Things UCAG Agreed to Take Up in the 2019–2020 Session

As compiled by Tyler Silvestri based on the 2018–2019 UCAG Minutes

March 14

I. Motion by Tickner to “Motion for next year’s UCAG to take up a discussion of the possibility of a University-level Tenure Committee, what it might look like, and whether it is a reasonable thing to put forward at all.”
   • Seconded by Silvestri.
   • Approved 16-0-0.

II. Motion by Dilley to . . . instruct the 2019-2020 UCAG to review Athletic Council’s bylaws/procedures with special attention to the issue of whether potential Faculty Athletic Representatives should be in contact with current Athletic Council members
   • Second by Silvestri.
   • Approved 15-0-1.

III. UCAG proposed instructing the 2019-2020 UCAG to consider the sufficiency and transparency of dean reviews and their relationships.
   • Motion by Dilley
   • Second by Silvestri
   • Approved 16-0-0

April 11

IV. Dilley motioned to “put as an agenda item for the fall the matter of inconsistencies in bylaws of the University vs. Colleges, or by extension Colleges vs. Units. This shall include, but not be limited to, matters of representation—for instance, the matter of vote-sharing—and grievance policy—for instance, the matter of greater specificity of grievance process and whether the less-specific or more-specific process prevails.”
   • Second by Jacobs.
   • Approved 11-0-0.

V. Dilley moved that UCAG revisit College Bylaws evaluations for Colleges currently under consideration next year.
   • Second by Silvestri.
   • Approved 11-0-0.

VI. Dilley moved that UCAG “put on its agenda for the fall matters to include, but not be limited to, recommended or obligatory actions to be taken in the case of negative administrator reviews, and whether faculty can call for reviews of Assistant or Associate Deans.
   • Second by Spiro.
   • Approved 11-0-0.

VII. Language to Send to FRIB and Academic Specialist Committee Regarding Election Langue in Bylaws
• Hoppenstand noted that the issue is complicated and should be dealt with next year. This specifically referred to items 7.2 and 7.4.3. of the April 11 agenda.

• Silvestri moved to move it to next year’s agenda.
  1. Second by Westrin.
  2. Approved 11-0-0.

VIII. Revisions to Address Number of Representatives Issues

• Hoppenstand explained that the issue of addressing concerns with 3.3.1.1. and 3.3.1.2.1. of the Bylaws for Academic Governance to establish clear-cut wording on the number of representatives and the cycle of elections that would take into account the College Advisory Council Chair’s one-year term should be addressed next year.

• Silvestri moved to address it next year.
  1. Second by Worden.
  2. Approved 11-0-0.