on Faculty Affairs (UCFA). UCFA shall have as members at least two non-tenured regular faculty and two full-time fixed-term faculty who are eligible to be voting faculty under 1.1.1.2. Each year the University Committee on Academic Governance shall designate which colleges, or college groupings, shall select non-tenured faculty and full time fixed-term faculty as members of the UCFA. The Provost and the Director of the Office of Planning and Budgets shall be a member of UCFA with voice, but no vote.

4.6.1.1. The UCFA will report to the Faculty Senate. At its discretion, the UCFA may request an opportunity for UCFA representatives to appear before the University Council to seek advice.

4.6.1.2. The UCFA shall make recommendations to the Faculty Senate on issues related to the University budget, and shall report annually to a meeting of the
Faculty Senate on academic budget allocations and adjustments in salary and other forms of economic benefits.

4.6.2. The chairperson of the UCFA shall be a member of The Steering Committee and thus of the Faculty Senate and the University Council.

4.6.3. The UCFA shall have shared responsibility with the Provost for the formulation of faculty grievance procedures and on the rights and responsibilities of faculty.

4.6.4. The UCFA shall advise the Provost on personnel policies relating to faculty, including appointment, reappointment, promotion, leaves, retirement, and assignment to teaching, research, and administration.

4.6.5. The UCFA shall consult with or advise the Provost during the formulation of the University’s annual budget request to the State of Michigan.

4.6.6. The UCFA recommendations regarding faculty rights and responsibilities and grievance procedures must also be approved by the Faculty Senate.

4.6.7. The UCFA shall participate in the University’s Discipline and Dismissal of Tenured Faculty for Cause policy (Faculty Handbook) as stipulated therein.

4.7. UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON FACULTY TENURE

4.7.1. The faculty of each college, and non-college faculty, shall select one regular faculty member (1.1.1.1) to represent it on the University Committee on Faculty Tenure (UCFT). UCFT shall have as members at least three non-tenured faculty hired under the rules of tenure. Each year the Committee on Academic Governance shall designate which colleges, or college groupings, shall select non-tenured faculty as members of the UCFT. The UCFT shall have two undergraduate student members from ASMSU, and one graduate student member. The Provost shall be a member with voice, but no vote.

4.7.1.1. The UCFT will report to the Faculty Senate. At its discretion, the UCFT may request an opportunity for UCFT representatives to appear before the University Council to seek advice.

4.7.2. The chairperson of the UCFT shall be designated as a voting member of The Steering Committee and thus of the University Council and the Faculty Senate.

4.7.3. The UCFT shall advise the Provost on the formal and procedural rules for the award and revocation of tenure and on policies relating to tenure, and shall make recommendations to the Faculty Senate on such rules and on policies.

4.7.4. The role of the UCFT in the interpretation of the rules of tenure is determined by Principle Seven of the Operating Principles of the Tenure System (Faculty Handbook).

4.7.5. The UCFT shall hear and act on all cases for exceptions to the rules of tenure and its decisions on the matters shall be binding on the administration and on the faculty member.

4.7.6. The UCFT shall report promptly to the Faculty Senate if the administration acts in a manner contrary to UCFT’s decision on a question involving tenure.

4.7.7. The UCFT shall participate in the University’s Discipline and Dismissal of Tenured Faculty for Cause policy (Faculty Handbook) as stipulated therein.
4.7.7.4.7.8. The UCFT has responsibility for formulating, revising, an
overseeing a means of convening a University-level tenure committee—
formally, a subcommittee of the UCFT—to advise the Provost on matters of
faculty status: appointments, reappointments, decisions not to reappoint,
promotions, and the granting of tenure.

4.8. UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES

4.8.1. The members of the University Committee on Graduate Studies (UCGS) shall
include a faculty member from each college with a graduate or graduate-
professional degree program, plus one additional member from the Colleges of
Agriculture and Natural Resources, Arts and Letters, Business, Education, Natural
Science, and Social Science. UCGS shall have five graduate student members
selected by COGS. No more than one student member may be from any one
department, with consideration being given to universitywide representation insofar
as possible. The Dean of the Graduate School shall be a member with voice, but no
vote.

4.8.1.1. The UCGS will report to the University Council (3.2.5).

4.8.2. The chairperson of the UCGS will serve on The Steering Committee and thus on
the University Council and the Faculty Senate.

4.8.3. The UCGS shall exercise the faculty’s delegated authority on grading policy for
graduate and graduate-professional students.

4.8.4. The UCGS shall review all changes in graduate and professional academic
programs and recommend their approval or rejection to the University Committee
on Curriculum.

4.8.5. The UCGS shall have shared responsibility with the Dean of the Graduate School
to consult with the Provost on the establishment, moratorium, discontinuance,
or merger of graduate programs; on policy pertaining to methods of instruction,
evaluation of instruction, advising and counseling, and admissions and retention of
graduate and graduate-professional students; and on other policies pertaining to
administration of graduate and graduate-professional programs. On issues of the
establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, and merger of graduate programs, the
University Council and the Faculty Senate will be informed of UCGS’s consultation
with the Provost.

4.8.5.1. In the event that a disagreement between the UCGS and the Dean is
adjudicated by the Provost in favor of the Dean’s position on issues the
establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, and merger of graduate programs,
both positions will be presented to the Faculty Senate for discussion and
advice to the Provost.

4.8.5.4.8.6. The UCGS shall have shared responsibility with the Dean of the
Graduate School to advise the Provost on the coordination of graduate and
graduate-professional programs.

4.8.6.4.8.7. The UCGS shall have shared responsibility with the Dean of the
Graduate School to consult with the Provost on policies pertaining to financial aid
and employment of graduate students and on the use and distribution of
educational and research resources for graduate and graduate-professional
The UCGS shall advise and consult with the Dean of the Graduate School and the Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies on policies relating to research development, as they pertain to graduate and graduate-professional education.

The UCGS shall maintain liaison with academic units offering graduate and graduate-professional programs.

The UCGS shall maintain liaison with COGS.

The UCGS shall coordinate its activities with those of other committees, as appropriate.

**4.9. UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON STUDENT AFFAIRS**

4.9.1. The University Committee on Student Affairs (UCSA) shall have four faculty members selected by the Faculty Senate. UCSA shall have eight student members appointed as follows: six appointees from ASMSU, including the President of ASMSU; and two appointees from COGS. UCSA appointees are expected to reflect the diversity of their constituencies. The Vice President for Student Affairs and Services and the University Ombudsperson shall be members with voice, but no vote.

4.9.1.1. The UCSA shall report to the University Council (3.2.5). However, any policy amendment or statement reviewed by UCSA that affects the professional rights and responsibilities of the faculty must be approved by the Faculty Senate before consideration by the University Council.

4.9.2. The chairperson of the UCSA shall be a voting member of the University Council.

4.9.3. The UCSA shall advise the Vice President for Student Affairs and Services on all policies of the Office of the Vice President for Student Affairs and Services and on other University policies as they affect the academic achievement of students.

4.9.4. The UCSA shall initiate and review proposed amendments to the *Student Rights and Responsibilities (SRR)*, *General Student Regulations*, and policies relating to the academic rights and responsibilities of students.

4.9.4.1. Any such amendment affecting the professional rights and responsibilities of the faculty must be reviewed by the UCSA and approved by the Faculty Senate before consideration by the University Council.

4.9.5. The UCSA shall assume the duties of the Committee on Academic Rights and Responsibilities under the *SRR*. 
SECTION 5. OTHER UNIVERSITY-LEVEL COMMITTEES

5.1. UNIVERSITY COUNCIL ADVISORY-CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEES

5.1.1. The University Council may establish Advisory-Consultative Committees for academic administrators of universitywide programs which do not have students or faculty regularly attached, or where the advisory processes established in Student Rights and Responsibilities at Michigan State University (SRR) do not provide a sufficiently broad base for the universitywide program.

5.1.2. The University Council shall establish the functions and the general procedures of Advisory-Consultative Committees, taking account of the recommendations of the administrator to be advised.

5.1.3. The composition of Advisory-Consultative Committees shall be established by the University Council. Membership shall be determined according to procedures specified in 4.3.2, except as noted in 5.1.3.1. The terms of office shall be two years for faculty and one year for students. No member may serve for more than two consecutive terms.

5.1.3.1. When an Advisory-Consultative Committee’s bylaws specify one faculty member from each of the colleges and one from among non-college faculty, each College Advisory Committee shall select one member and the appropriate representative body for the non-college faculty shall select one.

5.1.4. Advisory-Consultative Committees shall advise and consult with appropriate administrators. The committees shall recommend to the University Council, through The Steering Committee or an appropriate University-level Standing Committee, needed changes in University policies and procedures. An annual report shall be made to The Steering Committee for distribution with the University Council minutes. Minutes of all meetings shall be sent to The Steering Committee.

5.1.5. The University Committee on Academic Governance shall review the need for each Advisory-Consultative Committee at intervals not to exceed five years and recommend appropriate action, if any, to the University Council.

5.2. ATHLETIC COUNCIL

5.2.1. There shall be an Athletic Council with composition and bylaws-procedures subject to approval by the University Council. The Athletic Council shall function as the faculty voice in the intercollegiate athletic program.

5.2.2. Composition of the Athletic Council

5.2.2.1. The voting members of the Athletic Council shall be eight members of the faculty, eligible for election under 1.1, three alumni, and three students.

5.2.2.2. Ex-officio members without vote shall include: the Director of Intercollegiate Athletics, the Faculty Athletic Representative, the Executive Director of the Alumni Association, and the Vice President for Finance and Operations.

5.2.3. Appointment of Members
5.2.3.1. Faculty members of the Athletic Council shall be selected by the President from a slate of nominees (two for each vacancy) prepared by the faculty of the University Committee on Academic Governance and approved by the Faculty Senate.

5.2.3.1.1. The University Committee on Undergraduate Education shall appoint one of its faculty members to serve as an ex-officio member of the Athletic Council.

5.2.3.2. Alumni representatives shall be chosen from members of the Michigan State University Alumni Association, which shall prepare a slate of two nominees for each vacancy, from which the President shall appoint one. One of the alumni representatives shall be a former student varsity athlete. Alumni members shall not be employees of the University.

5.2.3.3. The President shall select student members of the Athletic Council.

5.2.3.3.1. One undergraduate student shall be appointed from a slate of three nominees submitted by ASMSU. One graduate student shall be appointed from a slate of three nominees submitted by COGS. One member of the Student-Athlete Advisory Council shall be selected from a slate of three nominees prepared by the Student-Athlete Advisory Council.

5.2.3.4. The Faculty Athletic Representative to the athletic agencies or conferences to which the University chooses to belong shall be a member of the regular faculty appointed by the President. The appointment shall be made with the advice of the voting members of the Athletic Council.

5.2.4. Terms of Appointment

5.2.4.1. The terms of appointment of faculty and alumni representatives on the Athletic Council shall be two years and shall begin on August 16. Terms of members shall be staggered so that not less than one-third of the membership will return the following year.

5.2.4.2. The term of appointment for student representatives shall be one year and shall begin on the first day of summer semester.

5.2.4.3. Vacancies for a period of one or more academic terms that occur during the term of appointment of any voting member shall be filled for the remainder of the unfinished term in the same manner as the regular selection of the member unable to serve. Voting members of the Athletic Council can serve no more than two consecutive terms. An appointment of more than eight months to fill an unfinished term shall be equivalent to a full term in considering eligibility for reappointment.

5.2.4.4. The Faculty Athletic Representative shall serve at the discretion of the President. The President shall review the Faculty Athletic Representative at least once every five years with the advice of the voting members of the Athletic Council.

5.2.5. Responsibilities of the Athletic Council

5.2.5.1. The Athletic Council serves as the deliberative body for the discussion of
academic policy related to student athletes and intercollegiate athletics.

5.2.5.2. The Athletic Council advises the Director of Intercollegiate Athletics on matters of policy, procedures, and organization related to intercollegiate athletics.

5.2.5.2.1. Regarding the regular season and post-season tickets and trips, voting members of the Athletic Council shall strive to minimize the award of special privileges to themselves that are uncharacteristic of those generally available to faculty. Nonetheless, it is expected that the Athletic Council will fulfill responsibilities related to institutional representation as appropriate throughout the year.

5.2.5.2.2. Complimentary tickets given to Athletic Council members are considered non-transferable.

5.2.6. The Athletic Council reports to the University Council. Form and frequency of reporting will be determined by The Steering Committee, but in no case will the frequency of reporting be less than once each academic year. The Athletic Council will also respond to questions asked by the University Council through its seated representative in the University Council, the Chairperson of the Athletic Council.

5.2.6.1. A report of policies regarding regular season and post-season trips, as well as a record of the disbursement of complimentary tickets and trips, shall be included in the annual report of Athletic Council to University Council.

5.3. FACULTY HEALTHCARE COUNCIL

5.3.1. There shall be a Faculty Healthcare Council (FHC) to function as the faculty voice to Human Resources and the University administration on matters related to healthcare and healthcare benefits.

5.3.2. Composition

5.3.2.1. The voting members of the FHC shall be nine faculty members.

5.3.2.2. Ex-officio members without vote shall include but not be limited to the Director of the Office of Planning and Budgets, and one faculty member emeritus/emerita to be selected by the Faculty Emeriti Association.

5.3.3. Appointment of Members

5.3.3.1. Faculty members of the FHC shall be appointed by The Steering Committee from a list initiated by the University Committee on Academic Governance, pursuant to its annual call for volunteers to committees, boards, and panels (4.3.8); the list shall be submitted to the FHC, which shall then submit its recommendations to The Steering Committee.

5.3.3.2. Eligibility for appointment to the FHC shall be established by submission to the University Committee on Academic Governance of a brief vita, rationale for willingness to serve, and acknowledgement that the work of the FHC includes the summer months.

5.3.3.3. Faculty members of the FHC shall represent a diversity of faculty appointments, pursuant to relevant union contracts.

5.3.4. Terms of Appointment
5.3.4.1. The terms of appointment of faculty representatives on the FHC shall be three consecutive two-year terms to ensure continuity and stability of membership.

5.3.4.2. If, for any reason, a member is unable to serve and more than one annual year remains of the appointment, The Steering Committee will identify a replacement from the most recent list of eligible volunteers; the new appointee will be eligible for reappointment for two subsequent terms. If less than one annual year remains, the seat will remain vacant until the next regular appointment period.

5.3.5. Responsibilities of the FHC

5.3.5.1. The FHC will serve as the faculty voice on issues related to the design, implementation and evaluation of health care plans.

5.3.5.2. The FHC will consult with, provide information, and make recommendations to the University Committee on Faculty Affairs on matters concerning the economic impact of health benefits.

5.3.5.3. The FHC shall serve as an advocate for faculty on matters related to health care and health care benefits.

5.4. COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATOR REVIEW

5.4.1. There shall be a Committee on Administrator Review (CAR) with procedures subject to approval by the University Council. The CAR shall function as the outlet for faculty and student voice in the review of administrators.

5.4.2. Composition and Appointment

5.4.2.1. The CAR shall include eight faculty members selected by the Faculty Senate, two undergraduate students to be appointed by ASMSU, and one graduate student appointed by COGS.

5.4.2.2. The Faculty Senate shall develop a protocol for transparency in the process for selecting faculty members. The protocol should develop criteria for adjudging the proposed members’ independence of judgment and lack of conflicts of interest; application materials that require statements describing the faculty member’s understanding of committee duties within a community seeking a thoughtful and evidence-based appraisal of administrator performance, and a format for disclosing the reasons why a chosen member is qualified to exercise independent judgment.

5.4.2.3. No college may be represented by more than one member of the CAR.

5.4.2.4. The CAR may, at its own discretion, work with the President and the Provost to identify administrators with whom the Committee could consult while performing its reviews.

5.4.3. Terms of Appointment

5.4.3.1. The terms of appointment for faculty members shall be two years and shall begin August 16. Terms of members shall be staggered so that not less than one-third of the membership will return the following year.
5.4.3.2. The terms of appointment for student members shall be one year and begin August 16.

5.4.3.3. No member shall serve more than two consecutive terms.

5.4.3.4. Any vacancies that should arise on the CAR shall be filled according to the same procedure outlined in 5.4.2.

5.4.4. Responsibilities of the CAR

5.4.4.1. In the interest of shared responsibility, transparency, and accountability, the CAR shall be responsible for reviewing the conduct and performance of administrators above the level of dean, including all executive officers.

5.4.4.2. The CAR shall also be responsible for investigating complaints that the reviews of deans were not conducted as required by these Bylaws and the relevant college bylaws. The CAR shall deliver a written report outlining its findings to the University Council at the conclusion of an investigation.

5.4.4.2.1. The Committee shall develop its own procedures for conducting these investigations, subject to the approval of the University Council.

5.4.5. Procedures for Selecting Administrators for Review

5.4.5.1. During each fall semester, the CAR will consult with the President and Provost to select three administrators for review.

5.4.5.2. No individual administrator shall be reviewed by the CAR more than once in a three-year period.

5.4.5.3. The CAR must review the Provost and each Vice President within a period of no more than six years.

5.4.5.4. The University Council may initiate a review of an additional administrator by a majority vote upon consultation with the chairperson of the CAR, either replacing or supplementing the three administrators scheduled for review.

5.4.6. Procedures for Reporting Results of Reviews

5.4.6.1. The CAR is otherwise responsible for developing its own procedures for conducting reviews, subject to the approval of University Council.

5.4.7. Procedures for Reporting Results of Reviews

5.4.7.1. After completing an administrator’s review, the CAR shall develop a written report summarizing its findings. This report shall explain the methodologies the CAR used in compiling the report, outline the administrator’s strengths and weaknesses in the role, and include summaries of the feedback the CAR received.

5.4.7.2. The CAR shall also take care to provide a fair and circumspect characterization of the feedback received, limit its review to subjects related to the
administrator’s professional conduct, and avoid the gratuitous disclosures of material not probative of the administrators' performance and needlessly intrusive on the privacy of any party.

5.4.7.3. Each spring semester, the chairperson of the CAR shall deliver the CAR’s written reports to the administrators who were reviewed, the University Council, and the Provost.

5.4.7.3.1. The Secretary for Academic Governance shall be responsible for making these reports publicly available on the Academic Governance website.

5.4.8. In order to carry out these responsibilities, and at its own discretion, the CAR may create subcommittees or ad hoc committees as necessary and appropriate.

5.4.5.5. **AD HOC COMMITTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY COUNCIL**

5.4.1-5.5.1. Ad hoc committees shall be kept to a minimum. Before establishing an ad hoc committee, the University Council shall consult with The Steering Committee to determine whether the commission might appropriately be referred to one or more University-level Standing Committees.

5.4.2-5.5.2. The Steering Committee shall recommend to the University Council the composition, method of selecting members, functions, and procedures of ad hoc committees.
SECTION 6. UNIVERSITY STUDENT-FACULTY JUDICIARIES

6.1. STUDENT-FACULTY HEARING BOARD

6.1.1. The composition and jurisdiction of this body are set forth in Student Rights and Responsibilities (SRR) at Michigan State University.

6.1.2. The President shall appoint the faculty members of the Student-Faculty Hearing Board during the spring semester from a list of nominees supplied by the faculty members of the University Committee on Academic Governance (UCAG). The number of nominees shall be twice the number of positions to be filled.

6.1.3. The term of office for faculty members of the Student-Faculty Hearing Board shall be three years, with the opportunity for reappointment for one additional three-year term. Provisions shall be made to stagger appointments to assure continuity. Terms of office shall begin with the summer semester following appointment.

6.1.4. No faculty member of the University Council or of any committee whose members are appointed or nominated by the University Council shall be eligible to serve concurrently on the Student-Faculty Hearing Board.

6.2. UNIVERSITY GRADUATE JUDICIARY

6.2.1. The composition and jurisdiction of this body are set forth in Graduate Student Rights and Responsibilities.

6.3. UNIVERSITY ACADEMIC INTEGRITY HEARING BOARD

6.3.1. The composition and jurisdiction of this body are set forth in the SRR.

6.3.2. The President shall appoint the faculty members to the pool for the University Academic Grievance Hearing Board, the University Academic Integrity Hearing Board, and University Academic Appeals Board during the spring semester from a list of nominees supplied by the faculty members of UCAG. The number of nominees shall be twice the number of positions to be filled.

6.3.3. The term of office for faculty members in the pool shall be one or two years, with reappointment never to extend a person’s service beyond four consecutive years. Provisions shall be made to stagger appointments to assure continuity. Terms of office shall begin with the summer semester following appointment. The service of the current pool members extends until replacements are nominated.

6.3.4. No faculty members of the University Council or of any committee whose members are appointed or nominated by the University Council or the Faculty Senate shall be eligible to serve concurrently on any of the three boards.

6.4. UNIVERSITY STUDENT APPEALS BOARD

6.4.1. The composition and jurisdiction of this body are set forth in the SRR.

6.4.2. The President shall appoint the faculty members of the University Student Appeals Board during the spring semester from a list of nominees supplied by the faculty members of UCAG. The number of nominees shall be twice the number of positions to be filled.
6.4.3. The term of office for faculty members of the University Student Appeals Board shall be three years, with the opportunity for one additional three-year term. Provisions shall be made to stagger appointments to assure continuity. Terms of office shall begin with the summer semester following appointment.

6.4.4. No faculty members of the University Council or of any committee appointed or nominated by the University Council or the Faculty Senate shall be eligible to serve concurrently on the University Student Appeals Board.
SECTION 7. ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT OF ACADEMIC GOVERNANCE

7.1 The administrator of an academic unit shall render necessary support, clerical and otherwise, to academic governance at the unit level. At the University level, this is the responsibility of the Provost and the Vice President for Student Affairs and Services.

7.2 Academic administrators shall recognize a faculty member’s participation in academic governance as an important and integral part of the individual’s workload. Teaching schedules or other work assignments should be made consistent with regular committee or council meetings insofar as that is reasonably possible.
SECTION 8. INTERPRETATION AND AMENDMENT

8.1 The academic governance bodies established by these Bylaws shall follow the current edition of Robert’s Rules of Order Newly Revised unless otherwise specified in these Bylaws.

8.2 Except for the Board of Trustees, the University Council shall be the final authority with regard to the interpretation of these Bylaws.

8.3 Amendments

8.3.1 Proposed amendments shall be submitted to the University Committee on Academic Governance. After review by UCAG, proposed amendments may be submitted to the University Council for action under 3.2.5.1 by UCAG, or by any member of the University Council.

8.3.2 If the University Council approves the proposed amendment, the Steering Committee shall forward it to the President.

8.3.2.1. If the President concurs with the proposed amendment, the President shall notify The Steering Committee and place the proposed amendment on the agenda for action by the Board of Trustees within 90 days (excluding the time between the end of spring semester and the start of fall semester).

8.3.2.2. If the President does not concur with the proposed amendment, the President shall return it to The Steering Committee with the President’s written objections and recommendations within thirty (30) days (subject to the exclusion noted in 8.3.2.1). The Steering Committee shall submit the proposed amendment and the President’s comments to the University Council for reconsideration.

8.3.2.2.1. If the University Council declines reconsideration, or if reconsideration results in no change to the proposed amendment, The Steering Committee shall return the proposed amendment to the President, who shall place it on the agenda for action by the Board of Trustees within sixty (60) days (subject to the exclusion noted in 8.3.2.10).

8.3.2.2.2 In the event that reconsideration leads to modification of the proposed amendment by the University Council, The Steering Committee shall forward the modified proposed amendment to the President, as set forth in 8.3.2.10.
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Bylaws of the MSU Board of Trustees

The Board adopts bylaws to achieve the state objectives of Michigan State University, and to insure that the conduct of its own affairs will be in accord with the highest standards of educational administration.
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PREAMBLE

Michigan State University is the land-grant university for the State of Michigan, designated in 1863 by the Michigan Legislature to be the beneficiary of the endowment provided by the Morrill Act and supplemented by subsequent acts of the Congress of the United States.

As a land-grant university, it shares with its sister universities the legal responsibility to provide a liberal and practical education for the agricultural and industrial classes and all others, to prepare them for the various pursuits and professions of life.

This historic responsibility the Board of Trustees accepts, and to this obligation the Trustees pledge themselves, separately and collectively, and the material and human resources over which they have been given direction.

The Trustees interpret this mission as being one requiring the University to offer undergraduate and graduate education of the highest possible quality in all appropriate fields; to cherish the knowledge that is our legacy from the past; to preserve the best of our cultural, social, and political heritage; to encourage meaningful research and experimentation, both to develop new knowledge and to subject our society to a full and objective study so that it may be made more nearly perfect; to be ever responsive to the increasing needs of a dynamic and complex society, by developing and carrying on programs of public service; and to diffuse through all available media the knowledge and information that will contribute to the well-being and development of the people of our state, our nation, and the
The present Constitution of the State of Michigan, like every other one before it, declares it to be public policy to encourage forever schools and the means of education. The Legislature, having the power of appropriation, shares the primary responsibility for implementing this policy.

The Constitution confers upon the Board of Trustees the freedom, power, and responsibility to develop a free and distinguished university and to promote the welfare of mankind through teaching, research, and public service.

The Board of Trustees, elected by the voters of the State and responsible to all of the people of Michigan, exercises the final authority in the government of the University, within the limits fixed by the State Constitution. In exercising its responsibility, the Board delegates to the President of the University, and through the President to the faculty, appropriate authority and jurisdiction over matters for which they are held accountable by the Board. These matters include educational policy and the development of a strong and efficient organization with which to accomplish the objectives of the University.

In making these delegations the Board declares its unequivocal support of the established rights and privileges of the academic profession and its intent to defend them steadfastly. These rights and privileges include academic freedom and tenure, compensation and other economic benefits as liberal as the resources of the University will allow, a viable faculty organization, and responsible faculty participation in the development of academic programs and policies.

With respect to non-academic employees, the Board declares that because they carry a correlative responsibility and because their roles are indispensable to the effective operation of the University, they are entitled to compensation and conditions of employment commensurate with their responsibilities.

With respect to students, the Board declares it to be its intention to provide the opportunity for each to realize the highest potential, to formulate and enforce reasonable rules governing student conduct, and to give due consideration to the opinions of students on matters related directly to their interest.

With respect to alumni, the Board invokes their loyal support of the University and invites their advice and counsel, reminding them that the esteem of the University is constantly measured by their performance as educated men and women.

With respect to the people of Michigan, the Board willingly acknowledges that this University is in the final analysis their University. As a public institution, Michigan State University is obligated to serve the best interest of the people who support it as can be best determined. The Board invites the people of Michigan to support with it the time-tested principle that in the University's unceasing quest for truth and enlightenment it must encourage the timely discussion in open forum of a wide variety of issues, some of which are bound to be controversial because they are unsettled. This principle assumes that the views expressed in such discussion are subject to critical evaluation, and that any restraints on the freedom of expression at the university must be limited to measures to protect such free inquiry and to insure that they are consistent with the preservation of an organized society in which peaceful, democratic means for changes in the social structure are readily available.

Finally, the Board of Trustees holds as its special trust the historical mission of a university to create an intellectual climate that will challenge and encourage the vigorous development and courageous expression of the human mind and spirit. Specifically, the Trustees recognize that it is a primary responsibility to assure the University of the financial and other resources necessary to the successful performance of its mission. They believe that the best method of assuring that support is to interpret the University faithfully and continually to their fellow citizens, to the legislature and
elected state officials, and to the federal government, and thus persuade them of the essential importance of the University's mission. To those tasks of interpretation and persuasion they are committed by their election to office, and to those tasks they pledge themselves without reservation. In order to make effective the principle here declared, to achieve the state objectives of Michigan State University, and to insure that the conduct of its own affairs will be in accord with the highest standards of educational administration, the Board of Trustees adopts these Bylaws:

ARTICLE 1 - The Corporation

The Trustees of Michigan State University and their successors in office are created by the people of Michigan through the Constitution as a body corporate known as the Board of Trustees of Michigan State University, with the power of general supervision over the institution and the control and direction of all expenditures from the institution's funds. The Board shall consist of eight members elected for eight-year terms as provided by law. Members shall hold office until their successors have been elected as provided by law. The governor shall fill Board vacancies by appointment.

Members of the Board shall serve without compensation, but shall receive the actual and necessary expenses incurred by them in the performance of the duties of their office.

ARTICLE 2 - Meetings of the Board

The Board shall meet monthly, except as otherwise provided by the Board. Meetings will ordinarily be held at Michigan State University in East Lansing, but may be held at such other times and places in Michigan as the Board may determine.

A majority of members shall constitute a quorum to do business, but a smaller number may meet and adjourn to some other time or until a quorum is obtained.

In exceptional circumstances, and rarely, the Board may act on items not announced in the published agenda. At all meetings it shall be valid to act on any urgent subject within the power of the corporation, unless the call of the meeting is limited.

The business at each meeting shall be conducted under general parliamentary rules set forth in Robert's Rules of Order as modified by the Board.

Meetings of the Board shall be open to the public as provided by law.

ARTICLE 3 - Voting Procedures

Votes on all matters coming before the Board or any of its committees shall be taken and recorded in the manner prescribed by the Board.

ARTICLE 4 - Officers and Organization of the Board

Chairperson. At the first regularly scheduled meeting of the Board in January immediately following each biennial fall election for Trustee, the members of the Board shall elect a chairperson of the Board. The chairperson's term in office shall be two years and shall expire at the first regularly scheduled meeting in January immediately following the next biennial fall election for Trustee. In the event of a vacancy in the office of chairperson during the two-year term, at its next meeting after the vacancy occurs the Board shall elect a new chairperson to serve for the remainder of the term. The chairperson shall perform such duties as may be prescribed by law or by the Board.

Vice Chairperson. Immediately after the election of the Board's chairperson, the members of the
Board shall elect a vice chairperson of the Board. The vice chairperson shall serve until the election of the next chairperson of the Board. In the event of a vacancy in the office of vice chairperson, at its next meeting after the vacancy occurs the Board shall elect a new vice chairperson to serve until the election of the next chairperson of the Board. Only Trustees who are not members of the chairperson's political party shall be eligible for election as vice chairperson, unless seven or more Trustees are members of the same political party, in which case all Trustees, other than the chairperson, shall be eligible for election as vice chairperson. The vice chairperson shall perform such duties as may be prescribed by law or by the Board.

The vice chairperson shall also assume the duties of the chairperson during the latter's absence and, in the event of a vacancy in the office of chairperson, until the election of a new chairperson.

President. The Board shall, as often as necessary, elect a president of the University who shall serve at the pleasure of the Board, bearing in mind that the selection of a president should follow upon a cooperative search by the Board of Trustees and the faculty, taking into consideration the opinions of others who are appropriately interested. The President should be equally qualified to serve both as the executive officer of the Board and as the chief academic officer of the University and the faculty. The President's dual role requires an ability to interpret to trustees and faculty the educational views and concepts of institutional government held by each. The President should have the confidence of the trustees and the faculty.

The President shall be, ex officio, a member of the Board without the right to vote, and be its sole administrative officer. The President shall preside at meetings of the Board, shall have the authority and shall perform the duties usually attached to the office as presiding officer. In order to familiarize the Trustees with the role of academic governance in the University, the President will arrange annually an orientation of the Trustees with The Steering Committee.

The President, as the principal executive officer of the University, shall exercise such powers as are inherent in the position in promoting, supporting, or protecting the interests of the University and in managing and directing all of its affairs; may issue directives and executive orders not in contravention of existing Board policies; shall be responsible for all business policies as heretofore enacted or modified or hereafter established subject to the general policies established by the Board; shall instruct the proper administrative officers to prepare an annual budget which upon approval, shall be recommended to the Board; shall be responsible for the preparation of the annual reports of the Board; shall exercise such other powers, duties, and responsibilities as are delegated or required by the Board of Trustees.

In case of a vacancy in the office of the President, under conditions other than those specified in Article 14, the Board at the time of the announcement that the President is leaving shall elect immediately an Acting President for the interim period who shall exercise the functions of the President as stated in these Bylaws while serving as Acting President.

Provost of the University. The Provost of the University shall be appointed by the Board upon recommendation of the President, shall serve at the pleasure of the Board, and shall give bond satisfactory to said Board to secure the faithful performance of the duties of the office. Subject to the President and the Board, the Provost:

Shall be the principal academic officer of the University and administer the various colleges, special units and academic support facilities.

Shall be responsible for assembling and administering the academic budget.

Shall be responsible for faculty personnel administration including procedures for faculty appointments
and terminations, salaries and promotions, working conditions, and tenure. In carrying out this responsibility, the Provost shall share with, be advised by, or consult with, University governance bodies as specified in the Bylaws for Academic Governance, bearing in mind that curriculum matters are delegated by the Board, through the President, to the faculty.

Shall be responsible, with advice from the faculty, for development of new academic programs and for keeping existing programs updated and in conformity with University educational policies. In carrying out this responsibility, the Provost shall share with, be advised by, or consult with, University governance bodies as specified in the Bylaws for Academic Governance, bearing in mind that curriculum matters are delegated by the Board, through the President, to the faculty.

Shall be responsible for insuring that administrative procedures preserve academic freedom and insure academic responsibility.

Shall be responsible for supervising procedures and policies related to the admission of students, and liaison with high schools and community colleges.

Shall be responsible for supervising the registration process and for the orientation of new students.

Shall be responsible for administering academic facilities and support units such as Libraries, Computer Laboratory, Instructional Development and Telecommunication Services, and the Museum.

Shall be responsible for liaison with State Department of Education.

**Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs** [Vice President for Governmental Affairs]. The Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs shall be appointed by the Board upon the recommendation of the President, shall serve at the pleasure of the Board, and shall give bond satisfactory to said Board to secure the faithful performance of the duties of the office. Subject to the President and the Board, the Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs:

Shall be the principal liaison officer and official contact between the University or any of its component parts and the State Legislature for all presentations made to the Legislature, or to any individual legislator on behalf of any projects of the University. All presentations on behalf of such projects shall be cleared with the Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs prior to their presentation.

Shall be responsible for the administration of personnel and employee relations policies and procedures related to the clerical, technical, administrative, and professional personnel who are not Board appointed. For these personnel the Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs shall administer such rules, regulations, and procedures pertaining to the classification, evaluation, employment, absences, leaves, vacations, promotions, and terminations of employment which may be established from time to time by the Board of Trustees.

Shall be representative of the University in its relations with labor unions organized among its nonacademic employees.

Shall perform such other duties as may be required by the President and the Board of Trustees.

**The Vice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer.** The Vice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer shall be appointed by the Board upon the recommendation of the President of the University. Subject to the President and the Board of Trustees, the Vice President and Treasurer:

Shall be responsible for the collection and custody of, and accounting for all moneys due the University.
Shall pay all obligations of the University in accordance with budgets, contracts, grants, and policies established by the Board of Trustees.

Shall exercise general control over the regular accounting service through the controller who may refuse expenditures not authorized by such budget rules as the Board may adopt, as well as expenditures that would incur an overdraft in any fund or item in the annual budget or in special projects.

Shall have supervision over and custody of all deeds, contracts, agreements, trust indentures, etc., of which the University is a part.

Shall be a University officer authorized to sign formal applications for grants of funds for research, extension, or other University purposes and directed by the Board.

Shall have general administrative supervision over the Physical Plant Division, Housing and Food Services, and General University Services departments.

Shall continuously monitor and review the actions of the investment manager and the status of the University's investment portfolio.

Shall prepare an annual financial report at the close of each fiscal year and such other interim reports as the President and the Board of Trustees may request.

May act to execute contracts on behalf of the Board of Trustees as authorized by the Trustees.

Shall give bond satisfactory to the Board for the faithful performance of the duties of the office. Shall perform such other duties as may be required by the President and the Board of Trustees.

**Secretary of the Board.** The Secretary of the Board shall be appointed by the Board upon recommendation of the President, shall serve at the pleasure of the Board, and shall give bond satisfactory to said Board to secure the faithful performance of the duties of the office. Subject to the President and the Board, the Secretary:

Shall keep a record of all the transactions of the Board which shall be open to public inspection; shall have custody of such books, papers, documents, records, and other property deposited in the Secretary's office, and shall be the custodian of the corporate seal and shall cause its imprint to be placed wherever appropriate.

**General Counsel.** The General Counsel and Associate General Counsel shall be appointed upon the recommendation of the President and approval of the Board. The General Counsel shall attend meetings of the Board and render such professional services as are required by it and the officers of the University. The General Counsel shall have authority to execute all legal documents including those required for purposes of litigation and/or court proceedings.

**Ineligibility.** No member of the Board shall be eligible to appointment as secretary or treasurer or to any paid employment in the University.

**ARTICLE 5 - Committees**

The Board may appoint committees and prescribe their duties and functions. The President or a presidential designee shall be a member of all such committees. Each committee shall include at least one member of The Steering Committee or faculty member designated by The Steering Committee.
whose responsibilities and expertise closely resemble the committee’s charge. All committees shall keep a record of their proceedings and shall report to the Board as required. The delegation of any authority of the Board to any committee shall not operate to relieve the Board or any member thereof of any responsibility imposed by law or the State Constitution.

All resolutions and all committee reports offered which involve matters for record in the minutes shall be made in writing.

ARTICLE 6 - University Organization

For purposes of administration, basic educational and administrative units may be established within the University upon recommendation of the President and the approval by the Board. The alteration or abolition of such units shall be approved in a like manner. The basic organization of the educational units shall include departments, schools, institutes, centers, colleges, and the graduate school. The President is authorized to determine the organizational pattern of the several educational and administrative units subject to a report to the Board.

ARTICLE 7 - Relation of Board and the University Faculty, Staff, and Employees

Regular Faculty Appointments. Appointments to the regular faculty, the salaries, tenure, leaves of absence, and changes of status therefore, shall be acted upon by the Board upon the recommendation of the President of the University.

Communications. All written communications to the Board from the officers and faculty of the University and the officers of any affiliated organizations of the Board of Trustees shall be transmitted with a copy to through the President of the University. This provision does not preclude a right of approach with members of the Board.

All communications from the Board or any of its committees addressed to any officer, professor or instructor, or other employee of the University shall be transmitted through the office of the President of the University. This provision does not preclude individual members of the Board from contacting any person within the University community.

Employee Relations. The Board of Trustees is committed to the objectives of diversity and pluralism and to the principles of equal opportunity, non-discrimination and affirmative action as reflected in various federal and state laws, orders and regulations, as well as in various University policies and regulations and will treat its employees in a non-discriminatory manner in accordance with the law and its own internal policies and regulations. The Board will permit deduction of union dues from wages upon written request submitted by employees of the University.

It is the policy of the Board that the President shall develop a program structured along the fundamentals of basic due process, for the hearing and resolving of important, significant, and serious employee complaints.

Outside Employment. A full-time member of the faculty or staff may not be employed for remuneration by agencies other than the University except under the established rules of the Board and with the approval of the appropriate University authorities. In all cases there shall be no conflict of interest between the activity of the faculty or staff member and the University function. Any proceeds realized from such activity may be proportioned between the University and the faculty member where institutional time and/or facilities are involved.

Rights and Responsibilities of the Faculty. The Board of Trustees, the administration, and the
faculty carry out their respective responsibilities not as isolated entities, but as major and primary constituents of a total University organization and structure which remain mutually interdependent and must be supportive of each others’ purposes, functions, and obligations. It is within this context that the rights and responsibilities of the faculty are to be construed.

The Constitutional authority lodged in the Board of Trustees for the administration of the University makes possible the creation of an organizational autonomy to protect faculty in the exercise of the rights of academic freedom, the most treasured values of the academic community. Upon these rights rest the unfettered pursuit and transmission of truth by the faculty who serve as the guardians, interpreters, and transmitters of a great intellectual heritage; the further enrichment of this heritage constitutes both obligation and aspiration of the academic profession.

Responsibilities adhere to rights of academic freedom with which they are closely interwoven and at times indistinguishable. They exist for faculty as members of a department or other academic unit, as members of a college, as members of the University, and as members of professional societies with which the respective disciplines are associated. Exercise of rights of academic freedom entails the restraints of intellectual integrity and of responsible action to safeguard its exercise against abuse, disrespect, or destruction. It entails tolerance for freedom of expression by others and full deliberation of issues and problems; it entails forthrightness in recognizing and in indicating when one speaks professionally as a scholar in a field of special competence and when one speaks as a private citizen; it entails mindfulness that membership in the academic community ineluctably involves identification and association with the University and that the University is adjudged by the actions, performance, good taste, and expressions of its faculty.

Rights and responsibilities of faculty include the transmission and creation of knowledge, the cultivation in the student of the highest reaches of the mind and a capacity for abstract reasoning, the cultivation of a vigorous and continuing pursuit of an understanding of the universe and the encouragement of introspection of man’s relation to it. To awaken in students penetrating questions on a fully examined life, on the morality inherent in the choice of values, and on finding a meaningful and responsible relation to society calls for the finest talents of the faculty as teachers, scholars, and counselors. Talents and dedication of faculty are also asked for understanding and appreciation of the mores and standards by which a society seeks to sustain itself and for exploration of how, through personal example and intellectual effort, both students and faculty may assist mankind to fulfill its noblest aspirations and highest potential.

Innovation, planning, and the rendering of many recommendations and decisions required for the effective functioning of departments, colleges, and the University as a whole represent further necessary faculty contributions. The institution looks to the faculty for recommendations on faculty recruitment, promotions, and tenure; on the development of new academic programs and modification or discontinuance of old; on academic standards for admission to the several teaching and research programs; and on the articulation of needs and requirements for space, equipment, and personnel.

Contributions assumed traditionally by faculty also include the cultivation of an exciting intellectual life; the preparation of professional competencies; evaluation of a student's academic performance; active participation in professional meetings; the determination of membership in the respective academic profession; and the development of teaching and research programs in many fields of knowledge of concern to the University, including the pursuit of advances in the arts and letters, the physical and biological sciences, government, and social and economic behavior.

The primacy of the faculty's role, functions, and responsibilities and its essentiality are further reflected in participation in the governing entities established for the several levels of faculty organization, in serving as needed on department, college, and University committees, and in rendering indispensable services associated with the University's many commitments and requirements within the academic community, within the state, the nation, and the world. The
core of the University's purpose, its intellectual content and integrity, is expressed by the faculty.

In concert with the administration and accountable through the President to the Board of Trustees, the faculty are responsible for the continuous development of a University dedicated to the highest academic goals and responsive to the needs of society.

Nothing in these Bylaws, or regulations issued pursuant thereto, shall prevent the Board of Trustees taking prompt action on urgent financial and personnel matters necessary to the best interests of the University. In the event that Board action in such urgent and rare circumstances is contemplated, however, the Secretary of the Board will promptly notify the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of The Steering Committee, so that they are afforded an opportunity to participate in the Board’s deliberations.

ARTICLE 8 - The Board and Student Relations

The Board encourages and supports the faculty in the development of educational and other programs within available resources, designed to secure the realization of the highest potential of every student.

Upon the recommendation of the President, and with respect for the delegated authority of the faculty in matters of student admission, the Board may determine and establish the qualifications of students for admission at any level, or readmission to the University, and fix the amount of fees to be charged for attendance at the University.

It shall be the policy of the Board to provide equal educational opportunity to all qualified students from the State of Michigan and, insofar as facilities, faculty, and accommodations permit, a reasonable number from other states and other countries. The Board of Trustees is committed to the objectives of diversity and pluralism and to the principles of equal opportunity, non-discrimination, and affirmative action as reflected in various federal and state laws, orders and regulations, as well as in various University policies and regulations and will treat students and student organizations in a non-discriminatory manner in accordance with the law and its own internal policies and regulations.

The Board may make or may delegate through the President to the faculty the authority to make reasonable rules and regulations for the purpose of maintaining the health, safety, good order, harmony, and discipline of students, which are not purely arbitrary or in violation of any common rights, and may require students to agree to abide by them as the condition of admission to and retention in the University. Students who fail to comply with these regulations may be disciplined in such a manner as may be deemed appropriate.

It is the policy of the Board that the President shall develop a program, structured along the fundamentals of basic due process, for the hearing and resolving of important, significant, and serious student complaints.

ARTICLE 9 - Courses of Instruction and Degrees

The Board delegates to the President and through the President to the faculty authority to establish and regulate courses of instruction and programs of research and service, namely, the powers that traditionally accrue to the faculty in view of its expertise: curriculum, subject matter and methods of instruction, research, faculty status (appointments, reappointments, decisions not to reappoint, promotions, the granting of tenure, and dismissal), and those aspects of student life that relate to the educational process. While subject to a report and accountability to the Board for information, discussion, and appropriate action, in areas of faculty primacy, the Board and Presidents should concur with faculty judgment except in rare instances and for compelling reasons that should be stated in detail.
Students who complete prescribed courses of study may be granted such baccalaureate and graduate and professional degrees and diplomas as are appropriate.

Honorary degrees may be awarded in recognition of distinguished accomplishment and service within the scope of the arts and letters, sciences and the professions, and public service recognized and promoted by the University. No honorary degree may be granted except upon the recommendation of the President and faculty, or an agency representing it. Generally such honorary degrees may not be granted in absentia. No person shall be recommended for an honorary degree while an officer, faculty member, or other employee of the University.

**ARTICLE 10 - Financial Responsibility**

The Board of Trustees, being constitutionally vested with the general supervision of Michigan State University and the control and direction of all its funds, recognizes a vital and crucial institutional responsibility to those with whom it has financial transactions. Accordingly, it is the policy of the Board to maintain adequate income and reserves to assure payment of principal and interest on the due date of its obligations. To the end that the financial integrity of the University shall always remain inviolate, the Board of Trustees pledges that it will maintain constant vigil over its funds through regular review and periodic reports, and such adjustments in income and reserves as shall guarantee the probity of its obligations. Funds earmarked for payment of bonded self-liquidating projects and term loan agreements are duly pledged for the specific pledge of the indenture. No intrusion upon this commitment, from any source or for any reason, will be tolerated.

The Board of Trustees is concerned that maximum value be obtained for funds expended to procure goods and services. Whenever possible, competitive quotations will be secured from two or more suppliers and purchase orders will be awarded on the basis of lowest cost consistent with acceptable quality.

**ARTICLE 11 - Property and Facilities**

**Real and Other Property.** The acquisition of all real estate and other property for University purposes and the sale, disposition, or transfer thereof shall first be approved by the Board of Trustees. The Board is authorized to prescribe rules governing the use of all University property by the faculty, staff, employees, students, and the general public and the conduct of all entering upon said property, or in attendance at the University.

**Securities.** The investment manager(s), appointed by the Board of Trustees, shall be authorized to invest, buy and sell stocks, bonds, evidences of indebtedness, other securities and investment assets in accordance with policies and objectives established by the Board of Trustees. All such transactions shall be reported at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Trustee Investment Committee.

**Contracts.** The President and officers designated by the President are authorized to execute contracts on behalf of the Board of Trustees.

**Naming New Buildings.** University buildings shall be named by the Board in accordance with its adopted policies.

**ARTICLE 12 - Extension Centers**

**Extension Centers.** Extension Centers of Michigan State University may be established at locations to be specifically designated where study for credit can be carried on under conditions which provide
appropriate instructional resources. Centers may not be established unless adequate classrooms and/or laboratory space are provided by local enterprise; adequate library facilities are available locally in all fields of advanced study in which courses are offered at that location; adequate concentration of population exists from which classes of satisfactory size may be drawn; competent instructors are available, and in the event that classes cannot be taught by members of the regular Michigan State University faculty, adequate opportunity exists for the supervision of their work by Michigan State faculty; and adequate communication exists between the Center and Michigan State University. All credit courses conducted off campus will be given the same value as credit courses on campus.

ARTICLE 13 - Collective Authority and Action

The authority of the Trustees is conferred upon them as a Board, and they can bind the corporation and the University only by acting together as a Board.

No individual member shall commit the Board to any policy, declaration, or action without prior approval of the Board.

ARTICLE 14 - University Operation in Special Emergencies

In the event of an emergency resulting from disaster occurring in this state caused by an enemy attack upon the United States, by which the incumbents of the Board and administrative offices of Michigan State University may become unavailable for exercising the powers and discharging the duties of such offices, the Board of Trustees declares that it shall be the policy of the University to operate in accordance with the provisions of the Emergency Interim Executive Succession Act of the State of Michigan.

In the event of the death or incompetency of the President, the Chairperson of the Board of Trustees shall convene the available members to take appropriate action to assure continuity in the affairs of the University until the Board will make arrangements for an Acting President. If such action is contemplated, the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson of The Steering Committee (or other members, should they be unavailable) shall be brought into the Board's deliberations to offer their counsel.

In order to anticipate responsibly the consequences of any disaster befalling the President of Michigan State University, rendering the President incapable of exercising the duties and functions of the office, it shall be the continuing responsibility of the Board of Trustees of said University to provide for the designation of an Acting President who shall carry out the duties and responsibilities of the Office of the President until such time as the Board shall appoint a permanent president under these Bylaws.

ARTICLE 15 - Corporate and University Seals

The corporate seal of the Board of Trustees shall contain in its center a monogram, MSU, being the initials of Michigan State University, and around the outer circle the words Board of Trustees Michigan State University.

The seal of the University shall contain in its center an illustration depicting "Old College Hall" and in the outer circle the inscription, Michigan State University.

ARTICLE 16 - Emeritus Title

The additional title of Emeritus may be added to that of any member of the faculty or staff upon retirement. The title of Trustee Emeritus shall be bestowed upon all persons upon the close of service of such persons as members of the Board of Trustees of Michigan State University.
ARTICLE 17 - Amendments
These Bylaws may be amended or repealed at any meeting of the Board by an affirmative vote of a majority of the Board, provided that copies of such amendments or notices of repeal are submitted in writing to each member in advance of such meeting.

ARTICLE 18 - Repeal
All Bylaws, acts or resolutions, or any parts thereof, which are inconsistent with these Bylaws are hereby repealed.
Ad Hoc Committee on Bylaws Reform
Executive Summary of Recommendations

I. Proposed Amendments to the Bylaws for Academic Governance with Attached Language

Preamble – Clarifies the faculty’s role in shared governance
1.3.1. - 1.3.4. – Clarifies and expands modes of participation
2.1.5.2. – Makes the Administration’s information-seeking obligations clearer
2.2.4. – Eliminates redundancy regarding administrators’ designees
3.2.4.7. – Sets expectations for the qualifications and selection process of the President
3.2.4.10 – Fixed a hyperlink
3.3.2.1.1. – Bars those with administrative appointments of more than 25% from chairing or vice chairing The Steering Committee, Faculty Senate, or Academic Congress and encourages all with administrative appointments to consider the appropriateness of their service in academic governance and to avoid conflicts of interest
3.3.3. - 3.3.3.6. – Specifies Faculty Senate procedures and creates way to call special meetings
3.3.4.9. – Clarifies Bylaws amendment process for Faculty Senate
3.3.5.1. – Corrects typo
3.3.6.2. & 3.3.6.3. – Clarifies the Provost’s shared responsibility with UCUE and UCGS
3.3.7. – Deletion to eliminate redundancy
3.4.1.3. – Adds the President to The Steering Committee, consistent with current practice
3.4.2.1. – Adds the Trustees to the list of those with whom faculty members of Steering Committee meet
3.4.2.11. – Chair and Vice Chair of Steering will represent faculty in emergency Board meetings
3.4.2.12. – Faculty on The Steering Committee will participate in Trustee orientation
4.2.1.3. & 4.2.1.5. – Changes term limits from three two-year terms to two three-year terms
4.2.2.2. – Bars those with administrative appointments of more than 25% from chairing or vice chairing Standing Committees and incorporates an equivalent of 3.3.2.1.1. for such committees
4.2.2.3. – Stylistic edit for consistency regarding dates
4.2.3.3. – Expands those who can request information from University-level Standing Committees
4.3.1.3. – Chair of UCAG is an ex officio member of Board’s academic policy subcommittee
4.4.5. - 4.4.7. – Clarifies UCUE’s advisory role and provides mechanism for getting Faculty Senate’s advice when the Provost sides with the Dean of Undergraduate Studies over UCUE
4.7.8. – Requires UCFT to create a subcommittee to advise the Provost on faculty status
4.8.5. & 4.8.5.1. – An analogue to 4.4.5. - 4.4.7. for UCGS
5.4 - 5.4.8. – Creates a Committee on Administrator Review responsible for reviewing administrators above the level of dean and ensuring dean reviews are conducted per the Bylaws
5.5. – 5.5.2. – Renumbered to account for the Committee on Administrator Review
8.2 – Added a period
8.3.2.2. - 8.3.2.2.2. – Fixed hyperlinks
II. Proposed Amendments to the *Bylaws for Academic Governance* without Accompanying Edits

1. Develop ways of incentivizing participation in academic governance
2. Clarify the role of *ex officio* members
3. Consider the equity of undergraduate and graduate representation on UCUE and UCGS
4. Stylistic and capitalization considerations
5. Expand the faculty’s role in admissions
6. Specify the Chairperson of UCAG’s ability to make non-substantive Bylaws edits
7. Conduct a holistic review of the Athletic Council, especially as it relates to the President’s selection powers
8. Update internal references to the *Bylaws*
9. Develop a mechanism for addressing alleged violations of the *Bylaws*

III. Proposed Amendments to the *Bylaws of the Board of Trustees* with Accompanying Edits

*Article 2* – Discourages the Board from acting on items not on the agenda
*Article 4: President* – Sets expectations for the qualifications and selection of the President
*Article 4: President* – Requires the President to create an annual orientation for Trustees involving Steering
*Article 4: Provost* – Expands the Provost’s consulting obligation to include academic governance
*Article 5* – Requires each Board committee to include a member of Steering or a designee
*Article 7* – Allows the Board to write to faculty without needing to go through the President
*Article 7: Rights and Responsibilities of the Faculty* – Removes “good taste”
*Article 7: Rights and Responsibilities of the Faculty* – Adds an Oxford comma
*Article 8* – Requires the Board to alert the Chair and Vice Chair of Steering when urgent action must be taken
*Article 9* – Adds reference to the faculty’s role in admissions
*Article 14* – Clarifies the faculty’s purview

IV. Proposed Amendments to the *Bylaws of the Board of Trustees* without Accompanying Edits

1. *Article 4: President* – Amend the process for selecting an Acting President to reflect practice
2. *Article 4: Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs* – Change name to reflect practice
3. Add a dedicated spot to the Board’s agenda for faculty and student representatives’ comments (note that this does not necessarily require a change in the *Bylaws*)
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Introduction from UCAG

In its report, the Ad Hoc Committee on Bylaws Reform recommended significant changes to the Bylaws for Academic Governance and the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees. In addition to specific revisions to the two sets of bylaws themselves, the report included brief rationales for each recommendation. However, the Ad Hoc Committee on Bylaws Reform identified several areas for improvement for which they did not have time to develop specific amendments and included helpful thoughts on other ways that shared governance could be strengthened at Michigan State University.

In the interest of transparency, the University Committee on Academic Governance voted to share those recommendations for which language was not developed. UCAG intends to more fully consider those recommendations throughout the remainder of the academic year. However, it is important to note that these recommendations stand apart from the specific proposals passed by UCAG.
I. Further Issues with the *Bylaws for Academic Governance for UCAG’s Consideration*

The ad hoc committee was not able to develop language for every issue identified in the *Bylaws* as relevant to the goal of shared governance. The following section of the report includes issues that also deserve attention.

**Develop Ways of Incentivizing Participation in Academic Governance**

In 2005, the Ad Hoc Committee on Faculty Voice noted the following in its report:

“Currently, the faculty at Michigan State are culturally predisposed to avoid university level academic governance and to respond negatively to administrative proposals for change. The academic governance system, moreover, is poorly organized. It constrains rather than facilitates the exercise of faculty voice.”¹ In many ways, that analysis remains true today.

Throughout the summer, the ad hoc committee has continually come back to the issue of participation in academic governance, noting that the current crisis of shared governance at MSU has no single cause but is instead the consequence of several self-perpetuating phenomena. In the ad hoc committee’s estimation, increased top-down control of governance has had the unintended consequence of discouraging faculty and students from participating in academic governance from frustration and a sense of futility. In turn, the administration has continued to increase the insularity of decision-making processes, and the cycle has continued accordingly.

This problem is exacerbated by an underfunded and understaffed Office of the Secretary for Academic Governance. The Office’s current location in the basement of Owen Hall is nondescript, inconvenient, and not unbecoming to a vitally important institution. The ad hoc

committee recommends that the office be given increased space in a place of widespread recognition, increased funding, and increased attention from the University community.

Further, the ad hoc committee recognizes that many good and hard-working faculty members decline to participate meaningfully in academic governance because they see it as a combination of useless and chore-like activities. Service in academic governance is not rewarded appropriately, and faculty are therefore not incentivized to serve. The ad hoc committee discussed several ideas for rectifying this problem, including monetary compensation tied to attendance, counting standing committee membership as 5% of a faculty member’s workload, and requiring annual reviews to include a faculty member’s service (failure to do so would be a grievable offense); greater weight should be given to those tasked with chair responsibilities. The ad hoc committee encourages a more thorough discussion of this issue.

The Role of Ex-officio Members

According to 1.4.1, and contrary to the understanding of many members of academic governance, ex-officio members of academic governance bodies have both voice and vote unless explicitly proscribed by the Bylaws. The motivation for its adoption is that persons who participate in the deliberations of a body should normally have a vote. As acknowledged when the bylaw was adopted in 2016, it is important for the parliamentarian to educate the University community, particularly because the provisions of the Bylaws are often repeated in college and department bylaws.

Expand the Faculty’s Role in Admissions

There should be a university committee with elected representatives from each of the colleges engaged in undergraduate teaching that would have as its primary responsibility communication with the admissions wing of the University. Admissions is a major influence on the quality of teaching, and there is currently no direct way for faculty to shape the admissions
policy or enrollment management plan in relation to changing demographics, or to ensure that the University meets its public land-grant mission.

**Consider Establishing One or More Committees on Women and Ethnic Minorities**

The ad hoc committee considered adding a University-level committee to address issues relating to women and ethnic minorities. Members noted that such a committee should be distinct from current advisory committees on similar issues insofar as its membership should be chosen by governance bodies rather than administrators.

**Conduct a Review of Athletic Council**

Ad hoc committee members noted concern about potential dysfunction of the Athletic Council. For example, 5.2.1 requires the Athletic Council to have “composition and bylaws subject to approval by the University Council,” although no such bylaws exist. Further, several members of the ad hoc committee believed that faculty should play a stronger role in selecting their representatives and said that the practice of the President’s appointing the Athletic Council’s members from a slate of nominees provided by UCAG is inappropriate.

The ad hoc committee notes that recently, two Faculty Athletic Council Representatives (FAR) have been appointed in violation of the Bylaws, which refers to the FAR in the singular. While the ad hoc committee has no issue with the increased faculty voice, it notes the violation of academic governance rules, and encourages the administration to check the Bylaws before making appointments.

**Enhance the Role of Faculty Senate in Addressing Violations of the Bylaws**

Although UCAG serves some oversight functions, the ad hoc committee does not believe they are enough. The Faculty Senate should take a stronger role in identifying and correcting violations of the Bylaws.
II. Further Issues with the *Bylaws of the Board of Trustees*

This section includes those issues for which the ad hoc committee was not able to develop concrete proposals. We encourage academic governance bodies to examine the issues presented and move forward as they think appropriate.

*Article 4 – President: Amending the Post-Presidential Resignation Process*

When the President resigns, the *Bylaws of the Board of Trustees* dictate that “at the time of the announcement that the President is leaving [the Board] shall elect immediately an Acting President for the interim period who shall exercise the functions of the President as stated in these Bylaws while serving as Acting President.”

In the interest of minimizing confusion in the wake of a President’s resignation, such as what occurred in the immediate aftermath of President Simon’s resignation, the ad hoc committee recommends that the Board update its *Bylaws* to reflect its actual practice. The Board might consider designating a particular officer as Acting President until the Board meets, as well as inserting language about the distinction between an Acting President and Interim President.

*Article 4 – Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs: Amend the Officer’s Title*

The *Bylaws of the Board of Trustees* address a position called “Vice President for Administration and Public Affairs [Vice President for Governmental Affairs]” (brackets in original). This title has been superseded by new titles, so the Board’s Bylaws should be amended.
Add Dedicated Time on Board Agendas for Student and Faculty Representatives’ Remarks

While the Board does publicize the names of its student and faculty liaisons, those liaisons are not given dedicated places on the Board’s agendas. Liaisons often meet with Trustees in less formal settings, and the ad hoc committee approves of that practice because such interactions help create a solid working relationship among constituencies. However, the ad hoc committee believes that by adding a dedicated, regular place on the Board’s agendas for remarks from faculty and student liaisons, the Board could simultaneously take a step toward shared governance and reassure community members they are being heard. This change would not require an amendment to the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees given that it could easily be implemented, but the ad hoc committee encourages the Board to do so.

---

2 See Michigan State University Board of Trustees, Faculty and Student Liaisons to the Board, last updated Sept. 17, 2017, https://trustees.msu.edu/meetings/liaisons.html (last accessed Aug. 19, 2018).
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Background

In September of 2016, a media outlet called “The Indianapolis Star” reported that Lawrence Nassar, a physician employed by Michigan State University and USA Gymnastics, had sexually abused and harassed two ex-gymnasts, including one Olympic medalist. More victims came forward describing similar behavior, and Nassar eventually pled guilty to ten first-degree criminal sexual conduct counts in two counties and three federal child pornography charges, and he was sentenced to sixty years in prison for the federal charges and between forty and one hundred and seventy-five years for his state crimes. In total, more than 265 individuals described incidents of Nassar’s abuse that occurred over more than twenty years.

Michigan State University became the subject of substantial scrutiny after it became known that the University cleared Nassar of wrongdoing following a 2014 Title IX investigation. Further criticism came after William Strampel, the former Dean of MSU’s College of Osteopathic Medicine and Nassar’s former boss, was revealed to have improperly handled reports of Nassar’s abuse and to have allegedly sexually assaulted and harassed four students himself. MSU was shown to have known about accusations against Strampel as early as 2005.


In the wake of the Nassar tragedy, the longtime President of Michigan State University, Lou Anna Simon, resigned on January 24, 2018. On January 31, the Board of Trustees appointed former Michigan Governor John Engler Interim President. At an emergency Faculty Senate meeting on February 13, The Steering Committee Chairperson Laura McCabe described a January 29 meeting between the Board of Trustees and the at-large faculty members of The Steering Committee in which the Board asked for guidance on selecting MSU’s interim leader. McCabe reported that faculty members recommended selecting a woman with substantial experience in academia to lead the University, warned against selecting a political figure, and encouraged the Board to take its time in selecting a candidate.

The next day, and alongside the general public, those members of The Steering Committee learned via media reports that the Board had reportedly chosen Engler for the job. McCabe described faculty members as feeling that their feedback had been ignored. Student leaders reported having a similar meeting with the Board and feeling similarly disappointed and surprised by the announcement. Discontent with the decision was widespread. At the February 13 Faculty Senate meeting, McCabe described the faculty’s grievance as being about “the process.” McCabe continued, “It doesn’t have to do with the selection. It has to do with . . . academic governance. We have a voice in these matters. We sometimes don’t have the vote, but we want to provide input, and . . . the Board didn’t listen to us.” That Faculty Senate meeting

---


culminated in a vote of no confidence in the Board of Trustees that passed with 94% of the Senate’s support.⁹

Following these events, many members of the MSU community identified a need for a more empowered academic governance system and a University-wide commitment to shared governance between administrators, faculty, staff, and students. The Ad Hoc Committee on Bylaws Reform (“the ad hoc committee”) was formed by the University Committee on Academic Governance (UCAG) during the spring of 2018 and given guidance by the Faculty Senate.¹⁰ Its membership was initially approved by UCAG, and the ad hoc committee added and lost members as its work progressed.

The ad hoc committee met thirteen times between May and August 2018 and did extensive work online between meetings. The working group strived for consensus and open participation. This model allowed for maximum flexibility and dialogue as the group carried out its responsibilities.

At an early meeting, the ad hoc committee adopted the following statement expressing its understanding of its charge:

The Ad Hoc Committee on Bylaws Reform will review the Bylaws for Academic Governance and the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees for changes that could codify Michigan State University’s highest principles, empower faculty and student voice, and increase the effectiveness and process of our structure generally. The Committee will draft bylaw proposals to that effect. The Committee will also make a report to the University Committee on Academic Governance outlining those recommendations. In doing so, the Committee will consider how its actions could contribute to the healing of the University community and how they could affect the University’s culture surrounding sexual abuse.

⁹ See Secretary for Academic Governance, Minutes of the Faculty Senate, Feb. 13, 2018, p. 4, https://acadgov.msu.edu/sites/default/files/content/20180213_MinutesofEmergencymtg_App.pdf.

¹⁰ See Secretary for Academic Governance, Minutes of the University Committee on Academic Governance, Mar. 15, 2018, pp. 1-2, https://acadgov.msu.edu/sites/default/files/content/20180315_UCAGMinutes_App.pdf. See also Secretary for Academic Governance, Minutes of the Faculty Senate, Mar. 20, 2018, pp. 3-5, https://acadgov.msu.edu/sites/default/files/content/20180320_Minutes_App.pdf.
As evidenced by the statement, the ad hoc committee’s purpose was not to antagonize the administration or paint it as an enemy, but to look for ways in which the University’s various sets of bylaws could be changed to allow for maximum participation. In short, the ad hoc committee views governing the University as a cooperative effort, and it hopes that (1) the Board of Trustees and the administration will allow faculty, staff, and students to participate meaningfully in the University’s affairs and (2) faculty, staff, and students will take this obligation seriously and put forth the effort necessary to play their important roles in governance.

It should be noted that, as referenced in the above statement, the ad hoc committee’s work was informed by the actions of Nassar and Strampel. While references to the tragedies are scant within the ad hoc committee’s report, discussions about how the Bylaws could be changed to prevent such events were frequent, and great care was taken to avoid negatively contributing to the University’s culture toward sexual misconduct. The experiences of Nassar’s and Strampel’s respective survivors illustrate how much work must still be done independently of the Bylaws.

Further, in developing its recommendations, the ad hoc committee turned both to past sets of MSU bylaws and contemporary examples of fellow institutions. Of particular help was Ohio State University, an institution with many dedicated community members who were eager to help our efforts by answering extensive questions about their governance models. Additionally, many of the principles and some of the language underlying the ad hoc committee’s efforts are derived from the authoritative Statement on Government of Colleges and Universities, jointly formulated by the American Association of University Professors (AAUP), the American Council on Education (ACE) and the Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges (AGB), as well as from the AGB white paper, Shared Governance: Changing with the Times.
Below, the ad hoc committee has compiled summaries of the rationales underlying each change it recommends. The summer did not prove to be long enough for the ad hoc committee to suggest concrete amendments for every issue it would have liked to address. Accordingly, this report includes a section for each document outlining potential amendments that academic governance bodies should consider taking up in the future. Please note that each proposed amendment should be read and considered independently from the others; in all but a few cases (which are noted), failure to approve an amendment should not have an effect on approving others.

I. **Recommended Substantive Amendments to the Bylaws for Academic Governance**

The recommendations in this section are those proposed amendments to the *Bylaws for Academic Governance* for which the ad hoc committee has developed language that could be used in the *Bylaws*. Each proposal will include a summary of the proposed change, the rationale behind it, and, where applicable, additional information the ad hoc committee believes will be helpful to other parties considering the amendments. The order of the proposed amendments is the order of the *Bylaws*. To find the unabridged amendments, refer to Appendix I, a marked-up copy of the *Bylaws for Academic Governance* fully incorporating the proposed changes.

**Preamble: Clarifying the Faculty’s Role in Shared Governance**

As it stands, the Preamble to the *Bylaws for Academic Governance* notes that, “The specific powers delegated to the faculty are detailed in the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees.” In fact, no such details can be found in that document. In order to clarify the faculty’s role, the ad hoc committee recommends replacing the phrase “detailed in the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees” with, “those in which the faculty has authority in proportion to its degree of expert knowledge. Thus the faculty has primary responsibility for curriculum, subject matter and methods of instruction, research, faculty status (appointments, reappointments, decisions not to reappoint, promotions, the granting of tenure, and dismissal), and those aspects of student life
that relate to the educational process,” language adapted from the model *Statement on Government of Colleges and Universities*.

1.3.1–1.3.4.: Modes of Participation

Presently, the *Bylaws* outline four modes of participation by which community members can play a role in shared governance: consultation, advisory, shared responsibility, and delegated authority. The four modes appear intermittently in the *Bylaws*, and most college bylaws cite them verbatim. In the past, the modes undermined shared governance, as they are vague and thus unreliable in implementation. The ad hoc committee proposes several amendments to the modes of participation to rectify that. Additionally, each refers to “a deliberative body of faculty or students.”

Of particular concern is 1.3.1, which explains the consultative process. The current *Bylaws* make clear that these “deliberative bod[ies] of faculty or students” inform administrators of members’ opinions, but such a body “does not vote.” Deliberative bodies are often helpful precisely because of their deliberative and consensus-striving nature. Voting may very well be a key function of a group comprised of experienced but differing individuals. Rather than merely being a group of individuals whom an administrator trusts, a deliberative body is valuable because of the opposing views coming together to find a practical and reasonable middle ground; given that voting is a helpful tool in achieving that end, it seems unwise to exclude the option from the start. Accordingly, the ad hoc committee has amended 1.3.1 to allow for a more democratic process to occur when an administrator consults with a deliberative body. Of course, because of the consultative nature of such groups, any votes they take are not binding on administrators.

As it stands, the advisory process detailed in 1.3.2 often diminishes in practice until indistinguishable from the merely consultative mode. Advisory bodies make recommendations to administrators with decision-making authority, but their conclusions do not bind administrators.
The ad hoc committee proposes amending 1.3.2 to allow advisory bodies to receive a written explanation from administrators who decline to follow their recommendations.

The shared responsibility function outlined in 1.3.3 is referred to several times in the Bylaws. Currently, if a deliberative body that shares responsibility for a given subject with an administrator disagree, the decision goes to a higher-level administrator. The ad hoc committee recommends allowing the parties to receive a written rationale for any decision from the higher-level administrator. This measure would increase transparency and be useful for those who seek to better understand why the University worked the way it did.

Finally, the delegated authority function governed by 1.3.4 features deliberative bodies that are empowered to make decisions in specific areas. However, those decisions can be overturned by administrators. In the interest of strengthening faculty and student participation, the ad hoc committee recommends amending 1.3.4 to make clear that such an overruling should be rare, and further amending the bylaw to allow faculty to appeal amended or reversed decisions when that decision is in a matter delegated to the faculty.

2.1.5.2: Administrators’ Information-Seeking Obligations

The Bylaws currently require academic administrators to “receive the views of faculty and students through the academic governance system.” The ad hoc committee has no objection to the substance of 2.1.5.2 but notes that the bylaw’s phrasing characterizes the top-down approach that governance. Rather than characterizing administrators as passive recipients of information, the ad hoc committee recommends that administrators be obligated to “seek information and advice from faculty and students.” This change is emblematic of what the ad hoc committee hopes will be a new paradigm at MSU: the contributions of faculty and students should not merely be received but sought.
2.2.4. : Eliminating Redundancy Regarding Administrators’ Designees

According to 1.4.2, designees may serve in place of members of an academic governance body. Thus 2.2.4 is redundant and should be deleted.

3.2.4.7. : Setting Expectations for the Qualifications and Selection of the President

As already described, community members have expressed dismay regarding the Board of Trustees’ method for selecting Interim President Engler for the role. While the Board seems to acknowledge its mistake, the ad hoc committee believes that adding expectations for the President’s qualifications and the process by which the President is selected would be beneficial both substantively and culturally. Thus the ad hoc committee recommends that the University Council and the Board of Trustees develop search procedures that comport with those set out in the Statement on Government of Colleges and Universities.11

3.2.4.10: Fixing a Hyperlink

The online version of the Bylaws has several broken hyperlinks that are noted here with their proper referents.

3.3.2.1.1.: Administrative Appointments and Service in Academic Governance

Many on the ad hoc committee expressed apprehension about faculty members who have administrative appointments and serve in high-level roles in academic governance. Concerns chiefly centered on a fear that those with administrative appointments may represent—or appear to represent—the administration more than they represent their respective constituencies. There was agreement that those with considerable administrative appointments should not serve as either the Chairperson or Vice Chairperson of the Faculty Senate, The Steering Committee, and the Academic Congress. After considerable discussion, the ad hoc committee settled on 25% as the threshold at which an administrative appointment could become cause for concern.

Further, the ad hoc committee recommends that 3.3.2.1.1 encourage those with administrative appointments of less than 25% consider the appropriateness of their service on the Steering Committee and Faculty Senate. While those with relatively small administrative appointments ought to be entitled to contribute to academic governance, they should only do so after weighing possible conflicts of interest and the appearance of impropriety.

3.3.3.–3.3.3.6.: Specifying Faculty Senate Procedures and Special Meetings

The regular operating procedures of the University Council are specified in the *Bylaws* (see the items under 3.2.6), but there is no analogue for the Faculty Senate. Three relevant examples of the discrepancy include that (1) the President and the Steering Committee already have authority to call special meetings per 3.2.6.2, (2) the regular schedule of Faculty Senate meetings is not mentioned even though University Council’s is, and (3) the length of notice required for a regular Faculty Senate meeting is missing, despite University Council’s having its notice period set in the *Bylaws*. To correct this oversight, the ad hoc committee recommends that the Faculty Senate’s procedures be placed in the *Bylaws* and has drafted language to that effect.

The most significant addition to this section is an enhanced mechanism for calling special meetings. This spring, there was considerable and widespread frustration and confusion regarding the logistics of the Faculty Senate’s holding a meeting to vote no confidence in the Board of Trustees. Many found the current process to be circular and cumbersome. To address this issue, the ad hoc committee drafted 3.3.3.2.2, which includes two methods for calling a “special meeting” (the nomenclature used in *Robert’s Rules of Order*).

The ad hoc committee’s hope is that 3.3.3.2.2 will empower the Faculty Senate to respond effectively and efficiently in times of crisis. Combined with the proposed amendment to 3.4.2.11, discussed below, academic governance bodies will be in a better position to address urgent issues quickly.
3.3.4.9.: UCAG and Faculty Senate’s Relationship Regarding Bylaws Amendments

Currently, 3.2.4.1. notes that while all amendments to the Bylaws eventually make their way to University Council, they can originate from either University Council, the Faculty Senate, or a University-Level Standing Committee once that Standing Committee has forwarded it to UCAG. This is apparently inconsistent with 3.3.4.9., which says that amendments “approved by Faculty Senate may be submitted to the University Committee on Academic Governance or may be introduced to University Council directly by a member of University Council.” In practice, the Steering Committee sends all proposed amendments to UCAG for comment (i.e., to review for consistency with other sections of the Bylaws); note that this is wholly separate from UCAG’s opinion on the substance of the proposed measures. The ad hoc committee recommends changing 3.3.4.9 to require Faculty Senate to submit proposed amendments to UCAG for comment, which is the current practice.

3.3.5.1.: Correcting a Typo

A previous amendment to the Bylaws inadvertently deleted the word “deal.” The ad hoc committee recommends re-inserting it.

3.3.6.2. & 3.3.6.3.: The Provost’s Shared Responsibility with UCUE and UCGS

Currently, 3.3.6.2 requires University Council and Faculty Senate to be informed of the University Committee on Undergraduate Education’s consultation with the Provost on issues pertaining to “establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, and merger of programs.” Similarly, 3.3.6.3 requires University Council and Faculty Senate to be informed of the University Committee on Graduate Studies’ consultation with the Provost on such issues.

Both these articles encroach on the faculty’s delegated responsibility for the curriculum because the curriculum is delivered in programs. At present, program decisions are provostial with only consultation by the faculty committees with the Provost, hence a “report” to the University Council is all that is required. Since program decisions commit resources of the
University more heavily than department-level curricular decisions, shared responsibility with the Provost seems appropriate. As such, the ad hoc committee recommends amending 3.3.6.2 and 3.3.6.3 to change the advisory roles of UCUE and UCGS to shared responsibility.

3.3.7: Eliminating a Redundancy

Note that 3.3.7 is redundant with 3.3.3.2.1 (procedures of Faculty Senate).

3.4.1.3: Adding the President to the Steering Committee

Currently, 3.4.1.3 is clear that the Provost is an *ex-officio* member of the Steering Committee, but the President is not. However, the President is normally an active participant in meeting of The Steering Committee, and MSU’s Academic Governance website lists the President as an *ex-officio* member despite the bylaw. The President’s presence at The Steering Committee is helpful insofar as it is an appropriate forum for dialogue between academic governance members and the administration, and so the Committee recommends amending the Bylaws to reflect current practice.

3.4.2.1: Adding Trustees to Those with Whom Faculty on Steering Committee Meet

Currently, the faculty members of the Steering Committee are required to “meet periodically with the President and the Provost for an exchange of information and views on University policy.” Shared governance is enriched by improved communication, and there is already an informal arrangement, codified in neither these *Bylaws* nor the Board’s, whereby Steering Committee members meet with Trustees. Faculty members running for Steering Committee positions should know that they are expected to be involved at the Board level. As such, the ad hoc committee proposes amending 3.4.2.1 to add the Trustees to those with whom the faculty members of The Steering Committee must meet; University culture is one of the items on which faculty views should inform the Trustees.

---

3.4.2.11.: The Steering Committee Leadership will Attend Emergency Board Meetings

The Bylaws of the Board of Trustees provide for methods of calling meetings to take urgent actions, but they should be revised to include appropriate faculty involvement in such meetings. The ad hoc committee proposes an addition to the Bylaws for Academic Governance reading with such a provision. Note that the proposed article will not be dispositive if not accompanied by an amendment to the same end in the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees.

3.4.2.12.: Faculty on The Steering Committee will Participate in Trustee Orientation

A broad theme that emerged during the ad hoc committee’s deliberations was that part of the blame for the current state of shared governance at MSU lies with the faculty. A lack of participation in Board affairs is accompanied by a lack of communication with the Board. Cameo appearances at Board meetings by faculty members with exciting research or teaching projects are fine, but they do not cultivate effective working relationships on substantive issues of governance.

The Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges warns of the “information gap between boards and faculty,” and advises that orientations of each group should involve the other.¹³ To help close that gap, the ad hoc committee recommends adding 3.4.2.12, thereby requiring faculty to participate in Trustee orientation and educate Board members on areas of the faculty’s expertise.

4.2.1.3. & 4.2.1.5.: Changing Term Limits

Currently, the Bylaws generally limit service on a given Standing Committee to three consecutive two-year terms. The ad hoc committee looked to sister universities with strong records of shared governance and concluded that MSU’s term limits should be changed to two

---

three-year terms. Expanding the normal term from two years to three was a recommendation based on the service of the ad hoc committee’s members on standing committees.

Further, the ad hoc committee believes that the proposed structure would increase leadership opportunities for faculty members. Lengthening terms will likely give faculty members more experience serving in academic governance, and therefore better empower them to take on further leadership roles. Increased term lengths will give members more time to find their individual strengths and weaknesses on standing committees, thereby helping to develop strong and diverse viewpoints that are better insulated from initial unfamiliarity with academic governance. Additionally, longer terms will encourage continuity on long-term efforts, many of which currently falter due to the loss of institutional knowledge every two years.

The ad hoc committee recommends that members be allowed to return to a standing committee on which they have already served two terms after a three-year (one full term) break; 4.2.1.5 implies that this is the case but leaves open the possibility that a member could return to a standing committee before one full term has elapsed if a vacancy should arise in the interim. Accordingly, the drafted language makes this rule explicit.

4.2.2.2.: Administrative Appointments and Service on Standing Committees

As discussed above in 3.3.2.1.1.: Administrative Appointments and Service in Academic Governance, the ad hoc committee was concerned about the appropriateness of those with significant administrative appointments serving in academic governance. For the same reasons, the ad hoc committee proposes 4.2.2.2, which applies to standing committees.

4.2.2.3.: Stylistic Edit Concerning Dates

4.2.2.3 is corrected to Bylaws style.
4.2.3.3.: Strengthening Communication with Standing Committee Information Requests

The communication gap between the Board and the faculty is exacerbated by provisions in the two sets of Bylaws. Communication is crucial to building strong working relationships, yet the Bylaws unnecessarily restrict such contact. To loosen those restrictions, the ad hoc committee proposes amending 4.2.3.3. Increased access to information will promote transparency and accountability, as well as foster an environment that creates better participants in academic governance.

4.3.1.3.: The UCAG Chairperson and the Board’s Subcommittee on Academic Policy

The ad hoc committee recommends the adoption of a bylaw providing further faculty-Board communication. The advice of the AGB’s white paper on shared governance is, again, to cultivate working relationships among boards, administrators, and faculty. This is another attempt to do that. Having a working relationship between the Board subcommittee responsible for the Bylaws and the corresponding standing committee should increase communication and collegiality between UCAG and the Board.

4.4.5. – 4.4.7.: UCUE’s Provostial Advisory Role and Dispute Resolution

Currently, UCUE shares responsibility with the Dean of Undergraduate Studies “to consult with the Provost on the establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, or merger of undergraduate academic programs; on policies pertaining to curriculum revision, methods of instruction, evaluation of instruction, and advising and counseling for undergraduate students and programs; and on other policies pertaining to undergraduate education.” 4.4.5 further notes, “On issues of the establishment, moratorium, discontinuance, and merger of undergraduate academic programs, the University Council and the Faculty Senate will be informed of the UCUE’s consultation with the Provost.”

14 See below in the section discussing Article 7 of the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees.
A problem with the current structure is that the Dean of Undergraduate Studies is a vocal member of UCUE whose expertise is exercised in that venue; however, the Dean’s promotion of the Provost’s agendas is likewise exercised. The shared responsibility alluded to in 4.4.5 and 4.4.5 means that the Provost would adjudicate any disagreement between the Dean and UCUE, which would be an invidious procedure if the Dean’s position was already the position of the Provost. Hence, an extra step is needed to ensure that the UCUE’s position has been aired appropriately. The ad hoc committee proposes dividing 4.4.5, adding a procedure by which UCUE seeks the advice of Faculty Senate in the case of disagreement with the Provost. Further, the ad hoc committee recommends changing every incidence of “consult with” to “advise” to better capture UCUE’s responsibility.

4.7.8. Requiring UCFT to Create a Subcommittee to Advise the Provost on Faculty Status

A problem with the governance structure generally is that there is no university-level tenure committee. Instead, there is a group of advisors selected by the Provost. The process should be regular, and the members of the group advising the Provost should not be hand-picked by the Provost or serve at the pleasure of the Provost. The University Committee on Faculty Tenure is well-positioned to develop a policy whereby faculty members having served on college-level tenure committees constitute the university-level tenure committee. The policy should include provisions for eligibility, means of election or selection, and staggered terms for continuity. Such a policy and subcommittee would foster trust between the faculty and the administration.

4.8.5. & 4.8.5.1.: UCGS’ Provostial Advisory Role and Dispute Resolution

As discussed in above in 4.4.5–4.4.7, UCUE and the Dean of Undergraduate Studies share responsibility for advising the Provost on a discrete set of issues. The University Committee on Graduate Studies has a similar shared responsibility with the Dean of the Graduate
School to advise the Provost on that same set of issues. For the reasons outlined above, the ad hoc committee recommends creating analogues to 4.4.5–4.4.7 for UCGS.

5.4. – 5.4.8: Establish a Committee on Administrator Review

There is agreement in the ad hoc committee that an independent body made up of faculty and students should conduct reviews of administrators. Creating such a body would provide faculty, staff, and student viewpoints on administrators to their superiors, and enhance shared governance and accountability overall.

The ad hoc committee proposes the creation of the Committee on Administrator Review (CAR). The basic idea is that eight faculty members, two undergraduate students, and one graduate student will serve on a committee that conducts thorough reviews of three administrators each year. The number of CAR’s members was chosen to maximize efficiency while still allowing for diverse viewpoints. The number of administrators to be reviewed was selected in an effort to create a reasonable workload for CAR while still providing broad accountability.

CAR would select the three administrators for review after consulting with the President and the Provost. The President and the Provost could also recommend other administrators whom it would be helpful to consult while conducting reviews, but such administrators would not be members of CAR. CAR must review the President, Provost, vice presidents, associate vice presidents, and associate provosts within a period of no more than six years, but no individual administrator would be reviewed more than once in a three-year period.

The ad hoc committee was intentionally vague in referring to which administrators are eligible for review. The hope was that the provision would be read broadly and include other administrators who may warrant review, such as administrators within the Academic Orientation Program, the Office of Institutional Equity, and the Registrar. The essential point is that CAR would review those administrators whose divisions or departments warrant review based on the
campus culture at the time. The goal of the review is to understand administrators’ effectiveness in fulfilling the responsibilities of their roles, their working relationships with superiors and subordinates, their adherence to relevant policies and procedures, and, when applicable, their working relationships with faculty and students.

Each spring semester, CAR would report to University Council. The ad hoc committee believes that University Council is appropriate because University Council is made up of students, faculty, deans, and other administrators. CAR would develop a written report for each review summarizing its findings. The report would also be delivered to the President, the Provost, and the Administrator under review. In the interest of accountability and transparency, the Secretary for Academic Governance would be responsible for making these reports available to the campus community.

Additionally, CAR would be responsible for investigating complaints that dean reviews did not comply with the Bylaws. Several ad hoc committee members voiced frustration related to experiences where they believed a dean’s review was performed in ways that undermined either the spirit or the letter of the bylaws governing dean reviews. The ad hoc committee believed CAR would be well equipped to look into complaints of such behavior and deliver a written report to the University Council with its findings.

Committees like CAR are not uncommon among educational institutions. The ad hoc committee largely based CAR on Ohio State University’s Committee for Evaluation of Central Administrators (EOCA). Ad hoc committee members spoke with OSU faculty who had interacted with or served on EOCA to determine whether it was a model worth pursuing. One

---

15It should also be noted that CAR would be far from the most extensive review model currently in use. See, e.g., Faculty Senate Committee on the Evaluation of University Administrators, Spring 2018 Evaluation of University Administrators, University of North Texas, https://facultysenate.unt.edu/sites/default/files/administrator_evals_eoy_report_spring2018.pdf.

16See generally The Ohio State University’s University Senate, EOCA Rules, https://senate.osu.edu/eoca-rules/ (last accessed Aug. 19, 2018).
individual noted that the EOCA had “mixed reviews in the past and is up for discussion concerning its continuation.” The main source of discontent was that the report the EOCA develops is only shared with the President, the Provost, and the administrator under review; EOCA members often felt that the large amount of work they took on was not worth it since they couldn’t see the fruits of their labor. The ad hoc committee sought to improve the EOCA model by making reports more widely available. The ad hoc committee would encourage groups considering the establishment of CAR to include summaries of feedback and objective statistics rather than anonymous, unedited feedback to avoid the unnecessary embarrassment and “poison pens.”

The ad hoc committee suggests that CAR should not be a University-level Standing Committee given its long-term, project-based nature. Some ad hoc committee members expressed concern about adding another standing committee chairperson to The Steering Committee, and the consensus was that doing so could potentially undermine the efficacy of The Steering Committee. Further, members worried that the time obligations that come with being on The Steering Committee would discourage serious candidates from serving on CAR.

Finally, it should be made explicit that the point of CAR is not to antagonize administrators. The ad hoc committee agreed that, if used effectively, CAR could create a genuine partnership among faculty, students, and administrators. CAR would be an example of shared governance insofar as it divests responsibility and accountability to several constituencies.

5.5. – 5.5.2.: Renumbering to Account for the Committee on Administrator Review

Renumbering the Bylaws is routine and would be required if CAR is established.

8.2.: Adding a Period to Correct a Typo

The ad hoc committee recommends adding the missing period at the end of 8.2.
8.3.2.2. – 8.3.2.2.2: Fixing Further Hyperlinks

The online version of the Bylaws is missing links that are corrected here.

II. Recommended Substantive Amendments to the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees

The recommendations in this section of the report are those proposed amendments to the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees for which the ad hoc committee developed potential language. Each proposal includes a summary of the proposed change, the rationale behind it, and, where applicable, additional information the ad hoc committee believes will be helpful to other parties considering the amendments. The order of the proposed amendments is the order of the existing Bylaws of the Board of Trustees. Refer to Appendix II, which fully incorporates the proposed changes, for the full amendments.

Article 2: Discourage the Board from Acting on Items Not on the Agenda

The Bylaws of the Board of Trustees currently note that, “At all meetings it shall be valid to act on any subject within the power of the corporation, unless the call of the meeting is limited.” It is not uncontroversial to say that the relationship between the Board and many members of the University community is tense. Part of the reason for this tension is that some think the Board has acted in untoward or opaque ways. To help rectify that perception and to prevent it from resurfacing, the ad hoc committee recommends amending Article 2 to discourage the Trustees from acting on items not on the Board’s agenda.

Article 4: President: Setting Expectations for the President’s Qualifications and Selection

As discussed at 3.2.4.7 above, the Board should be reminded of the importance of getting bona fide advice from students, staff, and faculty when selecting a new President. The ad hoc committee proposes amending Article 4 to include the same phrase inserted in 3.2.4.7 of the Bylaws for Academic Governance, as recommended by the Association of Governing Boards.

Article 4: President: Requiring the President to Create an Annual Trustee Orientation

The Board of Trustees is elected, and no academic experience is required of them by the
political parties that nominate them. Much of the Board’s fiduciary responsibility is financial, and many members over the years have had corporate backgrounds. But the University’s mission is different from that of a corporation, so the members will need assistance in appreciating the importance and details of that difference. As such, the ad hoc committee recommends creating an annual orientation for Trustees that involves members of The Steering Committee. The ad hoc committee further suggests that publications of the Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges could be helpful to the process.

Article 4: Provost: Expanding the Provost’s Consulting Obligations

Article 4 says that the Provost “[s]hall be responsible for faculty personnel administration including procedures for faculty appointments and terminations, salaries and promotions, working conditions, and tenure.” The ad hoc committee notes that unless the Board recognizes the responsibility of faculty in those matters, shared governance is likely to be undermined. Accordingly, the ad hoc committee recommends an addition to Article 4.

The ad hoc committee also recommends adding the same language to Article 4 as it pertains to the Provost’s responsibility “for development of new academic programs and for keeping existing programs updated and in conformity with University educational policies.” Because the curriculum is delivered through the programs of the University, the faculty’s expertise is crucial.

Article 5: Requiring Each Board Committee to Include a Steering Committee Member

Currently, Article 5 allows the Board to establish committees to carry out various functions. The President or a presidential designee is required to be a member of all committees of this kind. In the interest of shared governance, the ad hoc committee proposes requiring Board committees to also include The Steering Committee’s members or designees by adding a sentence to Article 5.

This is based on a suggestion found in an AGB white paper, which notes that “[s]trong
shared governance is dependent not so much on formal structures as on organizational cultures in which members of the organization have a sense of ownership, responsibility, and accountability for the institution’s health, vitality, and relevance.”¹⁸ The ad hoc committee believes that it is the voice rather than the vote of faculty members on such committees that will promote shared governance.

**Article 7: Improving Faculty-Board Communication**

As it stands, Article 7 is the provision most detrimental to shared governance. The bylaw effectively drives a wedge between faculty and the Board by requiring that all communications from the Board to the faculty and vice-versa be transmitted through the President. This requirement is unnecessary and harmful to a positive working relationship between faculty members and the Trustees. Of course, the President should be aware of such communications, but facilitating it is unnecessary and troublesome. The ad hoc committee recommends that all written communications between the Board and the faculty be transmitted with a copy to the President. This measure will help increase collegiality among the Trustees and the faculty, and it could improve the culture surrounding shared governance.

**Article 7: Removing “Good Taste” from the Rights and Responsibilities of the Faculty**

“Good taste” is notoriously difficult to judge, and it is irrelevant to a faculty member’s fitness for teaching, research, and service. As such, the ad hoc committee recommends deleting the term.

**Article 7: Requiring the Board to Alert Steering Committee Leaders of Urgent Matters**

Article 7 notes that, “Nothing in these Bylaws, or regulations issued pursuant thereto, shall prevent the Board of Trustees taking prompt action on urgent financial and personnel matters necessary to the best interests of the University.” When such matters are deliberated,

---

faculty should be represented. The ad hoc committee suggests adding a sentence to the article providing for such representation.

In the last few years, there have been missteps by the Board that might have been prevented if it had had the counsel of elected faculty leaders. It serves no one’s interest for the faculty to be blindsided by actions of the Board, and the Board should welcome the faculty’s advice.

Article 8: Adding Reference to the Faculty’s Role in Admissions

Although there is a national decline of faculty participation in admissions policy, particularly at research universities, the ad hoc committee believes that here remain issues where faculty advice can be helpful to the maintenance or promotion of the University’s educational mission. Accordingly, the ad hoc committee proposes including a reference to the faculty’s role in the admissions process.

Article 9: Clarifying the Faculty’s Purview

Currently, the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees note that through the President, the Board delegates to the faculty “appropriate authority and jurisdiction over matters for which they are held accountable by the Board.” Article 9 gives examples of such matters, including “educational policy and the development of a strong and efficient organization with which to accomplish the objectives of the University.”

However, the list in Article 9 could be enlarged to make clear the faculty’s purview. Specifically, we suggest amending the Article 9 in conformity with the Statement on Shared Governance.²⁰

---


Article 14: Requiring the Board to Alert Steering Committee Leadership in Emergencies

Should the President die or become otherwise incapable of exercising the office’s duties, Article 14 says that the Board will convene to “take appropriate action to assure continuity in the affairs of the University until the Board will make arrangements for an Acting President.” Because of the faculty’s central role in the selection of the President, the faculty should be involved in determining what action is appropriate. The ad hoc committee therefore recommends language for that purpose.

Amendments by UCAG

At its October 11, 2018 meeting, the University Committee on Academic Governance made the following amendments to the Ad Hoc Committee on Bylaws Reform’s report:

- In the Preamble of the Bylaws for Academic Governance, UCAG changed “The specific powers delegated to the faculty are those in which the faculty has authority in proportion to its degree of expert knowledge” to “The specific powers delegated to the faculty are those in which the faculty has authority in proportion to its degree of expert knowledge and vested interest.”

- At 1.3.1. of the Bylaws for Academic Governance, UCAG deleted “Such a committee is not a deliberative body; it does not vote. Rather.” Members of the Ad Hoc Committee noted that not deleting this portion in its report was an oversight.

- At 3.3.3.5. of the Bylaws for Academic Governance, UCAG made one small correction to the sentence, “A special meeting (“called meeting”) of the Faculty Senate to address urgent matters that should not await a regularly scheduled meeting may be called by the President, by The Steering Committee, and by a two-thirds vote of the membership of the Faculty Senate meeting in regular session.” UCAG changed the word “and” to “or” to reflect
the Ad Hoc Committee’s intention to make only one of the methods necessary.

- UCAG created a new 4.3.6. in the *Bylaws for Academic Governance* reading, “The UCAG shall conduct a continuing review of best practices for dean evaluations at the college level.”

- At 5.2.1. in the *Bylaws for Academic Governance*, UCAG changed “There shall be an Athletic Council with composition and bylaws subject to approval by the University Council” to “There shall be an Athletic Council with composition and procedures subject to approval by the University Council.”

- At 5.4.4.2. in the *Bylaws for Academic Governance*, UCAG changed “The CAR shall also be responsible for investigating complaints that the reviews of deans were not conducted as required by these Bylaws” to “The CAR shall also be responsible for investigating complaints that the reviews of deans were not conducted as required by these Bylaws and the relevant college bylaws.”

- In Article 4: President of the *Bylaws of the Board of Trustees*, UCAG changed “It shall be the President’s responsibility to arrange annually for the orientation of Board members to their duties, involving members of The Steering Committee, in their respective roles; and to ensure the provision of such training as Board members may require to perform their duties” to “In order to familiarize the Trustees with the role of academic governance in the University, the President will arrange annually an orientation of the Trustees with The Steering Committee.”

- UCAG moved the two “Further Issues” sections into a separate document.
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